Solicitation Number: DCF ITN 2021 001

Solicitation Title: Electronic Benefits Transfer/Electronic Funds Transfer (EBT/EFT)

Services

Response(s) Due Date: October 15, 2021

Addendum Number: 3

Addendum Date: September 30, 2021

FAILURE TO FILE A PROTEST WITHIN THE TIME PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 120.57(3), FLORIDA STATUTES, OR FAILURE TO POST THE BOND OR OTHER SECURITY REQUIRED BY LAW WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A BOND SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER CHAPTER 120, FLORIDA STATUTES.

The enclosed information has been provided for consideration in the preparation of your response to the above-mentioned solicitation.

DCF ITN 2021 001, EBT/EFT Services is hereby amended as follows (Added or new language to the ITN is highlighted in yellow, while **bold** deleted language has been struck and highlighted in turquoise). All other terms and conditions of the solicitation remain in effect.

### **CHANGE NO. 1:**

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SECTION 2.4, TIMELINE, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

### 2.4 Timeline

Activity	Date	<b>Time</b> Eastern	Address	Section Reference
Solicitation advertised and released on VBS:	June 16, 2021	N/A	VBS Electronic Posting site: <a href="http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m">http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m</a> <a href="main_menu">ain_menu</a>	1.4
*Pre-solicitation Conference to be held:	July 7, 2021	1:00 pm	Please join meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.  https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/9221 63789 You can also dial in using your phone. United States (Toll Free): 1 877 309 2073 United States: +1 (571) 317-3129 Access Code: 922-163-789  Join from a video-conferencing room	2.6

Activity	Date	<b>Time</b> Eastern	Address	Section Reference
			or system. Dial in or type: 67.217.95.2 or inroomlink.goto.com Meeting ID: 922 163 789 Or dial directly: 922163789@67.217.95.2 or 67.217.95.2##922163789	
Written questions must be received by:	July 13, 2021	4:30 pm	See Section 1.3	2.7
Department's response to questions:	September 7, 2021		VBS Electronic Posting site: <a href="http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m">http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m</a> <a href="mailto:ain_menu">ain_menu</a>	2.7
Round 2 of written questions due:	TBD	TBD	See Section 1.3	2.7
Anticipated Posting of Department's response to questions, Round 2:	TBD September 29, 2021	TBD	VBS Electronic Posting site: http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.main_menu	2.7
Sealed Reply must be received by the Department:	October 15, 2021	1:00 pm	See Section 1.3	2.8.1
* Reply opening:	October 15, 2021 October 18, 2021	3:00 pm	Please join meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.  https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/45368 9277 You can also dial in using your phone. United States (Toll Free): 1 877 309 2073 United States: +1 (646) 749-3129 Access Code: 453-689-277  Join from a video-conferencing room or system. Dial in or type: 67.217.95.2 or inroomlink.goto.com Meeting ID: 453 689 277	2.8.3

Activity	Date	<b>Time</b> Eastern	Address	Section Reference
			Or dial directly: 453689277@67.217.95.2 or 67.217.95.2##453689277	
* Meeting of the evaluators and ranking of the Replies:	November 9, 2021	11:00 am	Please join meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.  https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/50904 9813 You can also dial in using your phone. United States (Toll Free): 1 877 309 2073 United States: +1 (646) 749-3129 Access Code: 509-049-813  Join from a video-conferencing room or system. Dial in or type: 67.217.95.2 or inroomlink.goto.com Meeting ID: 509 049 813	5.2.5
Negotiation phase:	November 12, 2021 – January 7, 2022	N/A	N/A	5.3
* Negotiators' recommendation meeting:	January 20, 2022	2:00 pm	Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone. https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/68199 7717 You can also dial in using your phone. United States (Toll Free): 1 866 899 4679 United States: +1 (571) 317-3116 Access Code: 681-997-717  Join from a video-conferencing room or system. Dial in or type: 67.217.95.2 or inroomlink.goto.com Meeting ID: 681 997 717 Or dial directly: 681997717@67.217.95.2 or 67.217.95.2#681997717	5.4.1
Posting of intended Contract award:	February 7, 2022	5:00 pm	VBS Electronic Posting site: <a href="http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m">http://myflorida.com/apps/vbs/vbs_www.m</a> <a href="main_menu">ain_menu</a>	5.4.4

Activity	Date	<b>Time</b> Eastern	Address	Section Reference
Effective date of Contract:	May 5, 2022	N/A	N/A	1.2
*All meetings noted with an asterisk (*) are public meetings.				

### **CHANGE NO. 2:**

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SECTION 4.3.2.5, DUN & BRADSTREET COMPREHENSIVE REPORT, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

### 4.3.2.5 Dun & Bradstreet Comprehensive Report

The Department may request The Vendor shall provide access or otherwise arrange access for the Department to receive a copy of their Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report that shows both the Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS). The report shall be dated no more than two months prior to the submission date of the ITN.

It is the duty of the Vendor to ensure the submission of a D&B report that accurately reflects the proposing entity or division within the parent company, if applicable. If the Department cannot easily determine that the report is that of the proposing entity or division, then the Department may award zero points.

### **CHANGE NO. 3**

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SECTION C-1.2.18.2.2., WIC POS SYSTEM REQUIREMENTS, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

### C-1.2.18.2.2 WIC POS System Requirements

The WIC State Office-provided equipment shall have enhanced capabilities beyond what is currently deployed in the VX520. The equipment should provide retailers with improved capability to manage their operations, to include functions such as financial reporting/reconciliation and inventory tracking. The equipment shall have the capability to support multiple tender types; however, the WIC State Office will only provide WIC-only (single function) equipment at no cost to an authorized retailer as specified under Federal Regulation. If a retailer selects to use the equipment with additional tender types (multi-function), they may lease the equipment directly from the Provider and will be responsible for those costs associated with the equipment.

The following are the specifications for the updated WIC POS hardware. The system must:

1. Be capable of processing all Vendor transactions and interact with servicing TPPs or connect directly to the Providers' redemption processing service.

2. Be capable of processing WIC redemptions using a scanner or through manual entry of transaction data.

The system enhancement must be capable of producing reports and transaction summaries for the Vendor on site.

The application shall allow the state to access Vendor WIC UPC item sales and inventory data by approved retailers. This may be accomplished through a web based or similar application to provide real-time accessibility. Some basic requirements and characteristics of the WIC POS solution are given below.

- 1. The equipment used should be commercially available and non-proprietary.
- The cashier terminals at the store should have the look and feel of a normal POS and the
  cashier screen should be like a normal computer, table model computer or tablet depending
  on the store preference. It should be intuitive and easy to use for cashiers. <a href="https://linear.com/like-store/like-sto
- 3. System should be configured to permit remote training of users, maintenance and support.
- 4. The POS screen should display the benefits available on the Customer Card as well as a separate display of the CVV Cash Balance. As purchases are made, the balances should update and if balances exceed what is available on the card, errors/warnings should indicate this to the cashier.
- 5. The APL data should be automatically downloaded to every terminal each day without any intervention by the store.
- 6. The requested amount and the paid amount for each transaction should be available for reconciliation and indications to the retailer that their prices are high.
- 7. The system should be upgradable to support additional tenders (SNAP EBT, Credit Cards / Debit Cards, Cash) as an option for which the individual retailer will pay. The Provider shall establish appropriate procedures to permit such upgrades. The status of these units will be specifically identified in the quarterly system certification report to the WIC State Office and indicate its current capability to process WIC only or added tenders.
- 8. The system should also be easily upgradable to a full-services POS solution if the store so desires.

### CHANGE NO. 4:

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SECTION D-1.1 PROJECT WORK PLAN, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

### D-1.1. Project Work Plan

The Department envisions the Provider's EBT system and services transition as consisting of six sequential but overlapping phases including design, development, testing, conversion, implementation, and operations. Due to the range of factors impacting the project timeline and anticipated overlap in some phases, the Department does not intend to prescribe any set period of time for each of the respective phases. The Provider shall provide an Executive Level Work Plan to the Department incorporating the Preliminary Project Work Plan submitted with its response to the ITN. The work plan shall include the project schedule, defining the anticipated timelines and estimated completion dates for the project deliverables within each phase.

The Provider shall assume that the conversion, if required, from the current EBT service provider must be completed no later than May 5, 2023 **TBD**, or before the Contract with the current EBT service provider terminates (or sooner, if deemed necessary by the Department). The completed conversion will require the Department to have performed thorough testing of the interaction with the State MIS and provided a formal acceptance of the interface.

The Project Work Plan is a dynamic document that shall be updated continually during the course of the project to reflect milestones and critical junctures that have been met, as well as project slippage. Prior to system conversion, an updated Project Work Plan shall be submitted with the weekly status reports. Following system conversion, an updated Project Work Plan shall be maintained to reflect all Department-initiated and Provider-initiated system design, development, testing, and implementation efforts.

The Project Work Plan shall include, at a minimum:

- 1. A schedule of all tasks, activities, and deliverables by project phase.
- 2. Identification of all critical path and dependency tasks.
- 3. Delineation of the responsibilities of the Provider, the State and Federal agencies.
- 4. Anticipated timelines and estimated completion dates for the project deliverables within each phase.
- 5. A conversion completion time frame following Contract execution as required by the Department.

