THE CABINET STATE OF FLORIDA

Representing:

STATE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION

FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION, OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION

FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMISSION, OFFICE OF INSURANCE REGULATION

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS' AFFAIRS

ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION

POWER PLANT AND TRANSMISSION LINE SITING BOARD

BOARD OF TRUSTEES, INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND

The above agencies came to be heard before THE FLORIDA CABINET, Honorable Governor Crist presiding, in the Cabinet Meeting Room, LL-03, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida, on Tuesday, October 28, 2008, commencing at 9:05 a.m.

Reported by:
JO LANGSTON
Registered Professional Reporter
Notary Public

ACCURATE STENOTYPE REPORTERS, INC. 2894 REMINGTON GREEN LANE TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32308 (850) 878-2221

APPEARANCES:

Representing the Florida Cabinet:

CHARLIE CRIST Governor

CHARLES H. BRONSON Commissioner of Agriculture

BILL McCOLLUM Attorney General

ALEX SINK Chief Financial Officer

* * *

1		INDEX	
2	STATE BOARD OF . (Presented by A		
3	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
4			
5	1 2	Approved Approved	5 5
	3	Approved	5
6	4	Approved	6
	5	Approved	6
7	6	Approved	7
8			
9	FINANCIAL SERVI	CES COMMISSION, OFFICE OF	
10	(Presented by A		
11	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
12	1	Approved	11
	2	Approved	11
13	3	Approved	12
14	4	Approved	12
15	FINANCIAL SERVI	CES COMMISSION, OFFICE OF ATION	
16	(Presented by K	EVIN McCARTY)	
17	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
18	1	Approved	13
	2	Approved	14
19	3	Approved	14
0.0	4	Approved	15
20	5	Approved	15
21	DEDVD4MENta VE 22	ETERANS' AFFAIRS	
22	(Presented by L		
23	1 2	Approved Approved	16 17
24	3	Approved	18
	4	Withdrawn	19
25			

1		INDEX (continued)	
2	ADMINISTRATION CO		
3	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
4			
5	1 2	Approved Approved	20 34
6			
7	POWER PLANT AND T	TRANSMISSION LINE SITING BOA	.RD
8	(Presenced by Mic	CHAEL SOLE!	
9	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
	1 2	Approved	35
10	2	Approved	62
11			
12	BOARD OF TRUSTEES (Presented by MIC	S, INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT TRUS	T FUND
13	_		
14	ITEM	ACTION	PAGE
1 -	1 2	Approved	63
15	3	Approved Approved	63 70
16	4	Deferred	104
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22	CERTIFICATE OF RE	EPORTER	106
23			
24			
25			

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	* * *
3	(Agenda Items Commenced at 9:25 a.m.)
4	GOVERNOR CRIST: State Board of Administration,
5	and our new leader, Ash Williams. Good morning,
б	Ash, and welcome.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. Thank you. Item
8	1 is the minutes from the meeting of October 14.
9	CFO SINK: Move it.
10	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
12	minutes approved without objection.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Item 2 is a fiscal determination
14	for Florida Housing Finance Corporation.
15	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I move it.
16	CFO SINK: Second.
17	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
18	approved without objection.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Item 3, fiscal determination for
20	the Florida Housing Finance Corporation.
21	CFO SINK: Move it.
22	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
23	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
24	approved without objection.
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Item 4, fiscal determination for

ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I move it. 2 3 CFO SINK: Second. GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it 5 approved without objection. MR. WILLIAMS: Item 5, also a fiscal 6 determination for Florida Housing Finance 7 8 Corporation. 9 CFO SINK: Move it. ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second. 10 GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded, show it 11 approved without objection. 12 13 MR. WILLIAMS: Item 6 is a letter for approval to be sent to the Joint Legislative Auditing 14 15 Committee affirming that the Trustees have reviewed 16 and approved the monthly Local Government Investment 17 Pool management summary reports and taken actions as needed, if any, to address impacts. 18

the Florida Housing Finance Corporation.

- We have, in fact, been on top of this. The
 report through September 30th indicates that all
 tests in all key areas have, in fact, been passed.
 We request approval.
- 23 ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I move it.
- 24 CFO SINK: Second.

1

25 GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show Item

1 6	5	approved	without	objection.	General.
-----	---	----------	---------	------------	----------

ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Before we send Ash to the side, I've just got to comment, this is your first meeting before us. Welcome aboard. We're delighted to have you here and look forward to working with you.

You come at tough economic times for the country and the state, but there's nothing more important than having you aboard right now. We value that and we really appreciate it.

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, thank you. I've worked with each of you and all of your staffs. I appreciate that openness, and that partnership will certainly continue. I've also contacted and will be -- have already spoken with or will be speaking with all the members of the Investment Advisory Council in the near future and will similarly touch base with all appropriate constituencies of the Board. I look forward to working with all of you.

And to your point about the market environment, certainly there has been pain out there. You might be interested to know that if you look at all of the bear markets back to World War II, and there have been a number of them, you'll see that the range of down motion has been from 22 percent to 49 percent

1	from where these bear markets began.
2	If you then go out three years, though, what
3	you'll see is the up side range from 27 to 83
4	percent. So these things do pass. They do improve
5	And unless the world has truly changed and the U.S.
6	can't adapt and prosper the way it always has, this
7	will pass. And I think we're set up to take
8	advantage of these situations longer term, and we
9	will do so. Thank you.
10	CFO SINK: Governor, could I just ask a
11	question
12	GOVERNOR CRIST: Of course.
13	CFO SINK: about the LGIP? We're at that
14	time now at which historically the LGIP starts to
15	get a flood in of new tax revenues, and I know
16	there's a lot of conversation around whether or not
17	the State will continue to provide this service or
18	not or whether we're seeing inflows of new monies
19	coming in.
20	Can you tell anything yet? I know it's
21	obviously early in the cycle.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. The really key months
23	here, CFO, will be November and December because
24	that's when the local government revenue flows come
25	in, and then they would, in turn, flow to the pool,

if they're going to flow to the pool.

2	The latest information I've seen that's
3	summarized is for September. And during that period
4	of time, there were still net outflows, but there
5	were some \$550 million plus in new deposits made by
6	local government entities.
7	CFO SINK: And so it's kind of a wait and see.
8	It's a little premature.

MR. WILLIAMS: I think it is. I think it is.

And I think on the question of how we handle the pool going forward, I would think we would reason together on that and figure it out.

CFO SINK: And a question about Fund B, because of course we're hearing all sorts of conversation about the billions of dollars that the federal government is making available to perhaps repurchase some of these so-called toxic mortgage-backed assets.

And, of course, we had about four of them in our Fund B. What are we doing, if anything, or what can we do to ensure that to the extent there's any kind of take-out on the part of the government, that we're able to avail ourselves of that potential opportunity?

25 MR. WILLIAMS: To be honest with you, I don't

1	have an answer for that question at this point.
2	I'll be happy to follow up on it.
3	CFO SINK: Okay. I'd be interested in just
4	assuring that as an investor we're getting our
5	share, if there's a share to be gotten.
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Indeed.
7	CFO SINK: Thank you.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
9	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you very much, Ash.
10	Welcome back to Tallahassee.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Office of Financial
2	Regulation, Andrea Moreland. Good morning.
3	MS. MORELAND: Good morning. The first agenda
4	item, the Office requests approval of the minutes of
5	the August 12th and September 16th meetings.
6	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on Item 1.
7	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
8	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
9	minutes approved without objection.
10	MS. MORELAND: Item Number 2, the Office
11	requests approval to file for final adoption
12	amendments to Rule Chapter 69U-100, relating to
13	financial institutions. These rules pertain to the
14	sale of debt cancellation and debt suspension
15	products that are offered by financial institutions.
16	These rules provide consumer protection
17	provisions. The rules are based on federal
18	regulations that are applicable to nationally
19	chartered financial institutions.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: So move it.
21	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
22	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show Item
23	2 approved without objection.
24	MS. MORELAND: Item Number 3, the Office
25	requests approval to file for final adoption

```
1
          permanent rules relating to the effect of law
 2
          enforcement records on applicants seeking licensure
          as mortgage brokers, mortgage lenders and mortgage
 3
          broker businesses. These are the rules that are
 5
          based on the emergency rules that you approved on
          August 12.
 6
               CFO SINK: Move it.
 7
               ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
 8
 9
               GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded.
                                                     Show Item
10
          3 approved without objection.
               MS. MORELAND: Item Number 4, the Office
11
          requests approval to publish a notice of proposed
12
          rule for Rule Chapter 69V-40, relating to mortgage
13
14
          brokering and lending. During the 2008 session, the
          Legislature passed House Bill 5049, which required
15
16
          the Office to implement an electronic version of the
17
          mortgage broker test. It also required the
          applicant to pay for the actual cost of the test,
18
          not to exceed $75. The Office has contracted with a
19
20
          vendor that can provide the test at a cost of $43,
21
          and the rules implement these provisions.
               CFO SINK: Move it.
22
23
               ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
```

GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded.

4 approved without objection. Thank you very much.

Show Item

24

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Office of Insurance
2	Regulation, Commissioner McCarty. Good morning,
3	Commissioner.
4	MR. McCARTY: Good morning, Governor, members
5	of the Commission. The first agenda item is request
6	for adoption of the minutes of the Financial
7	Services Commission for September 16, 2008.
8	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion on the
9	minutes?
10	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on 1.
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
12	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
13	minutes approved without objection.
14	MR. McCARTY: Agenda Item Number 2 is request
15	for approval to adopt Proposed Rule 690-170.0144,
16	Public Hurricane Model. Senate Bill 2860, which was
17	passed by the 2008 Legislature, requires the
18	Financial Services Commission to adopt by rule a fee
19	schedule for the access and use of the computer
20	model that was developed by FIU.
21	The bill specifically provides that it's to be
22	not a profit-seeking but merely reflect the actual
23	cost of development of the model.
24	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on Item 2.

25 CFO SINK: Second.

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show Item
2	2 approved without objection.
3	MR. McCARTY: Agenda Item Number 3 is request
4	for approval to adopt Proposed Rule 690-149.041,
5	concerning small group underwriting. This rule
6	creates an unfair trade practice for insurance
7	companies who treat different size small groups by
8	using a different application.
9	The Office has determined that there might be
10	some discrimination based upon the size of the
11	group, and federal law, the HIPAA law prohibits
12	that. This makes it an unfair trade practice.
13	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on Item 3.
14	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
15	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
16	approved without objection.
17	MR. McCARTY: Agenda Item Number 4 is to
18	request approval for publication of proposed rule
19	concerning credit life and credit disability. House
20	Bill 343 passed by the Legislature in the 2008
21	session made substantial changes to credit life.
22	Specifically, the bill removed the ten-year
23	limitation for disability. This merely comports the
24	rule with the changes in the law.

ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Move Item 4.

Τ	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
2	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
3	approved without objection.
4	MR. McCARTY: And finally is request for
5	approval for the 2008-2009 performance contract for
6	the Office of Insurance Regulation.
7	CFO SINK: Move it.
8	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
9	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show Item
10	5 approved without objection. Thank you,
11	Commissioner.
12	MR. McCARTY: Thank you, Governor.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	GOVERNOR CRIST. Department of vecerans
2	Affairs, Admiral LeRoy Collins. Good morning.
3	MR. COLLINS: Good morning, Governor and
4	members of the Cabinet. The Florida Department of
5	Veterans' Affairs has three items for consideration.
6	We wish to withdraw Item Number 4, our legislative
7	budget proposals, and with your permission will
8	present them at the next Cabinet meeting.
9	Item Number 1 are the minutes from the May 13th
10	Cabinet meeting. I recommend acceptance.
11	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Move Item 1.
12	CFO SINK: Second.
13	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
14	approved without objection.
15	MR. COLLINS: Item Number 2 is our quarterly
16	report for the period ending June 30th, 2008. The
17	trend in veteran claims activity continues upward,
18	with an increase of eight percent in the number of
19	ratings reviewed. Claims are being adjudicated
20	faster, so less compensation has to be paid by the
21	federal VA to Florida veterans retroactively.
22	On page seven of the homes program section, the
23	only two homes where expenses exceeded revenues were
24	those with high-priced, outsourced certified nursing
25	assistant and food service staff, Pembroke Pines and

```
1
          Springfield.
 2
               As you recall, we received the authorization to
          in-source those positions as FTEs effective this
 3
 4
          fiscal year. So I am confident we will see revenues
 5
          exceeding expenses across the board by the end of
 6
          this year. I recommend acceptance of our quarterly
 7
          report.
               GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion?
 8
 9
               CFO SINK: Move it.
               COMMISSIONER BRONSON:
10
                                      Second.
               GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded.
11
                                                     Show Item
          2 approved without objection.
12
               MR. COLLINS: Item 3 is our capital improvement
13
14
          program for the next five fiscal years.
                                                   There were
          seven major capital facility projects undertaken in
15
16
          the past five years, construction of the homes in
17
          Port Charlotte and Springfield and two renovation
          projects in each of our older homes at Daytona Beach
18
19
          and Lake City, and finally the grant project for the
20
          new home being built in St. Johns County near St.
21
          Augustine.
               Given the current economic slowdown and
22
23
          resulting budget constraints, our capital
```

improvement program, looking forward for the next

five years, is modest by comparison.