### CHANGE NO. 5

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SUBSECTION 5.2.1.1, SNAP/CASH EVALUATION CRITERIA, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

5.2.1.1. SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria

	Relative	Maximum	
SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria	Value	Score	
Programmatic Evaluation			
<ul> <li>The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor's track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in <b>Tab 5</b> of the Vendor's Reply.</li> <li>Company qualifications and experience</li> </ul>	14%	140	
<ul> <li>The input provided by the references provided in <b>Tab 5</b> of the Vendor's Reply.</li> <li>Company references</li> </ul>	3%	30	
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.</li> <li>Plan for providing required staff</li> <li>Quality and qualification of staff</li> </ul>	3%	30	
<ul> <li>Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors shall be coordinated and managed.</li> <li>Qualification of subcontractors</li> <li>Management of subcontractors</li> </ul>	3%	30	
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements for SNAP/Cash EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.</li> <li>State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics interface</li> <li>EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and benefit authorization, including investigative accounts</li> <li>Administrative function support, including the EBT Administrative System</li> <li>Support for card &amp; PINs, including issuance and reissuance</li> <li>Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State</li> <li>Transaction processing</li> <li>Retailer management</li> <li>Transaction settlement and reconciliation</li> </ul>	24%	240	

SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
o Training		
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed data warehouse and reporting solution meet the needs of the Department and provide the structured and ad-hoc reporting requirements of the Department.</li> <li>Data warehouse solution</li> <li>Tools for accessing data in the data warehouse</li> <li>Standard reporting</li> <li>Ad-hoc reporting capabilities</li> </ul>	5%	50
<ul> <li>The Vendor's EBT solution aids the Department and the USDA-FNS in detection and investigation of EBT fraud and abuse by retailers, recipients, or Department staff.</li> <li>Provision of dedicated staff</li> <li>Sophisticated Fraud Detection and Reporting System, including assignment and tracking functionality</li> <li>Investigative support</li> </ul>	5%	50
<ul> <li>The Vendor's EBT solution provides flexibility and scalability to support disaster and pandemic services, often in adverse situations.</li> <li>Supports level 1, 2 and 3 disasters and pandemics</li> <li>Supports various alternatives for card and PIN issuance</li> </ul>	5%	50
The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation and how it will address innovations within the resulting Contract.	3%	30
<ul> <li>The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current Contract to the resulting Contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.</li> <li>Continuity of services</li> <li>Testing requirements</li> <li>Project management</li> </ul>	5%	50
The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive change management process.	2%	20
Financial Management Evaluation		
<ul> <li>The Vendor's financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information.</li> <li>This criteria and information provided by the Vendor (in the Financial Reply) will not be used by or distributed to the</li> </ul>	10%	100
i mandai nepiy) wiii not be used by or distributed to trie		

SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
Programmatic Evaluators. The Financial and Stability Information will be evaluated by a separate Financial Management Evaluator.		
<ul> <li>Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs.</li> </ul>		
Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report shows     Commercial Credit Seers (CCS) and the Financial Street Seers		
Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS) that indicate low risk of financial issues.		
(1 00) that indicate low risk of infallolar loodes.		
The Vendor's cost information.		
<ul> <li>This criteria and information provided by the Vendor (in the Financial Reply) will not be used by or distributed to the Programmatic Evaluators. The Financial Reply will be evaluated by a separate Financial Management Evaluator.</li> </ul>	18%	180
<ul> <li>How well does the Vendor follow State and Federal</li> </ul>		
budgeting and cost requirements?		
<ul> <li>Overall, is the Vendor proposed cost justified for the SNAP/Cash program being served.</li> </ul>		
TOTAL	100%	1000

### **CHANGE NO. 6:**

A CHANGE TO INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE, SUBSECTION 5.2.1.2, WIC EVALUATION CRITERIA, IS HEREBY REVISED AS FOLLOWS:

### 5.2.1.2 WIC Evaluation Criteria

WIC Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
Programmatic Evaluation		
<ul> <li>The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor(s) track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 6 of the Vendor's Reply.</li> <li>Company qualifications and experience</li> </ul>	1%	10
<ul> <li>The input provided by the references provided in <b>Tab 6</b> of the Vendor's Reply.</li> <li>Company references</li> </ul>	1%	10

WIC Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.</li> <li>Plan for providing required staff</li> <li>Quality and qualification of staff</li> </ul>	15%	150
<ul> <li>Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors will be coordinated and managed.</li> <li>Qualification of subcontractors</li> <li>Management of subcontractors</li> </ul>	1%	10
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC technical requirements specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.</li> <li>WIC EBT Administrative System;</li> <li>WIC EBT cards and PINs;</li> <li>System support and disaster recovery;</li> <li>Training;</li> <li>WIC EBT account set-up and prescription authorization;</li> <li>Transaction processing;</li> <li>Transaction history;</li> <li>UPC maintenance;</li> <li>Universal Interface support;</li> <li>Local agency equipment; and</li> <li>Data warehouse and reporting capabilities.</li> </ul>	15%	150
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC Customer Service requirements specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.</li> <li>Cardholder Mobile App</li> <li>Website and IVR</li> <li>Retailer Customer Service</li> <li>State Office Assistance</li> </ul>	3%	30
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed solution meets the requirements for Retailer Management and WIC stand-beside POS devices.</li> <li>WIC retailer certifications</li> <li>Stand-beside POS devices</li> <li>Merchant General and Farmer's Market apps</li> </ul>	6%	60
The Vendor proposed solution support the WIC Recoupment Process specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.	14%	140

WIC Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
<ul> <li>Supports automated recoupment services</li> <li>Recoupment activity file and reporting</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>The Vendor demonstrates understanding and agreement with the settlement and reconciliation process in place for WIC.</li> <li>Daily settlement invoice</li> <li>Timeliness of submission</li> </ul>	12%	120
The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation and how it will address innovations within the resulting Contract.	2%	20
<ul> <li>The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current contract to the resulting contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.</li> <li>Continuity of services</li> <li>Testing requirements</li> <li>Project management</li> </ul>	2%	20
<ul> <li>The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive change management process.</li> <li>Change management process is complete</li> <li>Change management process is comprehensive</li> </ul>	2%	20
Financial Management Evaluation		
<ul> <li>The Vendor's financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information.</li> <li>This criteria and information provided by the Vendor (in the Financial Reply) will not be used by or distributed to the Programmatic Evaluators. The Financial Stability Information will be evaluated by a separate Financial Management Evaluator.</li> <li>Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.</li> <li>Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs.</li> <li>Dun &amp; Bradstreet (D&amp;B) Comprehensive Report shows Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS) that indicate low risk of financial issues.</li> </ul>	10%	100
The Vendor's cost information.  This criteria and information provided by the Vendor (in the Financial Reply) will not be used by or distributed to the Programmatic Evaluators. The Financial Reply will be evaluated by a separate Financial Management Evaluator.	16%	160

	WIC Evaluation Criteria	Relative Value	Maximum Score
<del>0</del>	How well does the Vendor follow State and Federal		
	budgeting and cost requirements?		
0	Overall, is the Vendor proposed cost justified for the WIC program being served.		
	TOTAL	100%	1000

### CHANGE NO. 7:

APPENDIX XV, SNAP/CASH EVALUATION MANUAL, IS REPLACED IN ITS ENTIRETY AND ATTACHED HERETO. VENDORS SHALL USE THIS REVISED ATTACHMENT WHEN RESPONDING.

### **CHANGE NO. 8:**

APPENDIX XVI, SNAP/CASH EVALUATION MANUAL, IS REPLACED IN ITS ENTIRETY AND ATTACHED HERETO. VENDORS SHALL USE THIS REVISED ATTACHMENT WHEN RESPONDING.

### APPENDIX XV

## STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

### ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM OFFICE



## ITN#: ITN-2021-001 ELECTRONIC BENEFITS TRANSFER/ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER (EBT/EFT) SERVICES

## **REVISED** SNAP/Cash Evaluation Manual

Evaluator Name:	
Vendor Name:	
Date of Reply Evaluation:	
Evaluator Signature:	
(PMT-10-1516)	

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION 1 - Programmatic Evaluation for SNAP/Cash EBT	. Page 2
SECTION 2 - Financial Stability and Cost Evaluation for SNAP/Cash EBT	Page 36

### 1 SECTION 1 - PROGRAMMATIC EVALUATION FOR SNAP/CASH EBT

### 2 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 2.1 SECTION 1 Programmatic Evaluation for SNAP/Cash EBT <u>shall be evaluated by PROGRAMMATIC</u> <u>EVALUATORS ONLY.</u>
- 2.2 Each Programmatic Evaluator will evaluate the programmatic Reply for all Vendor Replies that pass the mandatory criteria. Each evaluation criterion must be scored. Fractional values will not be accepted. If an evaluator score sheet(s) is missing scores, it will be returned for completion. Scoring must reflect the evaluator's independent evaluation of the Reply to each evaluation criterion.
- 2.3 Each evaluator shall assign a score for each evaluation criterion based upon his/her assessment of the Reply. The assignment of an individual score must be based upon the following description of the point scores:

IF, in your judgment the reply demonstrates and/or describes	Category	assign points within
extensive competency, proven capabilities, an outstanding approach to the subject area, innovative, practical and effective solutions, a clear and complete understanding of inter-relationships, full responsiveness, a clear and comprehensive understanding of the requirements and planning for the unforeseen.	Superior	81-100% of the maximum points for the area.
clear competency, consistent capability, a reasoned approach to the subject area, feasible solutions, a generally clear and complete description of interrelationships, extensive but incomplete responsiveness and a sound understanding of the requirements.	Good	61-80% of the maximum points for the area.
fundamental competency, adequate capability, a basic approach to the subject area, apparently feasible but somewhat unclear solutions, a weak description of inter-relationships in some areas, partial responsiveness, a fair understanding of the requirements and a lack of staff experience and skills in some areas.	Adequate	41-60% of the maximum points for the area.
little competency, minimal capability, an inadequate approach to the subject area, infeasible and/or ineffective solutions, somewhat unclear, incomplete and /or non-responsive, a lack of understanding of the requirements and a lack of demonstrated experience and skills.	Poor	21-40 %of the maximum points for the area.
a significant or complete lack of understanding, an incomprehensible approach, a significant of complete lack of skill and experience and extensive non-responsiveness.	Insufficient	0-20% of the maximum points for the area.

2.4 When completing score sheets evaluators should record references to the sections of the ITN (including any Appendices) and the written reply materials which most directly pertain to the criterion and upon which their scores were based. More than one section may be recorded. Evaluators should not attempt an exhaustive documentation of every bit of information considered but only key

- information. In general, the reference statements should be brief. If the Reply does not address an evaluation criterion, evaluators should indicate "not addressed" and score it accordingly.
- 2.5 Each evaluator has been provided a copy of the ITN, including its appendices, any ITN addenda, and Vendor written inquiries and the written responses provided by the Department. Each evaluator will also be provided with a copy of each Programmatic Reply which shall be evaluated and scored according to the instructions provided in the solicitation and the evaluation manual.
- 2.6 Replies shall be independently scored by each member of the Programmatic Evaluation team. No collaboration is permitted during the scoring process. The same scoring principles must be applied to every reply received, independent of other evaluators. Evaluators should work carefully to be as thorough as possible to ensure a fair and open competitive procurement. No attempt by Department personnel or others, including other evaluators, to influence an evaluator's scoring shall be tolerated.
- 2.7 If any attempt is made to influence an evaluator, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Procurement Officer. If such an attempt is made by the Procurement Officer, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Inspector General.
- 2.8 Only the rating sheets provided should be used. No additional notes or marks should appear elsewhere in the evaluation manual.
- 2.9 Evaluators may request assistance in understanding evaluation criteria and Replies only from the Procurement Officer.
- 2.10 Questions related to the solicitation and the evaluations of the Reply should be directed only to:

Tammy Davis, Procurement Officer

Florida Department of Children and Families

E-Mail Address: Tammy.Davis1@myflfamilies.com

- 2.11 After each evaluator has completed the scoring of each programmatic Reply, the scores are then submitted to the Procurement Officer for compilation. The Procurement Officer will average the total programmatic point scores by each evaluator to calculate the points awarded for each section.
- 2.12 Following completion of the independent evaluations of the Replies, the Procurement Officer will hold a meeting to validate evaluator scoring. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that their individual evaluation scores were captured correctly.

### 3 QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

Evaluators shall assign scores to each of the Replies received by the Department based on the following criteria:

- Vendor's articulation of their project approach and solution, and the ability of the approach and solution to meet the Department's needs, the requirements of this ITN and Appendix IX
- The innovation of the approach and solution
- Vendor references and track record implementing similar solutions to the one specified in this ITN

• Experience and skills of proposed staff relative to the proposed approach and solution

### 4 PROGRAMMATIC REPLY POINT VALUES

The maximum score for the Programmatic Reply is 720 points for SNAP/Cash EBT programmatic requirements. The programmatic criteria for SNAP/Cash EBT is below.

SNAP/Cash EBT Programmatic Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	Percent of Total (720 Points)					
<ul> <li>The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor's track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 5 of the Vendor's Reply.</li> </ul>							
Company qualifications and experience	140	14%					
Criteria 1 Subtotal	140	14%					
The input provided by the references provided in Tab 5 of the Ver	ndor's Reply.						
<ul> <li>Company references</li> </ul>	30	3%					
Criteria 2 Subtotal	30	3%					
The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in partic proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department							
<ul><li>Plan for providing required staff</li><li>Quality and qualification of staff</li></ul>	30	3%					
Criteria 3 Subtotal	30	3%					
<ul> <li>Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in per responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontracto coordinated and managed.</li> </ul>							
<ul><li>Qualification of subcontractors</li><li>Management of subcontractors</li></ul>	30	3%					
Criteria 4 Subtotal	30	3%					
The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements     EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXH							

	State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics		
	interface		
	EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and		
	benefit authorization, including investigative accounts		
	Administrative function support, including the EBT		
	Administrative System	240	24%
	Support for card & PINs, including issuance and reissuance	240	2470
	Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State		
(	Transaction processing		
(	Retailer management		
	Transaction settlement and reconciliation		
	o Training		
Criter	ia 5 Subtotal	240	24%
• T	he Vendor proposed data warehouse and reporting solution me	et the needs of	
tł	ne Department and provide the structured and ad-hoc reporting	requirements	
0	f the Department.		
	Data warehouse solution		
	Tools for accessing data in the data warehouse	50	5%
(	Standard reporting	30	3/0
(	Ad-hoc reporting capabilities		
Criter	ria 6 Subtotal	50	5%
• T	he Vendor's EBT solution aids the Department and the USDA-FN	S in detection	
	nd investigation of EBT fraud and abuse by retailers, recipients,	or Department	
st	taff.	<del>,</del>	
	Provision of dedicated staff		
	Sophisticated Fraud Detection and Reporting System,	50	5%
	including assignment and tracking functionality	50	5%
	Investigative support		
Criter	ia 7 Subtotal	50	5%
• T	he Vendor's EBT solution provides flexibility and scalability to su	pport disaster	
a	nd pandemic services, often in adverse situations.		
	Supports level 1, 2 and 3 disasters and pandemics		
	Supports various alternatives for card and PIN issuance	50	5%
	ria 8 Subtotal	50	5%
	he Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovati		370
	vill address innovations within the resulting Contract.		
0	Comprehensive response for each innovation		
0	Description of how innovations will be addressed	30	3%
	within the contract		
Criter	ia 9 Subtotal	30	3%

<ul> <li>The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from Contract to the resulting Contract, taking into account any new re EBT services.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Continuity of services</li> <li>Testing requirements</li> <li>Project management</li> </ul>	50	5%
Criteria 10 Subtotal	5%	
The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive change man process.		
<ul> <li>Change management process is complete</li> <li>Change management process is comprehensive</li> </ul>	20	2%
Criteria 11 Subtotal	20	2%
SNAP/Cash Programmatic Criteria Total	720	72%

#### SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 1

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 1:

The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor's track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 5 of the Vendor's Reply.

### Sub criteria:

• Company qualifications and experience

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.2 of the ITN

The Vendor shall describe its organization and governance structure, depicting clear lines of authority including corporate affiliations; describe how the structure represents a lean, efficient and effective administrative model; describe experience and achievements in developing a governance model is designed to avoid conflicts of interest. In addition, the Vendor shall confirm that all customer service call center staff performing services under the contract(s) resulting from this ITN and those involved in programming and operational support will be located within the United States.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.5.3 of the ITN

The Vendor shall describe any experience in providing the same type(s) and scope of services as requested in this ITN and APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, including but not limited to performing, managing and delivering these services. Vendors must demonstrate experience in processing large volumes of automated financial transactions. The experience shall be demonstrated as well for subcontractors (if any). The experience should include work done by the individuals who will be assigned to the work described in this ITN, as well as the overall experience of the organization. State whether the work was completed by the Vendor or a subcontractor, and whether the Vendor worked in cooperation with a subcontractor. Where applicable, clearly note the Vendor's related experience which included individuals who will be assigned and their role on the past project. Provide a detailed description of any work to be subcontracted, including information describing the qualifications and relevant experience of any proposed subcontractors.

For each of the following services experience requirements, the Vendors must identify:

- A description of experience providing services similar in nature to the ones sought in this ITN;
- The specific length of time the Vendor has provided similar services, and where services were provided;
- All current and/or prior (within three years) federal, State or government Contracts for the provision of related services, including a description of the specific services;
- A narrative summary of Contract performance;
- The total number years of experience for the service;
- Description of the project(s) for which the service was provided;
- Whether the specified service was performed by the Vendor or subcontractor; and A clear indication of the Vendor's ability to perform the specified services to meet the requirements of this ITN.

### Criteria 1:

The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor's track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 5 of the Vendor's Reply.