24

1	The flagship will be the construction of the
2	new \$30 million veterans home in St. Johns County,
3	which will stimulate the local economy while
4	responding to the Governor's green initiative.
5	Governor Crist and I received two ceremonial
6	checks for 20 million and \$2 million earlier this
7	month from the federal VA. The construction project
8	is expected to begin later this fall and be
9	completed by 2010. It will generate nearly 150 new
10	jobs and about \$6 million annually for the local
11	economy.
12	We will continue to maintain our homes our
13	homes' physical plants with renovation projects but
14	have no more new home construction on the horizon.
15	I recommend acceptance of our capital improvement
16	program.
17	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion on Item 3?
18	CFO SINK: Move it.
19	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
20	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
21	approved without objection.
22	MR. COLLINS: I'll be happy to answer any
23	questions, and I thank you for your consideration of
24	these matters.

GOVERNOR CRIST: I think we need a motion for

1	withdrawal of Item 4. Is there a motion?
2	CFO SINK: Move it.
3	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a second?
4	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
5	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
6	withdrawn without objection. Admiral, thank you
7	very much.
8	MR. COLLINS: Thank you, sir.
9	GOVERNOR CRIST: Good to see you.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Τ	GOVERNOR CRIST: Administration Commission,
2	Lisa Saliba. Good morning, Lisa.
3	MS. SALIBA: Good morning, Governor, Cabinet.
4	First item on the Administration Commission agenda
5	is we're requesting approval of the minutes from the
6	October 14th meeting.
7	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion on the
8	minutes?
9	CFO SINK: Move it.
10	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
12	minutes approved without objection.
13	MS. SALIBA: Item Number 2 is our follow-up and
14	final action related to Area of Critical State
15	Concern. We have three actions that we're placing
16	before the Cabinet. We also have some speakers who
17	would like to make some final comments. On hand
18	today is Secretary Pelham with the Department of
19	Community Affairs, Mayor Mario DiGennaro with Monroe
20	County, Commissioner George Neugent, and
21	Commissioner Sylvia Murphy, both with Monroe County.
22	Then we also have speaking today Representative
23	Saunders and Debbie Harrison, representing the World
24	Wildlife Fund. We have several others on hand that
25	will not be speaking but are available should you

1	have questions, secretary Mike Sole with the
2	Department of Environmental Protection and his
3	staff, Deborah Poppell and Geof Mansfied.
4	We also have Department of Health staff,
5	Mr. Gerald Briggs, with the on-site sewage programs,
6	available for questions. These speakers will do
7	very short concluding remarks, and then we'll move
8	the final action on the vote.
9	First up is Secretary Pelham, Department of
10	Community Affairs.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Morning, Secretary.
12	MR. PELHAM: Good morning, Governor and
13	Commission members. As you will recall, at your
14	September 28th meeting, you considered the DCA
15	report on Monroe County's progress in achieving the
16	ten-year work plan for dedesignation, and you
17	directed that a revised work plan be brought back to
18	the Commission at this meeting.
19	Since that time, the County, the Cities of
20	Islamorada and Marathon, the Departments of
21	Community Affairs, Environmental Protection and
22	Health, and key environmental stakeholders have
23	worked closely with Cabinet Affairs staff to develop
24	a revised work plan and to ensure that the details
25	of the work program are complete, accurate and

feasible.

2	I am happy to report to you that all of these
3	participants have reached consensus on a revised
4	work program. We believe that the revised work
5	program is the road map we need to achieve
6	dedesignation of the Florida Keys Area of Critical
7	State Concern.
8	I hasten to add that the completion of the work
9	plan will require significant funding and staff
10	commitments by local governments and state agencies,
11	and the Commission should recognize that completion
12	of the plan will take several years.
13	However, as I believe the comments from other
14	participants this morning will indicate, there is
15	strong commitment to the work plan. Achieving
16	consensus on Florida Keys issues is a rare
17	occurrence.
18	I want to recognize the leadership and

contributions of several people who have worked very hard to achieve this consensus. From the County,

Mayor Mario DiGennaro, County Commissioner Sylvia

Murphy and George Neugent, county administrator

Roman Gastesi and county growth management director

Andrew Trivette.

Also I want to point out that the Cities of

1	Islamorada and Marathon have contributed greatly to
2	this effort, and they regret that they cannot be
3	present here today.
4	Finally, I want to recognize the hard work of
5	two important environmental representatives, Debbie
6	Harrison from the World Wildlife Fund and Richard
7	Grosso from the Keys Last Stand.
8	After other speakers have made their
9	presentations, our staff is available to answer any
10	questions you may have about the revised work plan.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you, sir.
12	MS. SALIBA: Now we welcome Mayor Mario
13	DiGennaro with Monroe County.
14	GOVERNOR CRIST: Morning, Mayor.
15	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Morning.
16	MR. DiGENNARO: Morning, Governor. How are
17	you?
18	GOVERNOR CRIST: Good, friend. How are you?
19	MR. DiGENNARO: Doing great, thank you. Good
20	morning, Governor. Good morning, distinguished
21	Cabinet. The State of Florida and Monroe County
22	lost a great friend in Representative Stan Mayfield.
23	And I will ensure his efforts to help us in the
24	Florida Keys are not to be forgotten. Our deepest
25	sympathy goes to his wife, Deborah, and family.

1	I'm once again very proud to be speaking here
2	representing an unprecedented agreement on the
3	future path of Monroe County and the Florida Keys.
4	Before you today is your staff's recommendation,
5	which includes a further defined work program and
6	directive to state agencies.
7	Monroe County is ready to begin what will be a
8	very productive year, completing tasks outlined, ar
9	we are excited about the opportunities that lay

very productive year, completing tasks outlined, and we are excited about the opportunities that lay ahead. I wholeheartedly ask you to accept and act upon your staff's recommendation.

When I last addressed this body, Attorney

General McCollum offered a strong warning against

failure to making a solid effort towards progress

within the upcoming year. And, sir, you were

definitely right in doing so, definitely. And I

accepted it and we will move forward.

I would like to personally commit my energy as mayor to making progress on the newly outlined task. To demonstrate Monroe County's commitment, I have with me today two of my colleagues, fellow commissioners George Neugent, Sylvia Murphy, as well as the county administrator, Roman Gastesi, our growth management director, Andrew Trivette.

Together we represent a united majority and are all,

1

22

23

24

25

as you will hear, fully invested in our plan for a

2	sustainable Florida Keys.
3	I now would like to introduce Monroe County
4	Commissioner Sylvia Murphy, who will further outline
5	our dedication to the vital work of this year and
6	each year to follow.
7	Thank you, Governor Crist, Cabinet members for
8	your continued support and for your recognition of
9	the strength of a united effort to forever protect
10	this national treasure, our home, the Florida Keys.
11	Thank you. Commissioner Murphy.
12	MS. MURPHY: Good morning, Governor, members of
13	the Cabinet. As a representative of the people of
14	Monroe County, I would like to thank the staff of
15	the Administration Commission, the staff of DCA,
16	Richard Grosso of the Everglades Law Center, and a
17	very special thanks to Barbara Leighty, Lisa Saliba,
18	Rebecca Jetton, Mayte Santa Maria, Richard Shine,
19	Charlie Gauthier and Craig Diamond.
20	I don't know all of you personally, but I'm
21	well aware of the many, many hours of hard work you

I would also like to thank you, Governor Crist, your Cabinet and Cabinet aides, especially Diana

have put in to ensure that the government of Monroe

County serves the interest of its people.

1	Sawaya-Crane, for your patience as we work our way
2	through issues that are larger than local action.
3	The path to substantial progress provided to you by
4	your staff requires that the Florida Keys complete
5	the intent of the Area of Critical Concern Program
6	by addressing the issues of carrying capacity,
7	habitat protection, land acquisition and wastewater

infrastructure development.

Each of these categories is essential to the preservation of not only our resources and our economy but also to the quality of life in the unique string of islands that we all call home.

With the welcome addition of our new administrator, Roman Gastesi, and a soon-to-be new commission, I stand here today very, very proud of my county and my state as we go forward toward the twin goals of protecting our environment and improving our economy. May we finally see a balance between these two.

I recommend that you approve and act upon your staff's recommendation today. I am excited to be a part of this renewed partnership. I'm pledging my support to these initiatives and I am confident that we will succeed. At this time, I would like to turn this podium over to our senior commissioner, George

Τ	Neugent.
2	GOVERNOR CRIST: Morning, George.
3	MR. NEUGENT: Good morning. Good morning,
4	Governor, Cabinet members. This is a very wonderful
5	day for me, to be able to stand here before you,
6	recognizing that a consensus has been built amongst
7	our commissioners.
8	And after being in the minority for eight years
9	and scratching and fighting and trying to protect
10	what is so special to all of us, the Florida Keys
11	and I know that all of you have been there. All of
12	you know the national treasure that we have there,
13	the Florida Keys National Marine Sanctuary.
14	And having said that and having sat on the
15	Sanctuary Advisory Council for ten years, our
16	regional manager Billy Causey told me to be sure and
17	tell you guys hello and hi and he's proud of this
18	day also.
19	As an elected representative of the residents
20	of Monroe County and a political subdivision of this
21	great state, I just can't tell you how excited I am
22	here today, with the support of Mayor DiGennaro,
23	with our new county administrator, Roman Gastesi,

and also our new young growth management director,

Drew Trivette, who has brought some things before us

24

25

1	in a	very	brave	way	to	propose	to	you	and	your	staff
2	memb	ers.									

And before I forget and remiss, Governor Crist,

I want to thank you so much for two people that are

very special to Monroe County. Your appointment of

Tom Pelham as secretary of DCA and also Mike Sole,

who has been very supportive, and also Deputy

Secretary Bob Ballard.

I want to thank them for their patience and their understanding. It's been a long road for them as well as myself and many others who will speak before you today.

By recognizing and correctly interpreting the overwhelming response of the electorate, our growth management director has proposed and outlined as essential in the path to substantial progress the Planning the Balance.

It's something that I think that now the staff members of your commission and your administration have been working on, and we propose that it's something that is so important to us and to maintaining the national treasure and the state treasure of the Florida Keys and protecting it forevermore.

I'm here today to ask you to support your staff

1	recommendations to change the Florida Statute of 380
2	to accept what's being proposed before you today. I
3	think that it is something that we've all worked
4	very hard for for the last 10, 15, 20 years.
5	And, Governor, I think that this is something
6	that we now support. We hope that you will support
7	this recommendation and move forward on it. And for
8	that, thank you for listening to us today and thank
9	you very much.
10	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you very much.
11	Appreciate it.
12	MR. DiGENNARO: We are united, finally. As you
13	can see, we are committed, excited and most
14	importantly unified in the completion of the intent
15	of Area of Critical State Concern Program. In the
16	coming months, we will continue to see great strides
17	through our partnership in the development of a
18	sustainable Florida Keys.
19	We will immediately begin working for our
20	legislative partners, including our veteran Ron
21	Saunders and many others, to ensure appropriation
22	monies towards our wastewater project and to alter

the statutory requirements for dedesignation. We

thank you for your support and look forward to the

23

24

25

challenge.