### Sub criteria:

Company qualifications and experience

*Guidance:* Sections 4.2.5.3.1 through 4.2.5.3.4 require Vendors to describe their experience in the following:

- Development, implementation, operations, and ongoing management of large scale, complex financial systems
- Managing complex financial networks
- Experience Responding to Changing Customer's Needs (Reply requires 10 examples of this)
- List and description of government or commercial customers where services have been provided

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-140):	Evaluator Initials:					
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Company Qualifications	140	(3,2,0,0)	()()	(11-00-0)	(	(=)

**SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 2** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 2:

The input provided by the references provided in Tab 5 of the Vendor's Reply.

### Sub criteria:

Company references

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.3.5 – 4.2.5.3.8 of the ITN

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references using the table located in **APPENDIX XVIII: PAST PERFORMANCE REFERENCES** for verifiable clients where the Vendor provided EBT/EFT services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.1**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references for verifiable clients where the Vendor provided relevant financial services to a government or commercial customer or currently provides relevant financial services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.4**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references for each subcontractor for verifiable clients where the subcontractor provided relevant financial services to a government or commercial customer or currently provides relevant financial services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.1**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references for verifiable clients where the Vendor is conducting or has conducted business in the State for current contracts or for contracts within the past five years using the table located in **APPENDIX XVIII: PAST PERFORMANCE REFERENCES**. The client references included shall be for projects where the work was performed by the Vendor. The clients listed shall be for work similar in nature to that specified in this ITN.

References provided in **Sections 4.2.5.3.5**, **4.2.5.3.6**, and **4.2.5.3.7**, above can be counted toward this minimum requirement. Current or former employees of the Department and the DOH may NOT be used and will NOT be accepted as references. The Department reserves the right to contact reference sources listed and/or not listed in the Vendor's Reply and to consider references when determining best value.

#### **ITN Related Text:**

Confidential clients shall not be included. Current or former employees of the Department and DOH may NOT be used and will NOT be accepted as references.

#### Guidance:

References should be for clients where similar services EBT/EFT were provided. Higher points should be given for references that are comparable size and sophistication of EBT/EFT services as the State.

Failure to provide the required information for a minimum of three (3) separate and verifiable clients for **Sections 4.2.5.3.5**, **4.2.5.3.6**, **4.2.5.3.7** and **4.2.5.3.8** in the spaces provided in **APPENDIX XVIII: PAST PERFORMANCE REFERENCES** shall result in the Vendor receiving a score of zero (0) for the Past Performance Reference section of the evaluation for each Section not completed.

Criteria 2: The input provided by the references provided in Tab 5 of the Vendor's Reply.						
Sub criteria:						
Company references						
Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-30):	Evaluator Initials:					
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
References	30					

### SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 3

### **COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY**

#### Criteria 3:

The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.

### Sub criteria:

- Plan for providing required staff
- Quality and qualification of staff

*ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions:* Sections **4.2.5.7.1** through **4.2.5.7.4** of ITN – limited to 25 pages.

The Vendor shall describe the qualifications and credentials of their leadership team with an explanation of why the leadership team is qualified to lead their organization in meeting the needs of this ITN. In addition, the Vendor must include résumés for key leadership personnel describing their work experience, education, and training as it relates to the requirements of this ITN.

The Reply shall include the Vendor's operational approach to the recruitment, training, supervision and retention of qualified personnel.

Vendors shall provide qualifications and experience for the project manager, key personnel, technical staff and support managers/staff by name and Vendor/subcontractor organization.

The Vendor shall provide resumes of the key personnel assigned to work on this project describing their work experience, education, and training as it relates to the requirements of this ITN, including those assigned to the project at contract initiation and transition.

#### ITN Related Text:

The Vendor shall demonstrate the approach to recruitment of staff able to meet any unique cultural needs. The solution should address all applicable personnel grievance and conflict resolution practices. The Vendor shall explain how the organization, subcontractors, and staffing levels will best meet the performance standards required to perform properly. It is also important to describe the credentials for human resources, quality assurance, financial, information technology, and other key professional level employees.

The Vendor shall provide a table with the following columns listed for each of the proposed project team members, both Vendor and subcontractor(s), if any:

- Name Team member name or role title
- Role Role descriptions and responsibilities
- Duration Timeframes of their role on this project; proposed level of effort; whether tasks will be performed on-site or off-site
- Experience Evidence of previous experience with a highly similar task on a large scale project
- Tenure How long each person has been with the company, or if they are contract staff

### Criteria 3:

The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.

### Sub criteria:

- Plan for providing required staff
- Quality and qualification of staff

#### Guidance:

All proposed project personnel are subject to Department approval during negotiations and prior to contract execution. Project Organizational Chart requirements are specified in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-30):	Evaluator Initials:					
	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Organization & Staffing	30					

#### SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 4

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 4:

Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors shall be coordinated and managed.

### Sub criteria:

- Qualification of subcontractors
- Management of subcontractors

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.4 of ITN

The Vendor must list all identified subcontracts, or the plan and approach to vet, identify and recruit and retain subcontractors, who will provide proposed services in **APPENDIX IV: SUBCONTRACTOR LIST**.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.5.5 of ITN

Provide the requested information below which will demonstrate the Vendor's and any proposed subcontractor(s)' ability to successfully complete the project described in this ITN and its appendices, attachments, exhibits and referenced supporting documentation. The Vendor's and any proposed subcontractor(s)' information shall be shown separately.

In addition to the other information described above, the Vendor and any proposed subcontractor(s) must provide:

- A. Full legal name.
- B. FEIN or Social Security Number if a FEIN is not required.
- C. Proof of legal entity and authorization to do business with the State.
- D. Proof of registration with MFMP.
- E. Country and state of incorporation.
- F. Principal place of business.
- G. Description of the Vendor's/subcontractor(s)' organization, including number of years in business, subsidiaries, parent corporations, officers; include organization charts and details concerning the number of facilities by geographic location.
- H. Brief description of the Vendor's/subcontractor(s)' principal type of business and history and what uniquely qualifies the Vendor/subcontractor(s) for the work described in this ITN and APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2.
- I. Statement of whether the Vendor/subcontractor(s) has filed for bankruptcy protection in the past five years or is currently in the process of filing or planning to file for bankruptcy protection or financial restructuring or refinancing. If so, provide court and case number.

### Criteria 4:

Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors shall be coordinated and managed.

#### Sub criteria:

- Qualification of subcontractors
- Management of subcontractors

### J. Identification of any potential or actual conflicts of interest that might arise for the

Vendor/subcontractor(s) as a result of contract award to the Vendor/subcontractor(s) and describe in detail the plan to eliminate or mitigate them. Such conflicts include, but are not limited to, those covered by Section 6 of the Form PUR 1001. Address both personal and organizational conflicts.

K. Reservations the Vendor/subcontractor(s) must make if unable to certify completely all of the items in Section 9 of the Form PUR 1001 entitled "Representation and Authorization." If no reservations are made in this section of the reply, the Vendor/subcontractor(s) shall be deemed to attest to the truth of all of listed items and the Department may rely upon them.

### Guidance: Section 4.2.5.7.4.2 of the ITN

For each subcontractor identified in its Reply, the Vendor must specify the type, scope and level services to be outsourced. Vendors must provide evidence of each subcontractor's intent to participate, by providing a letter of commitment signed by the subcontractor's authorized representative.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-30):				Evaluator	Initials:	
, ,						<u>.</u>
Taula	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Subcontractors	30					

### **SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 5**

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 5:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements for SNAP/Cash EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

### Sub criteria:

- State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics interface
- EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and benefit authorization, including investigative accounts
- Administrative function support, including the EBT Administrative System
- Support for card & PINs, including issuance and reissuance
- Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State
- Transaction processing
- Retailer management
- Transaction settlement and reconciliation
- Training

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C. The requirements defined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

- A. Vendor shall state in its reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor shall respond with its proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.
- **B. Section C-1.1.1.4. Web Services Processing** The Vendor shall have the ability to support web service processing and Vendor shall describe its capabilities in the Reply to this ITN.
- **C. Section C-1.1.4. Account Set-up and Benefit Authorization** The Vendor shall specify any additional data elements necessary to support account set-up, benefit authorization, account maintenance, and reporting, including reporting as required for all programs and levels of staff.
- **D. Section C-1.1.4.1. State File Transmissions** The Vendor shall detail proposed solutions and functionality to support account set-up and benefit authorizations in its Reply to this ITN.
- E. Section C-1.1.4.5. Pending Benefits The Vendor shall describe its approach for management of any benefit records that cannot be deposited into a corresponding account in the response to this requirement. In addition, Vendor shall propose solutions for creation of a pending benefit file in

### Criteria 5:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements for SNAP/Cash EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

### Sub criteria:

- State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics interface
- EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and benefit authorization, including investigative accounts
- Administrative function support, including the EBT Administrative System
- Support for card & PINs, including issuance and reissuance
- Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State
- Transaction processing
- Retailer management
- Transaction settlement and reconciliation
- Training

### its Reply to this ITN.

- F. Section C-1.1.6.1.3. Card Design The Vendor's reply shall describe in detail its capabilities to design and/or manufacture the Florida ESS EBT card and identify any subcontractor involved in the process.
- G. Section C-1.1.8. EBT Administration System The Vendor shall recommend the most effective and efficient technical configuration for the Administrative system. Consideration must be given to the stability of the proposed configuration and the future direction of technology, confirming to the best of the Vendor's ability that the recommended approach is not short lived, has the flexibility to support changes in program requirements and is extendable to other programs that may be added to the EBT Administrative system platform over the life of the Contract. The Vendor shall provide a justification for its proposed solutions during the design phase, including explanations of benefits and merits of its proposed solution together with any accompanying services, maintenance, warranties, Value-Added Services or other criteria, clearly describing any options or alternatives proposed.
- H. Section C-1.1.8. EBT Administration System (Optional Service) The Vendor shall include in its reply to this ITN an option for the Administrative System that would be accessible through the internet through a secured website in case of a natural disaster, or some other unforeseen event renders the State's network inaccessible through normal access channels.
- I. Section C-1.1.9. System Security The security controls used by the Provider and/or its subcontractor(s) in the performance of services required in this contract must be specified by the Vendor in its Reply to the ITN and approved by the Department.
- J. Section C-1.1.9.3 System Security and Operating Procedure Documentation The Vendor shall provide a detailed description of the controls used to protect software development and applications in its Reply to the ITN.