1	And now we will return home to roll up our
2	sleeves and set in motion the most important work,
3	year to date, for our community. Armed with the
4	support of our great Governor and his Cabinet and
5	the great State of Florida, my fellow commissioners
6	and I, with my staff, are available to answer any
7	questions.
8	GOVERNOR CRIST: Mayor, thank you very much.
9	We appreciate you being here.
10	MR. DiGENNARO: Thank you.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: General?
12	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I have no
13	questions. I just want to say that we really
14	appreciate the efforts you're putting into this, and
15	it looks good right now, so keep it up.
16	MR. DiGENNARO: Thank you, sir. We're united
17	there. We are going to preserve the Keys, not only
18	for the people of Monroe County but for every
19	Floridian, every U.S. citizen and for the world. We
20	love it down there. We will protect it. That's our
21	goal. Thank you.
22	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: It's truly a
23	national treasure. Appreciate it.
24	MR. DiGENNARO: Thank you. Come and visit us.

We love you down there.

1	MS. SALIBA: We have Representative Ron
2	Saunders.
3	GOVERNOR CRIST: The esteemed Representative
4	Ron Saunders.
5	MR. SAUNDERS: Morning, Governor, Cabinet
6	members. I just wanted to echo the remarks of
7	Monroe County in thanking the state agencies,
8	particularly DCA and DEP, for their cooperative
9	efforts with us.
10	As Secretary Pelham pointed out, it's going to
11	take some money to make these things happen. And as
12	all of us are very painfully aware, the state budget
13	is not looking very good right now. And so now more
14	than ever it's important that we work together.
15	I pledge my efforts in the budget process to
16	try to find what we can. And I know that, Governor,
17	your staff is going to help us with their
18	legislative budget requests to make sure that they
19	ask for what is needed down there. So we look
20	forward to working together and getting done what we
21	need to get done. And thank you again to the
22	Governor and Cabinet and your staff for all your
23	help.
24	GOVERNOR CRIST: Representative, thank you very

25 much. Appreciate your efforts.

1	MS. SALIBA: The last presenter is Ms. Debbie
2	Harrison.
3	GOVERNOR CRIST: Good morning.
4	MS. HARRISON: Thank you. For the record, my
5	name is Debbie Harrison. I'm here on behalf of
6	World Wildlife Fund. Some of you here today realize
7	what a historic moment this is. In 30 years of
8	working on growth management and environmental
9	issues in the Florida Keys, this has never happened.
10	I know that Secretary Pelham has battle scars.
11	We talked the last time I was here about the fact
12	that he is someone in Monroe County because he has
13	been hung in effigy. I know that some of our
14	commissioners who have been here for many years
15	remember the days of protests and bumper stickers
16	and demonstrations.
17	The commitment that has been displayed by
18	Monroe County makes me want to just say all of you
19	come up and let's hold hands together. It's a
20	wonderful, wonderful day.
21	I want to recognize that Richard Grosso has
22	asked me to speak also on his behalf. He was unable
23	to be here today because of previous trial
24	commitments. But I will tell you that Richard and I
25	are, as a couple of people who have been in the

trenches for decades now in trying to get to this
day, we are very appreciative of the efforts of the
Department of Community Affairs and I would name
names, but I think they've all been named so far
under the leadership our phenomenal secretary,
Secretary Tom Pelham. Thank you, Governor Crist.
We appreciate the work of the Department of

We appreciate the work of the Department of Environmental Protection, very unrecognized the amount of work that goes on within DEP to try and assist Monroe County in moving forward with the very challenging issues of wastewater.

I would like to thank Barbara Leighty, who has been a part of this process for many, many years and recognizes how important today is. I want to thank each and every one of your staff members. Your cabinet aides have made this happen. They have worked tirelessly to assist us in bridging agreements and crafting resolutions, fine-tuning language and brokering a negotiation.

In particular, Governor Crist, I cannot tell you what a phenomenal star Diana Sawaya-Crane has been through all of this. Thank you so much.

Again, we are so proud to be a part of this monumental agreement between the State, the County, the stakeholders. And we thank you so much for your

1	leadership as members of this Cabinet in bringing
2	that to us today. Thank you so much.
3	MS. SALIBA: If there aren't any questions,
4	Governor, we would recommend that you accept and
5	affirm the three parts of the staff recommendation
6	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I move Item 2.
7	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
8	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
9	approved without objection.
10	MS. SALIBA: Thank you very much.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you very much, and
12	thanks to all of you for being here today.
13	(Applause)
14	GOVERNOR CRIST: Travel back to the beautiful
15	Keys safely. We look forward to seeing you soon.
16	Thanks so much.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

_	GOVERNOR CRIST. Department of Environmental
2	Protection, Siting Board, Secretary Sole.
3	MR. SOLE: Good morning, Governor, Cabinet
4	members. On the Siting Board, Item Number 1 is
5	approval of the minutes from the July 29, 2008, and
6	August 12, 2008, Cabinet meetings.
7	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion to approve
8	the minutes?
9	CFO SINK: I move it.
10	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
12	minutes approved without objection.
13	MR. SOLE: Thank you. Item Number 2 is a
14	request for consideration of a final certification
15	by the Siting Board by adopting the administrative
16	law judge's order for Florida Power & Light's
17	Bobwhite-Manatee 230 kilovolt transmission line
18	project. The ALJ had his recommended order on
19	August 11, 2008, and the Public Service Commission
20	provided its need determination on August 28, 2006.
21	This transmission line will connect the
22	existing Manatee Energy Center switchyard to the
23	proposed Bobwhite Substation, which is located just
24	off of I-75 in Sarasota County. The line is
25	scheduled to be in service by December 2011 and the

1	recommended route by the ALJ is approximately 26
2	miles long.
3	The ALJ presented a condemnation route. He
4	presented and approved the FPL proposed corridor and
5	also approved what was called a Consensus Corridor
6	as an attachment. So he mixed the two corridors.
7	In this case, there were approximately four
8	alternate other alternate corridors presented.
9	One was the Concession Corridor, which was objected
10	to by Manatee County as a result of impacting some
11	four additional miles more than the ALJ's approved
12	route.
13	There was also two proposals by John Falkner.
14	Those proposals recommended actually going along an
15	alignment of an existing transmission line. This
16	was objected to by the Public Service Commission
17	because, one, the purposes of this line is to
18	provide redundancy so there's an improved
19	reliability in the delivery of power in the region.
20	And, finally, there was a fourth alternative
21	corridor, Bridle Creek Homeowner Association. That
22	corridor was removed as a result of what's called a
23	Consensus Corridor being supported.
24	All in all, again, the ALJ found that the
25	corridor with the least overall impacts was a

1	combination of the FPL Corridor as well as the
2	Consensus Corridor. And, again, the Consensus
3	Corridor was adopted by some 15 parties.
4	Governor, we have six speakers on this item.
5	And I'd be glad to answer any questions or bring the
6	speakers.
7	GOVERNOR CRIST: Let's hear the speakers.
8	MR. SOLE: All right. Our first speaker is
9	opposed. Mr. Roy Cohn, who is an attorney for John
10	Falkner. Mr. Cohn.
11	MR. COHN: Thank you.
12	GOVERNOR CRIST: Good morning.
13	MR. COHN: Good morning, Governor. Good
14	morning, Cabinet. If you would please refer to the
15	map of the transmission line area, at the top, the
16	orange line going west from the Manatee Energy
17	Center Power Plant then south and east to the place
18	where the Bobwhite Substation will be built depicts
19	an existing FPL right-of-way, which was chosen more
20	than 30 years ago and in which there already exists
21	several transmission lines which FPL operates and
22	maintains.
23	It is clearly in the best interest of the
24	public and the environment for the new power line to

be built in the existing right-of-way, an area that

1 has already been disturbed for many years.

To build a new line where no transmission line exists, across Gamble Creek, Tyre Creek, Water Hole Creek, Gilley Creek, the front of the Ed Chance Nature Preserve, across Lake Manatee, in front of the Lake Manatee State Recreation Area, across the Braden River and across the Heritage Ranch Conservation Area would be an unnecessary disservice to the public and to the environment.

FPL rejected building the new line in the existing right-of-way without allowing the public and the agencies to consider the existing right-of-way as a viable option for the new line.

Further, by failing to include the existing right-of-way in the study area that FPL established for the project, the public and all agencies could not consider building the new line in the existing right-of-way. Therefore, the ALJ could not fully consider building a new line in the existing right-of-way.

The public policy of the State of Florida favors co-location of new transmission lines with existing transmission lines. Florida Statutes provide that if the new transmission line is built in the existing FPL right-of-way, then the new line

1	is	exempt	from	compliance	with	all	certification
2	pro	oceeding	gs.				

This means that if you deny certification, then

FPL can go ahead and build the new line in the

existing right-of-way. The ALJ recommended

certifying a route that combines two different

corridors. The ALJ's recommendation is not legal

unless and until either FPL files an amended

application that combines the two routes or another

party files an alternate that combines the two

routes.

Notice must be published on the combination of the two routes prior to a rescheduled certification hearing. This means that if at this point in time you certify the route recommended by the administrative law judge, you would be certifying an illegal route, and the appellate court will reverse your action and remand the case back to the ALJ so that the statutory notice can be given for the combination of the routes.

The proper action for you to take at this point in time is one of two things; deny the certification so FPL can build the transmission line in the existing right-of-way, or remand the case back to the ALJ for the ALJ to receive evidence from the

1	public and all agencies on whether to build the new
2	line in the existing right-of-way, and so that a
3	proposal can be made by FPL or another party to
4	combine the routes suggested by the ALJ and the
5	required statutory notice can be published prior to
6	the rescheduled hearing.
7	In any event, granting certification at this
8	time would be neither just nor legal. It would be
9	an unnecessary disservice to the public and to the
10	environment, and the appellate court would reverse
11	the certification because statutory procedure was
12	not followed for combining two different routes.
13	Thank you for your time. I will answer any
14	questions that you have. Thank you.
15	MR. SOLE: Thank you, Mr. Cohn. Our next
16	speaker is Mr. John Moyle, who is counsel for the
17	Concession. John.
18	MR. MOYLE: Good morning. John Moyle on behalf
19	of the Concession. My client is the landowner that
20	has property adjacent to FPL's route that it
21	proposed and the Consensus route that is before you
22	today.
23	My client also proposed a route that I think

it's helpful to have a little bit of history about

this particular route. On the map it's the route

24

25

1	that's depicted in red. And I wish my client could
2	claim expertise and knowledge and studying a whole
3	bunch of factors to come up with this route.

This route runs along existing roads, which is a positive thing when you're locating a transmission line. But this route was originally identified by FP&L when it looked at a whole host of objective criteria, such as co-locating with existing roads, impacts on wetlands, the cost. This route is the cheapest cost. Did this objective analysis, ran it through a computer, and the computer spit out this route as its top ranked route.

FPL subsequently went in another direction.

But during the course of discovery, another entity,

SMR, who you will hear from, they asked for all this
information, analyzed it and said, why didn't they

go with this route, this looks like the best route.

During the hearing, about halfway through the hearing, some folks got together and made a deal.

And what you have before you is the result of this deal. It's called the Consensus route. But really it came about for the first time during the hearing.

And it was never identified before, in part because it runs through two miles through a conservation easement. And FPL's team of experts,

1	they identify conservation easements as a siting
2	constraint. We don't want to run transmission lines
3	through conservation easements.

This route was identified and then it was subsequently put forward. My client picked up the old route that FPL identified as the best initially, that SMR put forward, and said let's go ahead and move forward with this.

On your map you can see the departure from the yellow line to the red line. There's another important feature in addition to the conservation easement. This line runs through this conservation easement. They'll tell you, well, it has minimal impact. It's pasture land.

But the Fish and Wildlife Commission looked at it and said that this has environmentally sensitive areas and that they would prefer that it be located along existing roads, which is what the Concession route does.

Another significant environmental impact that I wanted to briefly make you aware of and argue some law that's applicable, the route that you're being asked to certify runs along a state park, the Lake Manatee State Park, which is a nice resource.

People go out there and hike and canoe. It's a very

l pristine area	а.

The State of Florida, the Legislature last
session set forth policy that said, let's not run
transmission lines through state-owned property if
there's a prudent and practical alternative. We
would argue there is a prudent and practical
alternative. You can run the transmission line
along existing roads, the Concession route, rather
than running it through the state park.

Now, what you will hear today is, well, we're not really sure if it's going to go in the state park. It could, it could not. But I don't think you'll get a definitive answer to that question.

It seems to my client that the State

Legislature articulated the policy of not running it

through the park. And in environmental permitting

cases, you have to assume the worst case scenario.

There's case law out there that says that. So if

you assume the worst case scenario, that it is going

to be run through the state park, then you should

pursue, as directed by the Legislature, the

alternative, which is to follow the Concession

route.

The Concession route is proper for certification. There was evidence that it meets all

of the other criteria. It's before you today for
consideration, and we would urge that, given the
impacts on the state park that are likely and the
bad precedent of running it through the conservation
easement, that you go ahead and approve the
Concession route.

One final point and I'll sit down. One of the factors that the judge considered when he went ahead and recommended this Consensus route is one of the landowners, SMR, said, look, we kind of understand there's some bad environmental impacts with running this thing through the conservation easement. We'll donate 600 acres here that will offset that.

Well, that may be well and good, but this

Transmission Line Siting Act is very specific. It

tells you what size type you have to put notice of

in the paper. There's no statutory authority to

allow for this off-site mitigation, and we would

argue it's a bad precedent because your next one,

somebody is going to come in and say, well, you know

what, I'll make a \$500,000 contribution to a local

environmental group. I've got some property over

here I'll give you.

The statute contemplated an analysis of impacts on the land affected, not that you could go out and

```
1
          mitigate off site.
 2
               So we would ask that you consider this matter,
 3
          apply the law and approve the Concession Corridor.
          Thank you, and I'll be happy to answer any
 5
          questions.
              MR. SOLE: We have four additional speakers in
 7
          support of the ALJ's recommended order. Our first
 8
          speaker is Mr. Richard Gumm with the East County
 9
          Homeowners Association, or Organization. Mr. Gumm,
10
          good morning.
11
               ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: You may proceed.
          The gavel has been handed over here for a second.
12
               MR. GUMM: Good morning, Cabinet members. My
13
14
          name is Richard Gumm. I am the president of the
          East County Homeowners Organization, an organization
15
16
          of 220 home owners in Eastern Sarasota and Manatee
17
          Counties. I have traveled here to the tundra of
          North Florida to speak about the ALJ's decision and
18
          urge you to approve his decision and the Consensus
19
20
          Corridor.
21
               I can tell you that this has been a very
22
          important matter for the people in my organization
23
          now for almost two years. We've gone to the trouble
24
          of raising money to hire a lawyer, an expert
```

witness. We have attended two public hearings

25

1	en masse, testified at the hearing. This is very
2	important to my people because they are concerned
3	about the potential impacts to their homes and to
4	the environment which they very much love.

It has been our pleasure to originally support the original FPL Corridor. Later on we were able to work with Schroeder-Manatee Ranch, the environmental groups, FPL, Sarasota County, to develop what is now referred to as the Consensus Corridor.

I consider that to be exactly the type of process that we want in this state, diverse groups working together and pulling together to find the best solution.

The problem with the Concession Corridor, which was picked by a computer and without regard to conditions on the ground, is that it impacts far too many home owners in the area. The roads that are in question here are very narrow, rural roads that are really not appropriate for this type of construction.

I can tell you, talking to my people, they're much more worried about the value of their homes, especially today, as we see housing prices plummet, than they are about any minor incursion onto the state park. And these are people who live next to

1	the state park. This is not a big deal to them.
2	In contrast, they feel that this is the route
3	that impacts only 40-some homes instead of over 160
4	homes with the Concession Corridor, and that's just
5	far too many.
6	As for Mr. Falkner, I could tell you that the
7	route that the judge selected was on the was on
8	the table, was publicly noticed from the very
9	beginning and then subsequently when we filed the
10	Consensus Corridor.
11	The only route that has had no public notice is
12	Mr. Falkner's route. And I continue to struggle
13	with his argument, whether the problem is a lack of
14	notice to the public or the fact that he wants you
15	to approve a route that can be built without public
16	notice.
17	I can tell you that my people are very
18	concerned and very troubled by the prospect of any
19	route being approved without notice to the public.
20	I thank you for your careful attention to this
21	matter. I urge you to approve the ALJ's decision.
22	Thank you. I'll take questions if you have any.
23	MR. SOLE: Thank you, Mr. Gumm. Our next
24	speaker is Susan Schoettle-Gumm, with the Gum Slough

Preservation Foundation.

MS. SCHOETTLE-GUMM: Good morning, Governor
Crist and members of the Cabinet. I want to thank
you for this opportunity to express my support for
the Consensus Corridor and the ALJ's decision on
behalf of the Gum Slough Preservation Foundation and
as a 15-year resident of Sarasota County.

Through a lengthy two-year process of public input and hearings and opportunities for the public to look at alternatives and talk to representatives of FPL and a very unusual collaboration between two environmental organizations, a homeowner's organization, major property owners and a number of large developers, we identified this portion of the Consensus Corridor as best achieving the balance between providing reliable electrical service, as identified by the PSC, and achieving a balance between preserving environmental quality and the quality of life of residents in eastern Manatee and Sarasota County.

Through the process of identifying the

Consensus Corridor, representatives of ManaSota-88,

a very well respected and generally not a friend of

FPL environmental organization and myself and other

representatives of the Gum Slough Preservation

Foundation have actually walked the area along the

1	edge of the Heritage Ranch Conservation Easement.
2	We've identified Gum Slough as an area, as has
3	Sarasota County, as a protected environmentally
4	sensitive area in northern Sarasota County.
5	In walking the Heritage Ranch Conservation
6	Easement, the portion of the easement that the
7	transmission line would traverse is significantly
8	impacted and damaged already. And what happens is
9	by placing the line there, in fact, it provides a
10	buffer from incursion of additional development.
11	That provides additional protection to the Gum
12	Slough area. In addition, additional acreage will
13	be added that helps protect the drainage into Gum
14	Slough and again helping preserve and enhance that
15	area.
16	I think it's extremely unusual, the alliance of
17	interests that came together to identify the
18	Consensus Corridor and helped identify this
19	transmission line that the ALJ has approved.
20	Again, I appreciate the time to speak to you
21	today and hope that you will approve the ALJ's
22	decision. Thank you.
23	MR. SOLE: Our next speaker is Mr. Doug Hall,
24	who is the attorney for the Schroeder-Manatee Ranch.
25	He's with Carlton Fields.

1	MR. HALL: Good morning. I am Doug Hall. I
2	represent Schroeder-Manatee Ranch in this case. I
3	am one of the survivors of the four-week
4	administrative hearing that we held down in Manatee
5	County to look at the proposed routes for this
6	transmission line.
7	When this route was originally proposed by FPL,
8	SMR opposed it because so much of the route was on
9	SMR's property. As we went through this process of
10	examining the alternatives, we came up with an
11	alternate route that was supported by a large number
12	of people that were participating in the hearing.
13	And the route that's been recommended by the
14	ALJ still will go on approximately six miles of
15	SMR's property. SMR is willing to accept that
16	because we believe that it's an acceptable balance
17	between the need for the line and the impact that
18	the line will cause.
19	We've heard several people talk about the
20	Heritage Ranch Conservation Easement. This is an
21	easement that SMR created a few years ago to protect
22	Gum Slough. It's about a 2,000-acre easement.
23	The area in which the transmission line will be

located is in an area that originally wasn't going

to be part of the easement. It's in cow pasture.

24

25

l It's already	impacted. T	here ar	re jeep	trails.
----------------	-------------	---------	---------	---------

2 There are fire breaks there.

The ALJ found that there are no significant environmental features there. It's a good place to put this line. Sarasota County holds the conservation easement, manages this area and supports this.

The total amount of acreage within the conservation easement that would be impacted by the right-of-way for this transmission line is somewhere between 18 and 28 acres. To offset even that very small environmental impact, SMR has agreed to protect 600 acres of environmentally sensitive property.

A hundred and forty of those acres will be donated to the Heritage Ranch Conservation Easement. They'll protect Gum Slough. Another 460 acres will be protected through restrictive covenants that will protect that area in perpetuity.

The ALJ found that no other route that has been proposed offers that net environmental benefit, an unusual thing in a transmission line siting proceeding, that results from protecting this additional area.

25 We recommend that you -- we urge that you would

1 adopt the ALJ's recommendation. Thank you.

2	MR. SOLE: Our last speaker is Ms. Carolyn
3	Raepple, attorney for Florida Power & Light, with
4	Hopping, Green & Sams.
5	MS. RAEPPLE: Thank you, Secretary Sole. Good
6	morning, Governor Crist, members of the Cabinet. I
7	am Carolyn Raepple with Hopping, Green & Sams,
8	representing Florida Power & Light. The corridor
9	that's been recommended to you today is the result
10	of extensive work and coordination between Florida
11	Power & Light and the community, the agencies with
12	regulatory jurisdiction, the local governments,
13	civic organizations, environmental groups and the
14	parties to this proceeding, in addition to four
15	weeks of testimony before Administrative Law Judge
16	Bram Canter.
17	Nothing that you've heard today from the
18	opponents, counsel for John Falkner and for the
19	Concession Development, is new. All of these issues
20	have been argued to the judge. They've been
21	addressed in the recommended order and should be
22	rejected by you for the reasons that he provided.
23	And I just want to touch a few of them briefly.
24	Mr. Cohn, John Falkner's counsel, said that Florida
25	Power & Light rejected the placement of the

1	transmission line on the existing right-of-way and
2	didn't allow agencies and the public to even
3	consider placing the transmission line there. And
4	that's just not right.
5	The use of the existing right-of-way as an
6	alternative was presented to the Public Service
7	Commission, which as you know, in that need
8	proceeding, that is a proceeding open to the public
9	And the PSC, in their final order, rejected use of
10	that existing right-of-way for both reliability and
11	cost reasons.
12	In addition, use of that existing right-of-way
13	would be contrary to the mandatory reliability
14	standards enforced by the North American Electrical
15	Reliability Corporation, whose standards are also
16	adopted by the Florida Reliability Coordinating
17	Council. And so use of that existing right-of-way
18	is just not an option.
19	Mr. Cohn also said that use of a or
20	certification of a combination of routes would be
21	illegal. That also is not true. First of all,
22	there's precedent for that. This Siting Board
23	approved a corridor in a prior Transmission Line

Siting Act proceeding, the Levee-Midway proceeding,

which was a combination of corridors.

24

25

1	In that case there were two alternate corridors
2	and a piece of the original applicant's corridor
3	that were combined to provide for the certified
4	corridor.
5	And the definition of "corridor" in the

And the definition of "corridor" in the

Transmission Line Siting Act is broad enough to

allow it. And certainly every inch of the corridor

that's been recommended by the judge has been

subject to notice to the public, has been the

subject of extensive testimony and evidence at the

hearing.

Again, just briefly, Mr. Cohn indicated that his client owns property adjacent to the Consensus Corridor in the area that's being recommended. And actually it's not quite adjacent.

The Consensus Corridor is 450 feet separated from the golf course portion of the Concession's property and separated by at least 700 feet for the rest of its property and is over 825 feet from the closest residential lot.

The Concession's counsel also indicated that there would be significant environmental impacts.

There are extensive findings of facts in the recommended order about that. He mentioned with regard to the state park, that there would be

1 prudent and practical alternatives.

As you know, this line cannot go in that state park unless you, sitting as the Board of Trustees, authorizes it. And what he didn't mention about the prudent and practical alternative test is that there is a definition of that in your policy, that has now been adopted by statute, that defines practical and prudent alternative. It means you compare social, economic and environmental impacts.

That is a decision you will make. If you decide the balance of those considerations does not warrant placing this transmission line immediately inside the park fence line, on an existing cleared fire break, then it won't go there. There's room within the recommended corridor to place it outside the state park. But that's a decision that you will make in the future.

And finally, with regard to mitigation, the type of mitigation that is being offered in order for the line to be placed through the Heritage Ranch Conservation Easement has been accepted previously.