### Criteria 5:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements for SNAP/Cash EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

### Sub criteria:

- State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics interface
- EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and benefit authorization, including investigative accounts
- Administrative function support, including the EBT Administrative System
- Support for card & PINs, including issuance and reissuance
- Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State
- Transaction processing
- Retailer management
- Transaction settlement and reconciliation
- Training
- **K. Section C-1.1.10.7. Encryption** The Vendor's Reply shall specify the encryption approach and other security measures used to secure EBT transactions.
- L. Section C-1.1.10.13. Stand-in Processing The Vendor shall specify under what circumstances it would consider its EBT system unavailable and provide examples of situations for which stand-in processing would be implemented. Circumstances specified by the Vendor for EBT system unavailability must be approved by the Department during the Design Phase. Responses to this requirement must specify the process by which retailers, acquirers/TPPs, and the Department will be notified that "stand-in" processing is in effect, both for scheduled and unscheduled system outages and shall specify how the processing and settlement of these transactions will be conducted.
- M. Section C-1.1.12. Settlement To promote the acceptance of EBT transactions, the Vendor shall be required to provide evidence of its, or its designated financial agent's ability to fulfill the settlement obligations specified in the ITN and shall comply with the QUEST® Operating Rules concerning an Issuer's ability to meet its settlement obligations. Evidence may be in the form of financial statements, bonds, guarantees or other assurances.

### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Sections C.1.1.1 through C.1.1.12.

### Criteria 5:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the technical requirements for SNAP/Cash EBT specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

### Sub criteria:

- State and Federal interfaces, including fraud analytics interface
- EBT Account Structure, account set-up and maintenance, and benefit authorization, including investigative accounts
- Administrative function support, including the EBT Administrative System
- Support for card & PINs, including issuance and reissuance
- Customer Service for cardholders, retailers, and the State
- Transaction processing
- Retailer management

<ul> <li>Transaction settlement and</li> </ul>	reconciliati	ion				
<ul><li>Training</li></ul>						
Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-240):				Evaluato	or Initials:	
	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
SNAP/Cash Technical Requirements	240					

### **SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 6**

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 6:

The Vendor proposed data warehouse and reporting solution meet the needs of the Department and provide the structured and ad-hoc reporting requirements of the Department.

### Sub criteria:

- Data warehouse solution
- Tools for accessing data in the data warehouse
- Standard reporting
- Ad-hoc reporting capabilities

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C. The requirements defined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

- **A.** Vendor shall state in its reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement.
- **B.** Section C-1.1.16. EBT Data Warehouse and Reporting The Vendor's Reply shall fully describe its approach for providing the data warehouse to the Department and other agencies requiring access to this data warehouse, e.g., DPAF in the Florida Department of Financial Services (DFS) and the OPBI Program. The Reply to this ITN shall indicate the approach for implementing a data warehouse staff training program based on the type of user and job function specifying training content and duration.
- N. Section C-1.1.16.2. Standard Reporting Package The Vendor's Reply shall detail its ability to provide each of the reports detailed in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT D, Section D-2.2 in the manner requested. Vendor may also recommend alternative methods for access, such as a web-based report module. The Vendor shall recommend any other reports that will support EBT management, operations, settlement, reconciliation, performance monitoring, fraud detection, and administration in addition to those specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT D, Section D-2.2. In addition, the Vendor shall propose data and reporting compilations for reports to create user friendly accessibility and clear display of information for performance of job-related functions. This includes, but is not limited to, subsets of large reports such as the Administrative System Non-Financial Administrative Actions report.

### Criteria 6:

The Vendor proposed data warehouse and reporting solution meet the needs of the Department and provide the structured and ad-hoc reporting requirements of the Department.

### Sub criteria:

- Data warehouse solution
- Tools for accessing data in the data warehouse
- Standard reporting
- Ad-hoc reporting capabilities

### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.1.16**.

Notes/Rationale:						
Saara (0.50).				Evaluator	Initiala	
Score (0-50):				Evaluator	uais:	
	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Data Warehouse & Reporting	50					

SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 7

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 7:

The Vendor's EBT solution aids the Department and the USDA-FNS in detection and investigation of EBT fraud and abuse by retailers, recipients, or Department staff.

### Sub criteria:

- Provision of dedicated staff
- Sophisticated Fraud Detection and Reporting System, including assignment and tracking functionality
- Investigative support

ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.7.2, 4.2.5.7.3 and 4.2.6 of the ITN 4.2.5.7.2 The reply shall include the Vendor's operational approach to the recruitment, training, supervision and retention of qualified personnel as described in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

**4.2.5.7.3** The Vendor shall provide qualifications and experience for the project manager, key personnel, technical staff and support managers/staff by name and Vendor/subcontractor organization. A description of project manager requirements can be found in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** All proposed project personnel are subject to Department approval during negotiations and prior to contract execution. Project Organizational Chart requirements are specified in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** In addition, the Vendor shall provide a table with the following columns listed for each of the proposed project team members, both Vendor and subcontractor(s), if any:

- Name Team member name or role title
- Role Role descriptions and responsibilities
- Duration Timeframes of their role on this project; proposed level of effort; whether tasks will be performed on-site or off-site
- Experience Evidence of previous experience with a highly similar task on a large-scale project
- Tenure How long each person has been with the company, or if they are contract staff

**4.2.6** Vendors must demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the most advantageous solution to providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are advantageous to the State.

ITN	Relatea	Text:	Section	4.2.8	of the	ITN

Version 6 Page 21

Same as above

### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.1.16.2. Standard Reporting Package - The Vendor's Reply shall detail its ability to provide each of the reports detailed in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT D, Section D-2.2 in the manner requested. Vendor may also recommend alternative methods for access, such as a web-based report module. The Vendor shall recommend any other reports that will support EBT management, operations, settlement, reconciliation, performance monitoring, fraud detection, and administration in addition to those specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT D, Section D-2.2. In addition, the Vendor shall propose data and reporting compilations for reports to create user friendly accessibility and clear display of information for performance of job-related functions. This includes, but is not limited to, subsets of large reports such as the Administrative System Non-Financial Administrative Actions report.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-50):		Evaluator Initials:				
<b>.</b> .	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic Fraud & Abuse	Points 50	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
rraud & Abuse						

**SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 8** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 8:

The Vendor's EBT solution provides flexibility and scalability to support disaster and pandemic services, often in adverse situations.

### Sub criteria:

- Supports level 1, 2 and 3 disasters and pandemics
- Supports various alternatives for card and PIN issuance

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C. The requirements defined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

- **A.** Vendor shall state in its Reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor shall respond with its proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.
- **B.** Section C-1.1.17.6.2.3. Disaster or Pandemic Off-line Manual Voucher The Vendor shall specify how Disaster Off-line Manual Vouchers shall be provided and how these transactions will be settled and reconciled, including when there are NSF in the cardholder's EBT account to process the transaction (partial settlement).
- C. Section C-1.1.17.8.1. Specialized Disaster Card/PIN Inventory The Vendor shall propose methodology by which the current disaster card stock can be used or may propose an alternate procedure whereby account set-up, benefit authorization, card and PIN issuance may occur using Administrative System functionality.

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C-1.1.17.6.2.3. and C-1.1.17.8.1.

The Vendor's EBT solution provide often in adverse situations.	es flexibil	ity and scalat	oility to supp	ort disaster	and panden	nic services,
Sub criteria:						
<ul><li>Supports level 1, 2 and 3 dis</li><li>Supports various alternatives</li></ul>			nce			
Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-50):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Disaster Services	50					

SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 9

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 9:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation and how it will address innovations within the resulting Contract.

### Sub criteria:

- Comprehensive response for each innovation
- Description of how innovations will be addressed within the contract

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2. 6 and 4.2.8 of the ITN

Vendors must demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the most advantageous solution to providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are advantageous to the State.

#### 4.2.7 TAB 7: INNOVATIONS

Innovations are services beyond those core services previously required by **TAB 5 and TAB 6** which the Vendor may provide to offer additional benefits to the Department or the recipient. The Vendors may describe any innovative Value-Added Services offered to the Department or the recipient. Although, the Department has provided a statement of need and mandatory requirements for Vendor to meet in order to be selected for the contract(s) for the EBT/EFT Services, it is not intended to limit Vendor innovations or creativity in preparing a Reply to accomplish these goals. Innovative ideas, new concepts, and partnership arrangements, other than those presented in this ITN, will be considered. The Department is requesting Vendor to propose innovative technologies or services to be considered to meet the needs of current programs served or to be served in the future.

Vendors shall provide a response to each of the innovations included in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. Information shall include the feasibility of the innovation as well as advantages and disadvantages. In addition, Vendors are strongly encouraged to recommend the use of other innovative technologies or services when formulating a Reply to this ITN. Innovations are considered optional services that shall be available to the Department for the life of the contract(s) resulting from this ITN.

ITN Related Text: Se	ction 4.2.8 of the ITN
Same as above.	

### Criteria 9:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation and how it will address innovations within the resulting Contract.

### Sub criteria:

- Comprehensive response for each innovation
- Description of how innovations will be addressed within the contract
- **R.** Section C-1.1.18. Innovations The Vendor shall provide information related to each of the innovations included in this section. Information should include the feasibility of the innovation as well as advantages and disadvantages. In addition, Vendor is encouraged to recommend the use of other innovative technologies or services not limited to those in this section.

### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.1.18**.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-30):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Topic	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor (24, 40%)	Insufficient
Innovation	Points 30	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)

### **SNAP/Cash Evaluation Criteria 10**

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 10:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current Contract to the resulting Contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.

### Sub criteria:

- Continuity of services
- Testing requirements
- Project management

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

- **T. Section C-1.3.1.9. EBT Card Conversion** The Vendor shall propose methods for continuing to use the current cards and for accommodating required changes in return addresses or customer service numbers through an attrition process.
- U. Section C-1.3.2.4. Performance (Stress) Test If the Vendor is anticipating utilizing the option of using the most recent available production data to develop a system capacity model for modeling the anticipated transaction volumes, the Vendor shall describe how the modeling shall be performed and how the results of the modeling exercise shall be reported to the Department.

### Sections 4.2.9.1 and 4.2.9.2 of the ITN

In addition to the project management plan and schedule the Vendor must address the following: The Vendor must describe its approach to project planning, one that will ensure the successful design, development and operation of the EBT/EFT Services.

The Vendor must also describe how it will develop performance measurement and management tools to:

- Identify project outcomes and metrics;
- Measure actual progress; and
- Validate project success.

The Vendor must describe its project management approach and how it will:

- Manage the schedule;
- Manage resources;
- Manage communication;

### Criteria 10:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current Contract to the resulting Contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.

### Sub criteria:

- Continuity of services
- Testing requirements
- Project management
- Manage risks & issues;
- Manage scope;
- Manage change control; and
- Track and report project status.

The Vendor shall emphasize how its proposed approach and methodologies will ensure overall project success, as measured by the following:

- All tasks are performed successfully, and all service requirements are met;
- The highest quality work is performed by all project staff;
- Utilization and maintenance of the project work plan;
- Preparation and presentation of project status reports;
- The use of proven project management and quality assurance methods and tools (automated and manual) to assist with early problem recognition, identification and isolation, problem tracking and resolution:
- Identification of clearly defined project outcomes;
- Establishment of metrics to verify the successful completion of these outcomes;
- Effective management of resources;
- Measures to ensure good system performance such as response time and system efficiency;
- Open communications with subcontractor and the Department personnel;
- Positive and productive working relationships with all stakeholder groups; and
- Achievement of knowledge transfer to and from the Department EBT/EFT Services Project personnel.

#### Guidance

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.3**.

Criteria The Ven Contrac	10: dor provides a comprehens t, taking into account any n	sive plan f ew requir	for transitioni ements for El	ng from the o 3T services.	current Cont	ract to the re	esulting
Sub crit	eria:						
•	Continuity of services						
•	Testing requirements						
Notes/E	Project management						
Score (C	0-50):				Evaluator		
	Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
ransition	Topic	50	(81-100%)	(01-00%)	(41-00%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
- anomon		_				1	

١	I,

P/Cash Evaluation Criteria 11

### COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONL)

#### Criteria 11:

The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive change management process.

### Sub criteria:

- Change management process is complete
- Change management process is comprehensive

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C. The requirements defined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C are the minimum mandatory requirements for SNAP/Cash and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.6 of the ITN

**A. Section C-1.1.19. Change Management** – The Vendor shall describe its change management process in its Reply to the ITN.

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.1.19**.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-20):		Evaluator Initials:				
Tania	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Change Management	20					

### **Programmatic Evaluators STOP HERE**

### 5 SECTION 2 - FINANCIAL STABILITY EVALUATION FOR SNAP/CASH EBT

### **6 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**

- 6.1 Section 2 Financial Stability Evaluation for SNAP/Cash EBT **shall be evaluated as prescribed below**
- 6.1.1 Criteria 12 for SNAP/Cash EBT <u>shall be evaluated by a Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator</u> ONLY.
- 6.2 The Financial Management Evaluator will evaluate the financial stability of each financial reply for all Vendor Replies that pass the mandatory criteria. Each evaluation criterion must be scored. Fractional values will not be accepted. If an evaluator score sheet(s) is missing scores, it will be returned for completion. Scoring must reflect the evaluator's independent evaluation of the Reply to each evaluation criterion.
- 6.3 The Financial Management Evaluator shall assign a score for the financial stability evaluation criteria based upon his/her assessment of the Reply. The assignment of an individual score must be based upon the following description of the point scores:

IF, in your judgment the reply demonstrates and/or describes…	Category	assign points within
extensive competency, proven capabilities, an outstanding approach to the subject area, innovative, practical and effective solutions, a clear and complete understanding of inter-relationships, full responsiveness, a clear and comprehensive understanding of the requirements and planning for the unforeseen.	Superior	81-100% of the maximum points for the area.
clear competency, consistent capability, a reasoned approach to the subject area, feasible solutions, a generally clear and complete description of interrelationships, extensive but incomplete responsiveness and a sound understanding of the requirements.	Good	61-80% of the maximum points for the area.
fundamental competency, adequate capability, a basic approach to the subject area, apparently feasible but somewhat unclear solutions, a weak description of inter-relationships in some areas, partial responsiveness, a fair understanding of the requirements and a lack of staff experience and skills in some areas.	Adequate	41-60% of the maximum points for the area.
little competency, minimal capability, an inadequate approach to the subject area, infeasible and/or ineffective solutions, somewhat unclear, incomplete and /or non-responsive, a lack of understanding of the requirements and a lack of demonstrated experience and skills.	Poor	21-40 %of the maximum points for the area.
a significant or complete lack of understanding, an incomprehensible approach, a significant of complete lack of skill and experience and extensive non-responsiveness.	Insufficient	0-20% of the maximum points for the area.

6.4 When completing score sheets the evaluator should record references to the sections of the ITN (including any Appendices) and the written Reply materials which most directly pertain to the

criterion and upon which their scores were based. More than one section may be recorded. The evaluator should not attempt an exhaustive documentation of every bit of information considered but only key information. In general, the reference statements should be brief. If the Reply does not address an evaluation criterion, the evaluator should indicate "not addressed" and score it accordingly.

- 6.5 The Financial Management Evaluator has been provided a copy of the ITN, including its appendices, any ITN addenda, Vendor written inquiries, the written responses provided by the Department and a copy of each Vendor's Programmatic Reply for reference. The Financial Management Evaluator has also been provided each Vendor's Financial Reply which shall be evaluated and scored according to the instructions provided in the solicitation and the evaluation manual.
- Financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information of the Reply shall be independently scored by the Financial Management Evaluator in accordance with the instructions provided in the solicitation document and the evaluation manual. No collaboration is permitted during the scoring process. The same scoring principles must be applied to every Reply received. The Financial Management Evaluator should work carefully to be as thorough as possible to ensure a fair and open competitive procurement. No attempt by Department personnel or others to influence the Financial Management Evaluator's scoring shall be tolerated.
- 6.7 If any attempt is made to influence the evaluator, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Procurement Officer. If such an attempt is made by the Procurement Officer, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Inspector General.
- 6.8 Only the rating sheets provided should be used. No additional notes or marks should appear elsewhere in the evaluation manual.
- 6.9 The evaluator may request assistance in understanding evaluation criteria and Replies only from the Procurement Officer.
- 6.10 Questions related to the solicitation and the evaluations of the Reply should be directed only to:

Tammy Davis, Procurement Officer

Florida Department of Children and Families

E-Mail Address: Tammy.Davis1@myflfamilies.com

- 6.11 After the Financial Management Evaluator has completed the scoring of the financial stability criteria for each Reply, the scores are then submitted to the Procurement Officer for compilation. The Procurement Officer will total the score by the Financial Management Evaluator to calculate the points awarded for the financial stability criteria for each Reply. The sum of total financial point score for each Reply will be added to the associated programmatic average score and cost information score for each Reply.
- 6.12 Following completion of the independent evaluations of the Replies, the Procurement Officer will hold a meeting to validate evaluator scoring. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that their individual evaluation scores were captured correctly.