Again, this Siting Board in the Levee-Midway certification proceeding accepted very similar mitigation for placement, in that case, on lands of the Water Management District.

1	Florida Power & Light urges you to approve
2	certification as recommended by the judge in order
3	that it may timely put into service this critical
4	infrastructure project to ensure the adequate and
5	reliable service of electricity in the Manatee and
6	Sarasota County areas.
7	If you have any questions, I'd be happy to
8	answer them. And I have with me today a number of
9	my expert witnesses in case your questions get too
10	technical. Thank you.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: CFO.
12	CFO SINK: Thank you. I am very concerned
13	about the conversation around the line going through
14	the Lake Manatee State Park. And it's my
15	understanding that there's the south side of the
16	corridor. Would FP&L be willing to say that the
17	line will not be constructed through the park
18	property, the state-owned lands?
19	MS. RAEPPLE: The corridor that is being
20	recommended does include a very small part of the
21	state park along State Road 64. There is a fence
22	line there. And on the inside of the fence, there
23	is a cleared fire break.
24	If the transmission line were placed in that
25	area, it would be immediately, like five feet inside

```
1
          the fence line, in that existing cleared area, and
 2
          it would only go there if you, the Siting Board,
          sitting as the Board of Trustees, approves it.
 3
 4
               If you do not approve it, then yes, we will go
 5
          immediately outside the fence. And, of course, in
          this area, if we went inside the fence, we would
 6
 7
          have to show that it was in the public interest.
 8
          And so that would hardly be a worst case situation.
 9
          But that's going to be your decision.
10
               CFO SINK: Well, is that a decision we can make
11
          today?
               MS. RAEPPLE: It's not before you today. And
12
          you would be -- it would not be a decision made by
13
14
          the Siting Board. It would be made by this body
          sitting as the Board of Trustees, and it's not on
15
          that agenda.
16
17
               CFO SINK: Okay.
               MR. SOLE: Can I add something to that, CFO?
18
               CFO SINK: I wish you would.
19
20
               MR. SOLE: Always here to help, sometimes.
                                                           The
          siting process really is -- and it's just a
21
22
          reminder. When it comes to transmission lines, it's
          a phased process. We start out with the large
23
          corridor. But as we go through and further develop,
24
```

as part of the conditions of the siting, the

1	refinir	ng c	of actuall	ly where	the	right	c-of-way	is
2	placed	is	actually	further	down	the	road.	

And as Ms. Raepple noted, we will have to come back, we will have to -- if there's a proposal to actually place the right-of-way within the state park, it will have to go before the Acquisition and Restoration Council and then subsequently come before you as the Board of Trustees for consideration.

I'm confident we'll continue to work with FPL to get a favorable siting as part of this overall review. But it's hard to affirmatively say what that final siting is until the further detail is accomplished.

CFO SINK: Well, I'm just -- Governor. I'm just worried that, you know, we make a decision today and then you come back, and you say we have a choice, but then sometimes the choice is not really a choice.

So for my part, since we have representatives from FP&L here and you're here, I'm really -- would very strongly encourage FP&L not to come back with a final plan that would force us to choose something that none of us might like, which is to reject our policy, which is to not put these lines over

2 GOVERNOR CRIST: Commissioner. COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Thank you, Governor. 3 4 I'm going to be the monkey wrench in this lovefest 5 here simply because -- not that I'm against the proposal. I'm willing to vote for the current 6 7 siting situation. But I find it fundamentally 8 wrong -- and I need to talk to the legislative members who have stated that these types of projects 9 10 should not go across state lands and that type of 11 thing -- simply because if you go back and remember, we bought this property from private landowners and 12 13 call it now either state parks or state forest or 14 something. And we bought it because of its characteristics. 15 Private landowners own the same land with the 16 17 same characteristics, with the same type of wildlife and everything else on it, and yet as stated, 18 usually in the policy, if it's in the interest of 19 20 the people of the state to put a line somewhere so

that the majority of the people in that area benefit

from that siting, I think it's wrong for us to even

indicate that we're willing to say at all cost, even

we will not site lines on state land, when we say to

if it's going to cost more money or whatever, that

state-owned -- particularly state parks.

1

21

22

23

24

the public, we deem it that this line is going to go on your private property and we deem it in the interest of the people of the state, when we're willing to exempt it from state lands under the same characteristics, just because it's state land. I find that fundamentally wrong if we're actually saying that.

Now, if moving that line five feet doesn't make any difference one way or another, then, you know, I'm fine there. But to say we have this piece of state land now that is somehow held in awe, when it was originally private land bought by the State and we would have told that private landowner, you must site this line there because it's what we decided to do, then I think it's wrong for the State to say, but we're not willing to take the millions of acres of state land in the interest of the public of the state to put in power lines, or whatever other public interest is there, and remove those state lands from consideration.

I think that's kind of a double standard on the people of the state that we're forcing this on as private landowners. So I disagree -- I understand where you're coming from, but I disagree on the principle because if we're going to force this on

1	private landowners, then the State ought to be
2	willing to do it under the same conditions for the
3	interest of the people of the state, even though it
4	is maybe a park or maybe a state forest, that if
5	it's in the true interest of the people of the
6	state, it should be sited appropriately and not
7	considered out of bounds.
8	That's just I'm just one member of this
9	board, but that's my personal feeling. And I think
10	it's a fairness issue, in my opinion.
11	MR. SOLE: Governor, may I respond?
12	GOVERNOR CRIST: Please.
13	MR. SOLE: I think it's worth noting,
14	Commissioner, that during last legislative session,
15	actually, legislation was passed to try to identify
16	that, yes, state lands can be used, and largely I
17	think for some of the specific reasons that you've
18	identified.
19	There's been so much good acquisition that
20	eventually there is going to be the need to site
21	transmission lines through some state lands. So the
22	law was changed to basically identify that, yes,
23	state lands can be used to site these transmission
24	lines. However, they should be avoided if possible
25	and if they can be avoided that's appropriate. If

1	they can't be avoided, then the law was changed to,
2	yes, allow for it. So I just wanted to point out
3	that that is one of the things
4	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: I may have
5	misunderstood. I thought there was a
6	MR. SOLE: No. That occurred just this last
7	session.
8	GOVERNOR CRIST: Any other questions? Thank
9	you. General?
10	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: I move Item 2.
11	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Second.
12	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. All in
13	favor please signify by saying aye.
14	CFO SINK: Aye.
15	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Aye.
16	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Aye.
17	GOVERNOR CRIST: Aye. All opposed, like sign.
18	Motion carries. Thank you.
19	MS. RAEPPLE: Thank you.
20	MR. SOLE: Thank you, Governor.
21	
22	
23	
24	

Τ	GOVERNOR CRIST: Board of Trustees, Secretary
2	Sole.
3	MR. SOLE: Item Number 1 is submittal of the
4	minutes from the September 16th, 2008, Cabinet
5	meeting.
6	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a motion to approve
7	the minutes?
8	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on Item 1.
9	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
10	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show the
11	minutes approved without objection.
12	MR. SOLE: Thank you. Item Number 2 is a
13	request for consideration of approval of a contract
14	for sale for some 17.82-acre parcel of
15	non-conservation lands to the Orlando-Orange County
16	Expressway Authority. The sale price is \$1,158,300
17	which is a hundred percent of the appraised value.
18	Those funds would be deposited in the
19	University of Florida Institute of Food and
20	Agricultural Sciences Relocation and Construction
21	Trust Fund.
22	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Motion on Item 2.
23	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Second.
24	GOVERNOR CRIST: Moved and seconded. Show it
25	approved without objection.

1	MR. SOLE: Thank you. Item Number 3 is request
2	for consideration of an option agreement to acquire
3	207.8 acres within the Clear Creek/Whiting Field
4	Florida Forever Project. This acquisition is from
5	the Nature Conservancy.
6	This parcel is an A List, top 21 project,
7	located in Santa Rosa County adjacent to Whiting
8	Field. Consideration is for \$1,143,441, which is 85
9	percent of the appraised value.
10	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Move Item 3.
11	GOVERNOR CRIST: Is there a second?
12	CFO SINK: Governor?
13	GOVERNOR CRIST: Yes, CFO.
14	CFO SINK: My lawyers have advised me that I
15	should recuse myself from this item, since I
16	happened to be serving on the board of the Nature
17	Conservancy at the time the property was purchased.
18	GOVERNOR CRIST: Okay. It is noted.
19	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Governor, I've got
20	GOVERNOR CRIST: Commissioner.
21	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: My issue here is and
22	I looked at the parcels, and I'm not sure exactly
23	our role in acquiring that land. I know it's next
24	to the military. One is one actually leaves a
25	hole between the military property and the other

1 piece of property to be acquired.

And inholdings are something that are, as someone who is over state forests with our forestry division, can be a real pain when you're leaving a hole sitting there that you've got to deal with, and in some cases at a much higher price down the road than you're dealing with today.

And so I have been torn as to our role in acquiring these two pieces of property next to the federal pieces of property and where it actually gets us in this process.

And access is another one of those issues. And I would assume the military base is not going to want access to state lands right next to a fortified piece of military base that's going to have to be defended. You certainly don't want people running through a state park or a state forest all over the place right next to federal lands that may have some security issues.

So I've been kind of torn on this one as to our need to acquire this for the State of Florida, what we're going to do with it and how we're going to take care of it after we acquire it under those restriction conditions. Maybe we can get those questions answered.

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Can you shed some light,
2	Secretary?
3	MR. SOLE: I'd love to, Governor. This is the
4	beginning of this Florida Forever Project. This is
5	the first acquisition. Actually, there's a much
6	bigger vision when you look at the overall Florida
7	Forever effort.
8	And I'm going to move to the map and just
9	identify real quickly. The two parcels in this
10	acquisition is located here and here. What's
11	interesting to note is that the County has already
12	acquired these parcels in pink and the I think
13	the Division of Forestry is also looking at working
14	at acquiring this parcel here.
15	The concept for this vision is the opportunity
16	not only to acquire these lands to help provide
17	military buffer, but also looks at acquiring these
18	lands for recharge improvement and a trail that will
19	circumscribe the actual base itself for recreational
20	value.
21	So when you look at this project in whole,
22	there is great benefit to the people of Florida who
23	can use this area to recreate. This area is
24	proposed to be an off-road recreational area, which

is something that we've continued to pursue because

1	of the recreational value that provides.
2	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Which part were you
3	talking about? Excuse me, Governor.
4	GOVERNOR CRIST: Of course.
5	MR. SOLE: Commissioner, I apologize. In this
6	region right here. And, again, this parcel does
7	provide two purposes. It does give us the
8	recreational value in the area, and it will take
9	time, because the Commissioner is correct. Current
10	access is quite limited as a result of these first
11	two acquisitions. But as time goes on, access is
12	expected to be developed, trails developed and
13	further recreational value.
14	It also does provide that buffer around Whiting
15	Field Naval Air Station. I think it's worth noting
16	that the Navy does support this more so than just
17	through general support. In fact, the Navy has
18	agreed to contribute some 25 percent to the
19	acquisition.
20	And we do have with us Commander Thomas Vinson,
21	who is the operational officer at Whiting Field NAS.
22	GOVERNOR CRIST: Commander, can you speak to
23	this acquisition?
24	MR. VINSON: Good morning, Governor. Good
25	morning, members of the Cabinet. I'm Commander Tom

1	Vinson, Operations Officer, NAS Whiting Field,
2	representing Captain Rick Sadsad. He is our
3	commanding officer. He very much wanted to be here
4	today. Unfortunately, he was called away to
5	Norfolk, Virginia. But he felt strongly enough
6	about addressing our partnerships with the State of
7	Florida, the DEP, that he sent myself and our
8	community planner, Mr. Randy Roy here, to make sure
9	that we had the opportunity to speak with you.
10	I'd say, a little personal note. I've been in
11	this business for about 20 years, and I have never
12	seen the sort of relationship that we enjoy with the
13	State of Florida and the County of Santa Rosa as far
14	as support for our mission.
15	NAS Whiting Field was established 65 years ago,
16	during World War II, to start training Navy pilots,
17	and we have done so since then. Our mission has
18	grown over the years. We currently train 65
19	percent of all Naval aviators learn how to fly
20	airplanes at NAS Whiting Field. That's a huge
21	number.
22	One hundred percent of all Navy, United States
23	Marine Corps and United States Coast Guard
24	helicopter pilots, 100 percent of those get their
25	Wings of Gold at NAS Whiting Field.

L	Our operation makes up over ten percent of all
2	USN and USMC air operations worldwide. It is a huge
3	quiet little base that we have in the Florida
1	Panhandle. And I don't want to steal anything from
5	the Keys, but I consider NAS Whiting Field I
5	consider NAS Whiting Field to be a true national
7	treasure in the Panhandle of Florida.

That said, we -- to continue our mission on, we rely heavily -- and I cannot overstate this -- we rely heavily on our partnerships with Florida and with Santa Rosa County. Santa Rosa County actually has also been acquiring lands around the base. In order to continue our mission successfully, it's important that we manage the growth around the airfield.

And as you well know, Santa Rosa County is growing quite rapidly, and north seems to be the only way to go. There's some sort of a water thing out to the south.

So from the Navy's perspective, this is a very important partnership that we continue. They feel so strongly about it that even in the budgetary constraints that we see today, they have put \$5 million aside to make sure that we have monies available to contribute to such projects.

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you, sir. Appreciate
2	you being here. Any other questions? Commissioner?
3	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: If I could ask the
4	commander a question. Commander, are you indicating
5	that the fact that they're going to use this
б	property surrounding the base as a recreational area
7	for the public, that the Navy would not have a
8	problem with that as far as, you know, access to
9	that property by the public?
10	MR. VINSON: Sir, we will have easements to
11	give us appropriate buffer. That said, we have
12	discussed this before, and we certainly believe that
13	we can come to an agreement with the State for
14	access to lands buffering up against our gate or our
15	fence line. And as far as use, we believe this to
16	be a compatible use with our mission.
17	GOVERNOR CRIST: Given that, I'll second it.
18	And are there any other questions or comments? All
19	in favor signify by saying aye. Aye.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Aye.
21	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Aye.
22	GOVERNOR CRIST: Opposed, like sign. Motion
23	carries. Thank you.
24	MR. SOLE: The last item is
25	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thanks for being here,

1	Commander. It helped.
2	MR. SOLE: Item Number 4, this item was
3	withdrawn from the August 12, 2008, agenda. And
4	this is a consideration of request by the applicant,
5	Mitigation Services, for an extension of an existing
6	mitigation agreement covering the Lemon Grove
7	property within the Pal-Mar Florida Forever Project.
8	This is located in Palm Beach County. In addition,
9	the applicant requests authorization to operate a
10	mitigation bank on the property.
11	Just for a quick historical perspective, the
12	Board of Trustees purchased this property, some
13	2,000 acres, in 2002. Of that 2,000 acres
14	approximately 263 acres carried with it this
15	mitigation agreement for a ten-year period that
16	expires in March of 2009.
17	The project-by-project mitigation agreement
18	outlined in the agreement is at the sole cost of the
19	owner of the rights, which in this case is now
20	Mitigation Services. It was originally owned by
21	John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation.
22	The agreement called for the owner of the
23	mitigation rights to submit a mitigation plan some
24	four years into the agreement. Unfortunately, that
25	was never submitted.

1	Finally, right now, the Mitigation Services,
2	which is the applicant, would again like to extend
3	that mitigation agreement and also establish an
4	authorization to operate a mitigation bank.
5	Governor and Cabinet, the DEP recommended
6	denial of the applicant's request. And there are
7	two bases for that recommendation. I just want to
8	hit those real brief.
9	One, just to be clear, when we looked at the
10	mitigation agreement, it was our interpretation, and
11	we've had our counsel look at that, that the
12	mitigation agreement did not authorize a mitigation
13	bank. It did authorize the applicant to go do what
14	we call project-by-project mitigation, but not a
15	specific mitigation bank.
16	You may ask, what's the difference? And this
17	is where it gets a little tricky.
18	Project-by-project mitigation, if I'm an entity and
19	I'm going to impact five acres of wetlands, I will
20	go work with the DEP to get a permit. I will also
21	have to identify specific mitigation for that
22	impact.
23	That mitigation is what we call
24	project-by-project mitigation. The actual
25	mitigation is reviewed directly in association with

1	the impacts that were authorized. The difference
2	between that and a mitigation bank, under a
3	mitigation bank, an applicant or a banker will
4	actually do significant restoration of impaired or
5	affected properties and create wetland restoration.
6	There is no impact project associated with it.
7	Basically they establish this restoration, and they
8	get credits. And they subsequently sell those
9	credits to an entity who, unfortunately, cannot
10	avoid wetlands and needs to do mitigation. So it's
11	more of a business transaction than a regulatory
12	transaction. I'm sorry to go into that difference
13	because it's it's somewhat minor, but there is a
14	nuance.
15	Also the other reason for the Agency's
16	recommendation of denial is in 1998 the Board of
17	Trustees actually identified that mitigation banking
18	on state-owned lands were rejected. The agency
19	brought that forward to the Board of Trustees at
20	that time. The Board of Trustees did acknowledge
21	and approve the use of project-by-project mitigation
22	on Board of Trustees lands but did reject the

There's a lot of ways that we can go on this.

This is a complicated issue, Governor. And one of

concept of mitigation banking.

the things that I'll say is that a very wise, sage
man told me to always keep an open mind.

One of the things that we've looked at is if the Board of Trustees wants to pursue authorization of a mitigation bank on this property, one, I want to be very clear, it's a new authorization. I do not believe -- and we've had staff look at the capability to extend the existing right. We do not believe we can extend the existing right.

The Board of Trustees would have to issue a new authorization, and we would suggest that be in the term of a lease. We would also believe that in that application, the Board of Trustees would need to be a co-applicant because there is, without question, concern about what mitigation gets done -- right now this property is managed by the Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission -- and what changes are made need to be made and that it's consistent with their management plans. And finally, if there's a concept to pursue this, there would need to be just and equitable compensation.

Right now mitigation banks, normally, if I'm the banker, I go acquire land. I create the restoration or the mitigation, and then I sell my credits. In this case there is no acquisition.

```
It's currently, Governor, your land and, Cabinet,
your land. So there needs to be some way and
mechanism for just compensation or equitable
compensation.
```

I do have one speaker, Mr. Dave MacIntosh, who
is the principal of Mitigation Services, LLC, and
we'd be glad to answer any questions as well.

Mr. MacIntosh.

MR. MACINTOSH: Thank you. Good morning,

Governor, members of the Cabinet. In this

precarious position of being the last impediment to

adjournment, I'll be brief.

I spent considerable time with your aides, and I thank you for making them available because they've been very patient, very understanding, very professional in dealing with me. I've met with them individually, and then last week I stood at this podium and spent 20 or 30 minutes babbling on about some of these issues, because as the secretary said, they are complicated. To present a whole thing at a trial, a lawyer would stand here and spend an hour and half doing it, I promise you.

But the secretary is wrong on some issues that he just mentioned. He's wrong about the mitigation plan not being filed. He's wrong about differences

1	between project and banking, because both of them
2	have the same ecological trade-off. In banking it's
3	still function and value of what's mitigated, the
4	mitigation area with function and value of what's
5	impacted. There is no difference. It's number of
6	customers that's the difference

He's wrong about your ability to extend the contract. You're a party to a contract. You can extend it. You don't have to wait until it expires, open it again for rebidding, charge a fee on it, that type of thing. And he's especially wrong about what our contractual rights are.

My name is Dave MacIntosh, and I thank you for this time. I'm not here as a lobbyist, a lawyer or a consultant but as principal. I've been in business in Florida for 40 years, and I'm an ecologist now.

What we seek from you is recognition, ratification and protection of our legal rights that DEP has refused to acknowledge. We also request from you an extension, as a matter of fairness of those rights, under fair and practicable terms, so that we can do what we thought we had the right to do when we initially contracted for this.

And I want to point out to you that I think in

doing that, although it's not central to the issue,

2	that we'll be providing tangible and substantial
2	that we'll be providing tangible and babbeaneral
3	value to the State.
4	Here is how we got into this. The MacArthur
5	Foundation sold land to Palm Beach County with a
6	retained mitigation right; two parties to that
7	contract, the Foundation, Palm Beach County. Each
8	of them in writing agreed that that right included
9	the right to conduct mitigation banking on that
10	property.
11	The words in the contract don't preclude it.
12	Each of the parties to it, the only parties to it
13	acknowledged in writing that they contemplated and
14	they approved of mitigation banking on that
15	property.
16	The Foundation sold their land to the State.
17	We bought I'm sorry. The County sold it to the
18	State The Foundation sold their rights to us So.

We formed a company to do that, an exclusive wetland mitigation banking company. We promptly and very expensively -- we've spent probably \$400,000 in this process already -- applied for a permit to the South Florida Water Management District. And

it used to be a contract between those two. It's

now a contract between us.

1	interestingly, right after we did that, DEP was
2	aware of that. They were aware of our initial
3	filing. They sat in on some of the permit meetings.
4	And now they say they weren't aware that we were
5	going to do that.
6	Interesting as well our bank our proposed

Interesting as well, our bank, our proposed bank, Lemon Grove Mitigation Bank, was on their website, on their website in a spreadsheet listing as a bank in process and on their map of banks. So they knew about it.

The State permitting agency, the Water

Management District, said to us, well, of course, in

doing this on somebody else's land, we need the

consent of the landowner. That's when we tried to

contact DEP. And it's been three and a half years

trying to get their consent. We still can't do

that.

They said to us, you know, the possible resolution of this is to have the Trustees address it. So that's why we're here. So what I say to you is there are things that matter in this and there are things that don't matter. What matters is the wording of the contract. This is a contract we're dealing with, number one. Number two is the intent of the parties, the only parties to it. We have

1	both of those in writing. And, three, that those
2	rights, under each side, were assignable. Nobody
3	disagrees with that. In the 2004 acquisition of the
4	property by the State from the County, the DEP
5	report said we take the property subject to those
б	rights.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I listed to your aides last week some 14 different items that had been thrown up that are very confusing and I think misleading as to what we've done. I can go through and I can nail every one of them, and that's what the intent of the State was and so on.

What I can tell you, though, is that we don't intend to change state policy. It's not my job to do that. All I want to do is to say we believe that our contractual rights supercede state policy in this case and become an exception, a one-off exception to state policy.

We also seek an extension of our rights as a matter of fairness, and importantly we do that under terms that we believe have to be practical to us. We can't get a one-year extension because this is a long-term project where we may spend \$5 million improving state land at our cost and doing it now, when the alternative is we don't do that, it's your

property and I've heard Commissioner Bronson say,
one of the problems we have today is we have all
this land and we don't have any money to restore it
or even to maintain it. We'll do that private
sector and we'll do it, as I say, our cost, and
we'll do it in a responsible way that meets the
permitting criteria of the South Florida Water
Management District, which by the way, is probably
the most sophisticated permitting agency in the
country for mitigation banks.

DEP has stated that the rights should expire in March of '09 and then be rebid out. That's not accurate, and we don't believe that the State should get a fee for this. Palm Beach County never contemplated a fee for this. And what the State has proposed actually is about a hundred percent of the profit that we project for it. It's a \$1.3 million fee. We don't agree with that at all.

We bargained for and we paid for assignable rights that are clear by the very words of the rights, and if there was any doubt, they were ratified by the only two parties to the rights, by Palm Beach County on June 23rd of 2003 and by the MacArthur Foundation when they were aware of this disagreement in writing on September 18th of 2008.

1	We believe that both we and the State are
2	contractually bound by the terms of that agreement.
3	We don't seek any amendment to state policy. Our
4	contractual rights are continued, were continued
5	when the State bought the property. We're just an
б	exception to that.

I think what happened was this. DEP made a mistake in 2004 because they misinterpreted those rights. They didn't tell the Cabinet aides and they didn't tell the Cabinet that there were mitigation rights. A legitimate disagreement perhaps. And the aides and the Trustees, in making the decision to acquire the land, understandably relied on that opinion. Well, that opinion was wrong. It's a good agency with good people that we respect. They've treated me very professionally, although we vehemently disagree with each other.