### 7 QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

The Financial Management Evaluator shall assign scores to each of the Replies received by the Department based on the following criteria:

- Financial resources and capability of the Vendor to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.
- Vendor's articulation of their project approach and solution to reduce administrative costs, and the ability of the approach and solution to meet the Department's needs.
- The level of financial risk to the State as indicated by the Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report.

### 8 FINANCIAL STABILITY OF THE FINANCIAL REPLY POINT VALUES

The maximum score for the Financial Stability and Cost Reply for SNAP/Cash EBT is 280 points. The financial stability criteria for SNAP/Cash EBT is below.

SNAP/Cash EBT Financial Stability Criteria	Maximum Points	Percent of Total (280 Points)
The Vendor financial management approach, financial stability, a financial information.		
<ul> <li>Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.</li> <li>Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs.</li> <li>Dun &amp; Bradstreet (D&amp;B) Comprehensive Report shows         Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS) that indicate low risk of financial issues.     </li> </ul>	100	10%
Criteria 12 Subtotal	100	10%
• The Vendor's cost information.		
<ul> <li>How well does the Vendor follow State and Federal budgeting and cost requirements?</li> <li>Overall, is the Vendor proposed cost justified for the SNAP/Cash program being served.</li> </ul>	180	18%
Criteria 13 Subtotal	180	18%
SNAP/Cash EBT Financial Stability and Cost Information Criteria Total	280	28%

### **SNAP/Cash Financial Stability Evaluation Criteria 12**

COMPLETED BY Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator ONLY

### Criteria 12:

The Vendor financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information.

### Sub criteria:

- Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.
- Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs.
- Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report shows Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS) that indicate low risk of financial issues.

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.3.2.1 of the ITN

The Vendor must describe its current financial management and accounting systems and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with any contract awarded as a result of this ITN and **APPENDIX VIII: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 1** and **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2**.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.3.2.2 through 4.3.2.5 of the ITN

The Vendor shall provide information on how they plan to develop efficiencies in the services being provided. From this plan, the Vendor shall show how the cost reduction or added services that are realized from these efficiencies will be re-invested into the required services.

The Vendor shall provide an ongoing approach to reduce administrative cost, without affecting the quality of the services.

The Vendor shall provide a copy of their Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report that shows both the Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS). The report shall be dated no more than two months prior to the submission date of the ITN.

It is the duty of the Vendor to ensure the submission of a D&B report that accurately reflects the proposing entity or division within the parent company, if applicable. If the Department cannot easily determine that the report is that of the proposing entity or division, then the Department may award zero points.

If the Vendor does not provide a D&B Comprehensive Report or if the report classifies the Vendor as having a Financial Stress Score of 5, the Reply may be deemed nonresponsive at the discretion of the Department and not evaluated.

The Vendor shall provide the firm's audited financial statements for the Vendor's last three (3) state fiscal years. For a public firm, this can be their last three (3) Form 10-K submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission. For a privately held firm, this must be their last three (3) years of audited financial statements.

The Vendor financial managemen	nt approa	ach, financia	stability, a	nd related f	inancial info	ormation.
Sub criteria:  • Vendor has adequate find associated with the control vendor has an approach to the Financial Stress Score  Notes/Rationale:	ancial res act. to reduce Compreh	ources and c administrat ensive Repor	apability to ive costs. t shows Cor	perform all i	financial red	quirements
Score (0-100): Evaluator Initials:						
Topic Financial Management & Stability	Max Points 100	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

### **SNAP/Cash Cost Evaluation Criteria 13**

**COMPLETED BY Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator ONLY** 

### Criteria 13:

The Vendor's cost information.

### Sub criteria:

- How well does the Vendor follow State and Federal budgeting and cost requirements?
- Overall, is the Vendor proposed cost justified for the SNAP/Cash program being served.

### ITN Reply Instructions: Section 4.3.3 of the ITN

Each Vendor shall use the Cost Sheet Form provided in APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM.

Cost Data must be entered in the Cost Sheet Form, **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM**, provided in this ITN. Failure to complete any or all blanks on the Cost Sheet form may result in rejection of the Reply. A representative who is authorized to contractually bind the Vendor must sign **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM**.

The costs provided shall include the cost of all services and materials necessary to accomplish the services outlined in this ITN and its appendices and the Vendor's Reply hereto, including, but not limited to costs, fees, prices, rates, profit, bonuses, discounts, rebates, or the identification of free services, materials, licensing fee sharing arrangements, personnel and labor costs, equipment expenses, MFMP Transaction Fee, miscellaneous expenses and the application of all personnel additional costs (i.e. overhead, fringe benefits, etc.), travel and incidental expenses. Footnotes, notations, and exceptions made in **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM** shall not be considered.

### ITN Related Text: Appendix XI: Cost Sheet Form

**Appendix XI** correlates to **Exhibit F** in **Appendix IX** posted with this ITN. For convenience and to avoid confusion, it replicates the pricing information required by that Exhibit. The Provider shall apply the pricing in the contract for the five (5) year contract period and the potential five (5) year contract renewal period. Commission. For a privately held firm, this must be their last three (3) years of audited financial statements.

Criteria 13:						
The Vendor's cost information.						
Sub criteria:						
How well does the Vend						
Overall, is the Vendor pro	oposed co	ost justified f	or the SNAP	/Cash progr	am being se	erved.
Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-180):			Evaluator Initials:			
	M	0	0	A .l (	D	
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Cont Information	180	(01-100%)	(01-00%)	(41-00%)	(Z1 <del>-4</del> 0%)	(0-20%)

### Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator STOP HERE

### APPENDIX XVI

### STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

### **ECONOMIC SELF-SUFFICIENCY PROGRAM OFFICE**



### ITN# - ITN-2021-001 ELECTRONIC BENEFITS TRANSFER/ELECTRONIC FUNDS TRANSFER (EBT/EFT) SERVICES

### **REVISED - WIC EBT Evaluation Manual**

Evaluator Name:	
Vendor Name:	
Date of Reply Evaluation:	
Evaluator Signature:	_

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

SECTION 1 - Programmatic Evaluation for WIC EBT	Page 2
SECTION 2 - Financial Stability and Cost Evaluation for WIC EBT	Page 35

### 1 SECTION 1 - PROGRAMMATIC EVALUATION FOR WIC EBT

### 2 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

- 2.1 SECTION 1 Programmatic Evaluation for WIC EBT <u>shall be evaluated by PROGRAMMATIC</u> <u>EVALUATORS ONLY.</u>
- 2.2 Each Programmatic Evaluator will evaluate the Programmatic Reply for all Vendor Replies that pass the mandatory criteria. Each evaluation criterion must be scored. Fractional values will not be accepted. If an evaluator score sheet(s) is missing scores, it will be returned for completion. Scoring must reflect the evaluator's independent evaluation of the Reply to each evaluation criterion.
- 2.3 Each evaluator shall assign a score for each evaluation criterion based upon his/her assessment of the Reply. The assignment of an individual score must be based upon the following description of the point scores:

IF, in your judgment the Reply demonstrates and/or describes	Category	assign points within
extensive competency, proven capabilities, an outstanding approach to the subject area, innovative, practical and effective solutions, a clear and complete understanding of inter-relationships, full responsiveness, a clear and comprehensive understanding of the requirements and planning for the unforeseen.	Superior	81-100% of the maximum points for the area.
clear competency, consistent capability, a reasoned approach to the subject area, feasible solutions, a generally clear and complete description of interrelationships, extensive but incomplete responsiveness and a sound understanding of the requirements.	Good	61-80% of the maximum points for the area.
fundamental competency, adequate capability, a basic approach to the subject area, apparently feasible but somewhat unclear solutions, a weak description of inter-relationships in some areas, partial responsiveness, a fair understanding of the requirements and a lack of staff experience and skills in some areas.	Adequate	41-60% of the maximum points for the area.
little competency, minimal capability, an inadequate approach to the subject area, infeasible and/or ineffective solutions, somewhat unclear, incomplete and /or non-responsive, a lack of understanding of the requirements and a lack of demonstrated experience and skills.	Poor	21-40 %of the maximum points for the area.
a significant or complete lack of understanding, an incomprehensible approach, a significant of complete lack of skill and experience and extensive non-responsiveness.	Insufficient	0-20% of the maximum points for the area.

2.4 When completing score sheets evaluators should record references to the sections of the Invitation to Negotiate (ITN) (including any Appendices) and the written Reply materials which most directly pertain to the criterion and upon which their scores were based. More than one section may be recorded. Evaluators should not attempt an exhaustive documentation of every bit of information considered but only key information. In general, the reference statements should be brief. If the Reply does not address an evaluation criterion, evaluators should indicate "not addressed" and score it accordingly.