One more comment. Although it's not central to the legal issue here, it is worth noting that we're providing Florida taxpayers and citizens with value in this bank. We'll spend millions of dollars to restore this small area of land in a very important ecological region. We'll provide a permanent endowment for its maintenance and we'll do it now versus whenever the funding might occur.

1	And we actually end up creating something that
2	might be important today. It's an economic
3	development tool that will allow responsible
4	development, not approved by us, but approved by
5	states and regions and counties and anybody else
6	around it that's got legitimate permitting authority
7	to develop something of economic significance and
8	value.
9	Now, I didn't intend to come here to ask you to
10	be a civil court. I understand what it sounds like
11	But I've exhausted all the other remedies. And in
12	fact, DEP said, you know, if the Trustees tell us
13	that you're right, we'll be good soldiers and we'll
14	certainly carry out their instructions.
15	So what I ask for is that you you know, and
16	we've heard possibilities that, well, you bought
17	defective rights from the Foundation, go sue them.
18	Well, they weren't defective rights. Why don't we
19	give the property back and swap with Palm Beach
20	County. Well, that puts me right back in the
21	situation where I was before. I don't think that's
22	fair either.
23	What we ask is that you recognize our rights,

that you instruct the state agencies to cooperate

with us as representatives of the landowner in our

24

25

Ţ	permit process and that you extend those rights so
2	that we have a reasonable amount of time to do our
3	business and to exercise our contractual rights.
4	Thank you very much.
5	GOVERNOR CRIST: And questions or comments?
6	Commissioner?
7	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Thank you, Governor.
8	Once again this is you know, and I reconfirmed
9	with Jim, my cabinet aide, about the acquisition of
10	this property originally, and as to whether and I
11	didn't remember being told that there were already
12	some mitigation rights that were going to be held by
13	the Foundation.
14	And I don't know when the Foundation sold the
15	mitigation rights and why we were not told about
16	that at the time of the Foundation sale of those
17	rights to a private concern, because that legal
18	documentation had an effect on the property that we
19	purchased from the County.
20	So there are some issues here that are
21	sounding here I am a cowboy from Kissimmee
22	talking about legal issues. But it sounds to me
23	like these are legal issues that are going to
24	probably have to go to a court somewhere else.

But it does put us in a bind because there were

1	mitigation issues involved with holding those issues
2	by the Foundation under the original sale to the
3	County, of which we bought up the property and all
4	of those legal agreements that went with it.
5	But it does appear to me that we should have
6	been told, either DEP and DEP to us, that the
7	Foundation was selling those rights to a private
8	concern. So that bothers me from that standpoint.
9	I feel like we're kind of caught between a rock
10	and a hard place on a legal issue here that we were
11	not truly a part of, because we knew nothing about
12	that. So maybe the secretary can tell us where
13	they're suggesting this thing go from here.
14	MR. SOLE: Governor. Commissioner, just as a
15	point of clarification, in 2002 when this was
16	brought to the Cabinet, it was identified that there
17	were mitigation rights associated with part of the
18	acquisition.
19	Your point is correct. We were unaware that
20	MacArthur Foundation sold those rights to Mitigation
21	Services, but they were legitimately, and I agree
22	with Mr. MacIntosh, they were transferable, so there
23	was no ill issue there.
24	I think the argument again and I hate to use

that word, but the disagreement, which is a better

1	word, is that we've always been open and receptive
2	to mitigation on these lands. And the State of
3	Florida is very comfortable in allowing Mitigation
4	Services to do that project-by-project mitigation.
5	It's something we've done on other state-owned
б	lands. It's not an unusual course of business.
7	The issue that we've been very clear about is
8	that to operate a mitigation bank, which has a
9	long-term commitment and a long-term revenue stream,
10	was not contemplated as part of that agreement.
11	We've gone through that legal review. I still
12	firmly believe that is the case. It's not an issue
13	of a mistake. I firmly believe that the mitigation
14	rights that were retained by now Mitigation Services
15	do allow for mitigation but not the operation of a
16	mitigation bank.
17	Now, the Board of Trustees can again convey a
18	new right to Mitigation Services if they so wish.
19	It would be, in my opinion, new policy. But, again,
20	some of the issues and I'm going to be candid
21	with you. I think mitigation banks are something
22	that are overall a favorable thing for Florida. You
23	do get large-scale restoration with such an
24	activity.

But is -- the question in front of us, to me,

1	is using Board of Trustees lands the best location
2	to site these mitigation banks, because if there is
3	no just compensation to the State of Florida for
4	using these lands, I would say Mitigation Services
5	has an unfair competitive advantage to the other
б	mitigation banks that are in the area, folks who had
7	to buy land or buy interest in land to operate a
8	mitigation bank.

So those are some of the issues that I think we still will need to address. And if there is a desire for the Cabinet to move forward, I would ask that you give me the opportunity to help develop a lease to bring back to the Board of Trustees. But we're kind of on this issue of current board policy based upon 1998 is not to authorize a mitigation bank on state-owned lands. If we want to look at that differently, we can.

GOVERNOR CRIST: General.

ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Governor, obviously I wasn't a member of the Cabinet when that policy was set, and I understand there is a policy and perhaps a good reason why. But I have read -- I've looked at the letters that are before me here that Mr. MacIntosh referred to. The MacArthur Foundation letter of September 18 explicitly says, prior to

1

20

21

22

23

24

releasing those mitigation rights, we informed Palm

2	Beach County that pursuant to the mitigation
3	agreement, the foundation intended to assign the
4	mitigation rights to a mitigation banking
5	organization and confirm the right to create, among
6	other things, a mitigation bank.
7	And then in the letter that I'm not going to
8	read all of it, but the letter that the County has
9	that he referred to of June of 2003, they clearly
10	referred to a mitigation bank.
11	And I've looked at this contract. Now, I
12	know I'm a lawyer, so I may have to who knows,
13	we might be representing you down the road on this.
14	So I'm not trying to interpret the law. I'm just
15	trying to create the concern I have here today with
16	you.
17	The actual contract language that I think is
18	pertinent says, in paragraph two, retain mitigation
19	rights, A, for a period of ten years from the date

25 And it goes on to talk about those but without

Foundation from time to time.

hereof, term, Foundation shall retain the exclusive

rights to use the property, mitigation property to

properties as such properties may be designated by

mitigate the impacts of the development of other

1	any other specificity. And I know your team of
2	lawyers must have looked at this and interpreted
3	that to be the case-by-case type of proposition.
4	But clearly that was not what was intended by the
5	parties, if they're telling the truth in these
6	letters that they wrote, Palm Beach County and
7	MacArthur Foundation. And under law, of course, the
8	State assumes the status of this property at the
9	time and with this understanding there that
10	Mr. MacIntosh's company has.

So I'm worried that we're here today with a point where we could get involved in a protracted bit of litigation for the State that might be unnecessary. There certainly at the very least is a case -- what they call a case in controversy here, as to interpreting this, and with the two original parties to this saying there's a mitigation bank right.

I think we ought to be doing something here that's logical, and it seems to me it would be to provide in some format today a direction to you to go forward with allowing this mitigation bank, perhaps as an exception to our normal rule or our policy, I guess it's a policy, with regard to state land. But there's every reason to think that.

1	Plus it looks to me like this has been before
2	you for a long period of time. And it would have
3	been fairer perhaps to Mr. MacIntosh and his firm if
4	this had gotten before us or you denied it or
5	something had been resolved before now. This has
6	been several years sitting there. Now we're up
7	against this, extend it or not. And that disturbs
8	me as well.
9	So I would like to think we can work this out,
10	Governor, in some way and let this banking operation
11	exist, as it apparently was intended by the parties,
12	whether or not the contract literally says that or
13	not. I can't define the law here. I can just say
14	that apparently the parties intended that.
15	GOVERNOR CRIST: Thank you.
16	CFO SINK: General, are you making a motion?
17	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Well, I would make
18	the motion to approve
19	CFO SINK: Because I might second it.
20	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: to approve Item
21	4.
22	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: As is?
23	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Well, we've got a
24	question of what Mike Sole wants to do other
25	things with this. We could send it back for

```
1
          something, but I'm not sure that I'm prepared today
          to want to propose we do something that offers the
 2
          compensation he wants, since that wasn't part of the
 3
 4
          original agreement. I think we're getting into
          what's fair.
 5
               I don't know whether a new contract or new
          authorization is what we want or whether an
 7
          extension, but I don't see forcing the mitigation
 8
 9
          bank to do something they weren't originally
10
          intending to have to do.
11
               So I would offer the motion as it is, and I'll
          entertain a -- if somebody else wants to amend it,
12
13
          I'll entertain an amendment to it or change to it.
14
               GOVERNOR CRIST: Is that a motion to approve?
               ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: To approve Item 4.
15
               MR. SOLE: I was going to ask for some
16
17
          guidance.
               COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Governor, if I might,
18
19
          this thing is getting very delicate here as to -- we
20
          have a motion to approve it as stated in our
21
          paperwork.
22
               CFO SINK: What is it?
23
               COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Which would be -- well,
          actually, I need to figure out where this is going
24
```

to go because the original purchase from the County

25

1	had a mitigation issue in it that I was I don't
2	know that I was aware that the mitigation issue was
3	in it originally.

However, there's a difference between the word mitigation on 260 acres and mitigation bank on 260 acres, simply because that will be a private mitigation bank on state-owned land, which to my knowledge has not been done before.

And if we're going to be competing with private landowners on mitigation banking, the State is going to win hands down. And the final question would be, why would we have a mitigation bank on state land when technically state land is not going to be used for anything else and there's no need for a mitigation bank on state land. So those are -- I mean, those are some pretty big issues that I certainly would like some clarification on before we vote on this thing.

CFO SINK: Well, Governor, it seems to me that it's a -- I mean, this is a mess. And part of the mess is because we inherited something that was already existing. So it's not -- there was a contract that was entered into between the MacArthur Foundation and Mr. MacIntosh. And we inherited it through a couple of iterations, I guess. Is that

1 r	not r	ight, S	Secretary	Sole?

It went to Palm Beach County. Then it came to the State. And so what's happening here is we've inherited a contractual agreement. And, General McCollum, like you, I went back and looked at the original mitigation agreement. And I could easily interpret it to say, it doesn't say that you can't operate a mitigation bank. Clearly, the applicant thought -- I mean, he's put a lot of money into this property thinking that he could operate a mitigation bank.

And so I agree with you, that this doesn't -this should not at all set a precedent for our
policy and the point that you made about this
mitigation banking in general. To me, this is just
this one particular deal that we got caught up in,
not addressing the fact that there were already
contractual rights to do something on property that
just happened to end up in state hands.

That would be the way that I'd look at it. And so I'm -- I guess if the request is here to extend the agreement, then authorize them to operate a mitigation bank on this 263 acres, then I'm going to officially second your motion.

25 COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Governor, if I might.

1	GOVERNOR CRISI. Sure, Commissioner. Moved and
2	seconded.
3	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: I can see the
4	consternation on your faces as to this discussion.
5	GOVERNOR CRIST: I'm not consternated.
6	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Okay. I feel like I'm
7	in a real bind to vote for this, even though it's
8	been the motion has been made and a second,
9	because I'm still confused about the total
10	contractual agreements, whether we truly and I
11	guess the position is, you've got two people making
12	the motion and the second that were not here when
13	all this went down. I was here, and I still don't
14	remember, and my staff is not remembering that we
15	were told that there was a mitigation issue here
16	with a third party, if you will, to the proceedings
17	What we're about to do here is to really set a
18	precedent on state lands. And so I'm getting
19	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Let me I don't
20	think we are. I think the precedent issue is based
21	upon the idea that there was an existing or a
22	contract when the State acquired this. And
23	obviously somebody didn't understand it, didn't see
24	it. And it's been protracted. I think this is a
25	very unique dirdumstande

	I would like to go on record as saying I don't
2	see this as precedent for normally doing what we're
3	going to do, and I'm willing to revisit in the
4	future the question of policy as a broad spectrum
5	thing. But I don't think this is a policy change,
6	as such. I would not consider it that.
7	CFO SINK: Well, I agree, General. I'm not
8	going to support it if it's a policy change.
9	GOVERNOR CRIST: It may not be a policy change
10	but it may be a first.
11	CFO SINK: This is a fix. Well, this is a fix
12	for acknowledging that someone had rights, believed
13	and had a contract and had a right to perform an
14	activity on land that just ended up in our hands,
15	and we didn't deal with it at the time.
16	I mean, what should have happened, I guess, is
17	at the time, if we were aware that there were these
18	mitigation rights, these mitigation banking rights,
19	then we should have gone back and negotiated those
20	away. We could have done that, couldn't we,
21	Secretary Sole? And we didn't negotiate them away.
22	MR. SOLE: In fact, we affirmatively I want
23	to be very clear. At the time of 2002, when this
24	was brought to the Board of Trustees, we identified
25	that there is mitigation rights with this

1	acquisition and that at the time, the MacArthur
2	Foundation does retain the right to do mitigation on
3	the lands. And we actually reduced the value of the
4	lands a small bit by that. So it was part and it
5	was cognitively accepted that the mitigation was
6	or mitigation rights existed on this over 200 acres
7	of land.

What is the only difference of interpretation is, does that -- did that convey a right to do a mitigation bank, because that is a significantly different, albeit minor nuance difference, issue. You know, here, yes, they have the right to do mitigation. They can do project-by-project mitigation. To operate a mitigation bank on public property, it was not conveyed in that way during the presentation of the item.

And that's the only issue that I think really is at dispute, is was there an agreement to do mitigation. The State of Florida says, yes, there was. Was there agreement to do a mitigation bank? We continue to assert that, no, there was not agreement. And unfortunately it's taken this long. And that's part of the challenge that we've got in front of us. So we are ready to take some direction and --

Τ	ATTORNEY GENERAL MCCOLLUM: Mike, let me ask
2	you this. If we withhold the motion that I've made
3	and CFO Sink has seconded, can you work out
4	something with Mr. MacIntosh's company, come back at
5	the next Cabinet meeting, I mean, something that
6	would be agreeable, knowing I hope I'm speaking,
7	I don't know if I am, for all of us, because we've
8	had some dispute. Charlie didn't want to be
9	COMMISSIONER BRONSON: I'm certainly more
10	inclined do that, Governor, than I am to vote on it
11	today, I can tell you that.
12	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: But what I'm
13	looking at is a direction for you
14	MR. SOLE: Yes, sir.
15	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: that we, at
16	least I feel, and I believe CFO Sink says she does,
17	too, that we need to acknowledge this and not get
18	into more problems with it. We need to resolve it
19	now it's been too long and favorably to the
20	bank. That's the way I see it.
21	Now, what the terms if there are some
22	problems because of the uniqueness of this that need
23	to be worked out and things that are little T's and
24	I's dotted that you might not have fully
25	appreciated, expecting us perhaps just to go along

1	with the denial recommendation, then I'd be willing
2	to send it back. Would that be all right with you,
3	CFO Sink, if we waited another two weeks? Is that
4	two weeks until the next Cabinet meeting?
5	GOVERNOR CRIST: November 20th.
6	CFO SINK: Well, I'd like to hear what
7	Secretary Sole says.
8	MR. SOLE: There are two things that I would
9	seek direction on or seek your guidance on. One, if
10	you want to ask us to enter into a lease with
11	Mitigation Services to allow a mitigation bank on
12	this property, we can go forward, work with
13	Mitigation Services to develop that lease.
14	I continue to convey, and I just talked with
15	one of my Office of General Counsel staff, that we
16	cannot extend this agreement. We just don't have
17	the authority to extend it. It's not a right that
18	you technically have.
19	Technically, the only entity that has the right
20	to do mitigation is Mitigation Services. So you
21	don't have the capability to extend that. We would
22	have to enter into a new lease with Mitigation
23	Services.
24	If the direction is, DEP, please negotiate with
25	Mitigation Services a new lease that allows them to

```
do a mitigation bank on this property, we can go
work with Mitigation Services, try to develop a fair
lease that all can agree to. I might need more than
```

- Jease that all tan agree to. I might heed more than
- 4 two weeks to do that.
- 5 CFO SINK: Well, what about -- what about the
- idea of directing you to begin to negotiate --
- 7 negotiate a new lease --
- 8 MR. SOLE: Yes, ma'am.
- 9 CFO SINK: -- for a five-year term with maybe
- 10 some options, but then you have to come back to us
- and get our approval?
- MR. SOLE: Yes, ma'am.
- 13 CFO SINK: Would that work?
- 14 ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: It would work with
- 15 me. I think as long as we're clear what we're
- 16 doing. We're not -- you said it might take you
- longer than two weeks. But I don't want this to sit
- 18 around -- it seems to me that's part of the problem.
- 19 This has sat around for too long.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Can I -- Governor, if I
- 21 might. According -- and we had our attorneys look
- 22 at this, too. And while they were under -- and
- 23 we've got some pretty good land attorneys working
- for the Department of Agriculture, I might add.
- 25 And they were under the opinion that the Board

1	did have the ability to address mitigation issues,
2	but they were not conclusive in here that the Board
3	had any ability to consider mitigation banking,
4	which is there is a difference between the two
5	issues, mitigation issues, and how you address those
6	and mitigation banking, which is more of a private
7	concern, on state land.
8	And our attorneys did not feel that we had the
9	ability to consider banking, but we did have
10	consideration to do mitigation, that may be able to
11	work out with the private concern for mitigation
12	issues, but not necessarily mitigation banking.
13	So that's why I'm drawing back from this and
14	would rather hear more about it, just from the legal
15	opinion of our attorneys as well.
16	GOVERNOR CRIST: If I might.
17	MR. SOLE: Please.
18	GOVERNOR CRIST: It sounds to me like my
19	colleagues and, General, maybe you would be the
20	most appropriate one to do this, would want to
21	entertain a motion to defer, with some guidance for
22	the Department to negotiate and come back to us at
23	the December meeting with their proposal.
24	ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Well, Governor, I

will accept that as an indication, and I will

```
1
          withdraw my motion, with the consent of CFO Sink,
 2
          that is on the table, and instead move to defer, as
 3
          you suggested, with the direction for negotiations
          and coming back to us -- at what date would you
 5
          suggest?
               GOVERNOR CRIST: At the December meeting.
 6
 7
               ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: At the December
 8
          meeting.
 9
               MR. SOLE: Yes, sir.
10
               CFO SINK: Governor, I would like to hear
11
          Mr. MacIntosh, because we're talking about -- I get
          this all the time. My staff talks about me as if
12
13
          I'm not in the room. Sometimes I like to hold up my
14
          hand and say, "I'm here." Does that happen to you,
15
          Governor?
16
               GOVERNOR CRIST: No.
17
               MR. MACINTOSH: I'd just like to make it clear
18
          one more time, in response to Commissioner Bronson.
          We're not trying to make policy, sir. We don't want
19
20
          to do that. We're saying that what happened was
21
          there was a contract between the Foundation and Palm
22
          Beach County, clearly, where both sides agreed that
23
          mitigation banking should be on that property.
24
               You bought an asset and inherited the
```

responsibilities with that. We bought an asset and

1	inherited the responsibilities. It's the same
2	contract. It's the same words. It's still governed
3	by the same words that are in those two letters that
4	say mitigation banking is contemplated, it's
5	allowed, it should be approved.
6	We're just parties to that. We're not changing
7	state policy. This contract and our rights under it
8	trump that policy. If we go back and do what you
9	suggested now, I'm afraid, you know, it's going to
10	make us it's going to make it impractical because
11	we're going to hear from DEP, well, this is a new
12	lease, we need a lot of compensation for it. A
13	five-year term, frankly, isn't going to be enough to
14	create to finish the permitting and to create and
15	operate and sell out a mitigation bank today.
16	It might have been it was going to be that
17	before, but in today's economic circumstances, we're
18	not going to sell those credits in five years.
19	We're not going to get the permitting. We're not
20	going to sell them.
21	That's why we asked for what we asked for, just
22	a recognition of our contractual rights, not a
23	change in state policy, and a fair extension of time
24	to do what we thought and what we bargained for in

that process.

1	GOVERNOR CRIST: Excuse me. Are you interested
2	in doing this?
3	MR. MACINTOSH: Very much so, absolutely.
4	GOVERNOR CRIST: Well, then why is this do
5	you object to a deferment until December?
6	MR. MACINTOSH: If it's under the
7	circumstances, Governor, of a new lease, that's
8	going to mean compensation. That's what's going
9	to
10	GOVERNOR CRIST: I would hope so. The
11	taxpayers own the land.
12	MR. MACINTOSH: Well, the taxpayers own the
13	land because they bought it from Palm Beach County,
14	who was expecting no compensation. Again, what I'm
15	saying is, whatever rights Palm Beach County had is
16	what the State bargained for and purchased.
17	And I'm just asking for a continuation of that
18	based on the circumstances, not changing anything.
19	But that's a major change. And it brings in all of
20	the practical considerations of what's the fair
21	lease.
22	As I told you, they proposed a ten percent, or
23	something like that, charge on our gross income,
24	which takes all of the net income away. Under those
25	circumstances, no, sir, I'm not interested in doing

```
this project. That's not fair.
 1
 2
               GOVERNOR CRIST: So you want to use the
 3
          people's property to make your profit and the people
 4
          don't get a benefit from it, economically.
 5
               MR. MACINTOSH: The people do get a benefit
 6
          from it, probably to the tune of $5 million, because
 7
          it's money that we're going to spend restoring and
 8
          maintaining the property that the State never will
 9
          have to expend. It's a huge benefit to the State,
10
          and we're doing it now rather than whenever DEP gets
11
          the money to do it. It's an enormous taxpayer
          benefit in that regard, and it's a huge
12
13
          ecological --
               GOVERNOR CRIST: Is that how much you're
14
          committing to pay to improve the --
15
               MR. MACINTOSH: Well, what will happen is, it
16
17
          will be something like that.
               GOVERNOR CRIST: On the record today?
18
               MR. MACINTOSH: Well, I don't know what it's
19
20
          going to be, Governor, because it's going to be --
          it's going to be whatever the Water Management
21
          District tells us to do and whatever we can best
22
23
          negotiate to get those very specific ecological
          criteria met. If we could do it for $2 million, I'd
24
          love to do that. If we could do it for $8 million,
25
```

```
1 we'll have to do it for that if we want to be in
```

- 2 business.
- 3 It's not our choice as to how much we want to
- 4 spend, sir. It's whatever we're told by the water
- 5 management district we need to do ecologically.
- GOVERNOR CRIST: Anything else?
- 7 ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: No, Governor. I
- 8 think that if it's sent back, this can be discussed
- 9 and brought back and we can readdress it.
- 10 GOVERNOR CRIST: Did you have any questions?
- MR. SOLE: We'll bring it back in December.
- 12 ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Do we need to vote
- 13 on it?
- 14 GOVERNOR CRIST: That would be good.
- 15 MR. SOLE: I'm sorry. I spoke prematurely.
- 16 GOVERNOR CRIST: We have a motion. Is there a
- 17 second?
- 18 CFO SINK: Second.
- 19 GOVERNOR CRIST: All in favor? Aye.
- 20 COMMISSIONER BRONSON: Aye.
- 21 ATTORNEY GENERAL McCOLLUM: Aye.
- 22 CFO SINK: Aye.
- 23 GOVERNOR CRIST: Opposed, like sign. Motion
- 24 carries.
- MR. SOLE: Thank you, Governor.

1		GOVERNOR	CR1	IST:	We're	adjo	urned.	Tha	ank	you.
2		(Whereup	on,	the	meeting	y was	conclu	.ded	at	11:25
3	a.m.)								
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

	1	
	2	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
	3	
	4	STATE OF FLORIDA)
	5	COUNTY OF LEON)
	6	
Reporter,	7	I, Jo Langston, Registered Professional
	8	do hereby certify that the foregoing pages 5 through 105,
	9	both inclusive, comprise a true and correct transcript of
	10	the proceeding; that said proceeding was taken by me
	11	stenographically and transcribed by me as it now appears;
counsel	12	that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or
	13	of the parties, or a relative or employee of such attorney
	14	or counsel, nor am I interested in this proceeding or its
	15	outcome.
	16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
	17	this 15th day of November 2008.
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	JO LANGSTON Registered Professional Reporter
	23	registered Professional Reporter
	24	