- 2.5 Each evaluator has been provided a copy of the ITN, including its appendices, any ITN addenda, and vendor written inquiries and the written responses provided by the Department. Each evaluator will also be provided with a copy of each programmatic Reply which shall be evaluated and scored according to the instructions provided in the solicitation and the evaluation manual.
- 2.6 Replies shall be independently scored by each member of the Programmatic Evaluation team. No collaboration is permitted during the scoring process. The same scoring principles must be applied to every Reply received, independent of other evaluators. Evaluators should work carefully to be as thorough as possible to ensure a fair and open competitive procurement. No attempt by Department personnel or others, including other evaluators, to influence an evaluator's scoring shall be tolerated.
- 2.7 If any attempt is made to influence an evaluator, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Procurement Officer. If such an attempt is made by the Procurement Officer, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Inspector General.
- 2.8 Only the rating sheets provided should be used. No additional notes or marks should appear elsewhere in the evaluation manual.
- 2.9 Evaluators may request assistance in understanding evaluation criteria and Replies only from the Procurement Officer.
- 2.10 Questions related to the solicitation and the evaluations of the Reply should be directed only to:

Tammy Davis, Procurement Officer

Florida Department of Children and Families

E-Mail Address: Tammy.Davis1@myflfamilies.com

- 2.11 After each evaluator has completed the scoring of each programmatic Reply, the scores are then submitted to the Procurement Officer for compilation. The Procurement Officer will average the total programmatic point scores by each evaluator to calculate the points awarded for each section.
- 2.12 Following completion of the independent evaluations of the Replies, the Procurement Officer will hold a meeting to validate evaluator scoring. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that their individual evaluation scores were captured correctly.

### 3 QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

Evaluators shall assign scores to each of the Replies received by the Department based on the following criteria:

- Vendor's articulation of their project approach and solution, and the ability of the approach and solution to meet the Department's needs, the requirements of this ITN and Appendix IX
- The innovation of the approach and solution
- Vendor references and track record implementing similar solutions to the one specified in this ITN

• Experience and skills of proposed staff relative to the proposed approach and solution

### 4 PROGRAMMATIC REPLY POINT VALUES

The maximum score for the Programmatic Reply is 740 points for WIC EBT programmatic requirements. The programmatic criteria for WIC EBT is below.

WIC EBT Programmatic Evaluation Criteria	Maximum Points	Percent of Total (740) Points)
<ul> <li>The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor(s) t providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as descri the Vendor's Reply.</li> </ul>	rack record	
Company qualifications and experience	10	1%
Criteria 1 Subtotal	10	1%
The input provided by the references provided in Tab 6 of the Vend Reply.	dor's	
<ul> <li>Company references</li> </ul>	10	1%
Criteria 2 Subtotal	10	1%
<ul> <li>The Vendor's proposed Organization and Staffing plan, and in part the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Departr ITN.</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>Plan for providing required staff</li><li>Quality and qualification of staff</li></ul>	150	15%
Criteria 3 Subtotal	150	15%
<ul> <li>Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in perf responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractor coordinated and managed.</li> </ul>	_	
<ul><li>Qualification of subcontractors</li><li>Management of subcontractors</li></ul>	10	1%
Criteria 4 Subtotal	1%	
The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC technical requirement APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.	ents specified in	

<ul> <li>The Vendor demonstrates understanding and agreement with the and reconciliation process in place for WIC.</li> <li>Daily Settlement Invoice</li> <li>Timeliness of submission</li> </ul>	120 120	12%
	settlement	
Criteria 8 Subtotal	140	14%
<ul> <li>Supports automated recoupment services</li> <li>Recoupment Activity File and Reporting</li> </ul>	140	14%
The Vendor's proposed solution support the WIC Recoupment Proin APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.	cess specified	
Criteria 7 Subtotal	60	6%
<ul> <li>WIC Retailer Certifications</li> <li>Stand-beside POS devices</li> <li>Merchant General and Farmer's Market Apps</li> </ul>	60	6%
The Vendor's proposed solution meets the requirements for Retain Management and WIC stand-beside POS devices.	ler	
Criteria 6 Subtotal	30	3%
<ul> <li>Cardholder Mobile App</li> <li>Website and IVR</li> <li>Retailer Customer Service</li> <li>State Office Assistance</li> </ul>	30	3%
<ul> <li>The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC Customer Service r specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT</li> </ul>	•	
Criteria 5 Subtotal	150	15%
<ul> <li>Data warehouse and reporting capabilities.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Local agency equipment; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Universal Interface support;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Iransaction history;</li> <li>UPC maintenance;</li> </ul>		
<ul><li>Transaction processing;</li><li>Transaction history;</li></ul>	150	15%
WIC EBT account set-up and prescription authorization;		
o Training;		
<ul> <li>System support and disaster recovery;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>WIC EBT cards and PINs;</li> </ul>		

The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation will address innovations within the resulting Contract.		
<ul> <li>Comprehensive response for each innovation</li> <li>Description of how innovations will be addressed within the contract</li> </ul>	20	2%
Criteria 10 Subtotal	20	2%
<ul> <li>The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning fro- contract to the resulting contract, taking into account any new r for EBT services.</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Continuity of Services</li> <li>Testing requirements</li> <li>Project Management</li> </ul>	20	2%
Criteria 11 Subtotal	20	2%
The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive change management process.		
<ul> <li>Change Management process is complete</li> <li>Change Management process is comprehensive</li> </ul>	20	2%
Criteria 12 Subtotal	20	2%
WIC Programmatic Criteria Total	740	74%

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 1** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 1:

The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor(s) track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 6 of the Vendor's Reply.

#### Sub criteria:

• Company qualifications and experience

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.2 of the ITN

The Vendor shall describe its organization and governance structure, depicting clear lines of authority including corporate affiliations; describe how the structure represents a lean, efficient and effective administrative model; describe experience and achievements in developing a governance model is designed to avoid conflicts of interest. In addition, the Vendor shall confirm that all customer service call center staff performing services under the contract(s) resulting from this ITN and those involved in programming and operational support will be located within the United States.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.5.3 of the ITN

The Vendor shall describe any experience in providing the same type(s) and scope of services as requested in this ITN and APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, including but not limited to performing, managing and delivering these services. Vendors must demonstrate experience in processing large volumes of automated financial transactions. The experience shall be demonstrated as well for subcontractors (if any). The experience should include work done by the individuals who will be assigned to the work described in this ITN, as well as the overall experience of the organization. State whether the work was completed by the Vendor or a subcontractor, and whether the Vendor worked in cooperation with a subcontractor. Where applicable, clearly note the Vendor's related experience which included individuals who will be assigned and their role on the past project. Provide a detailed description of any work to be subcontracted, including information describing the qualifications and relevant experience of any proposed subcontractors.

For each of the following services experience requirements, the Vendors must identify:

- A description of experience providing services similar in nature to the ones sought in this ITN;
- The specific length of time the Vendor has provided similar services, and where services were provided;
- All current and/or prior (within three years) federal, State or government Contracts for the provision
  of related services, including a description of the specific services;
- A narrative summary of Contract performance;
- The total number years of experience for the service;
- Description of the project(s) for which the service was provided;
- Whether the specified service was performed by the Vendor or subcontractor; and A clear indication of the Vendor's ability to perform the specified services to meet the requirements of this ITN.

Cri	to	$\mathbf{r}_{1}$	
VIII	LE	Ha	

The Vendor's company structure, subcontractors, and experience and capability to deliver its proposed solution/services including the Vendor(s) track record providing services similar to the one specified in this ITN as described in Tab 6 of the Vendor's Reply.

Sub criteria:

Company qualifications and experience

*Guidance:* Sections 4.2.5.3.1 through 4.2.5.3.4 require Vendors to describe their experience in the following:

- Development, implementation, operations, and ongoing management of large scale, complex financial systems
- Managing complex financial networks
- Experience Responding to Changing Customer's Needs (Reply requires 10 examples of this)
- List and description of government or commercial customers where services have been provided

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-10):	Evaluator Initials:					
	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Торіс	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Company Qualification	10					

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 2** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 2:

The input provided by the references provided in Tab 6 of the Vendor's Reply.

### Sub criteria:

Company references

ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.3.5 – 4.2.5.3.8 of the ITN

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references using the table located in **APPENDIX XVIII: PAST PERFORMANCE REFERENCES** for verifiable clients where the Vendor provided EBT/EFT services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.1**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references for verifiable clients where the Vendor provided relevant financial services to a government or commercial customer or currently provides relevant financial services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.4**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three recent references for each subcontractor for verifiable clients where the subcontractor provided relevant financial services to a government or commercial customer or currently provides relevant financial services as described in **Section 4.2.5.3.1**.

The Vendor must provide a minimum of three (3) references for verifiable clients where the Vendor is conducting or has conducted business in the State for current contracts or for contracts within the past five (5) years.

#### ITN Related Text:

Confidential clients shall not be included. Current or former employees of the Department an DOH may NOT be used and may NOT be accepted as references.

### Guidance:

References should be for clients where similar services EBT/EFT were provided. Higher points should be given for references that are comparable size and sophistication of EBT/EFT services as the State of Florida.

Failure to provide the required information for a minimum of three (3) separate and verifiable clients for **Sections 4.2.5.3.5**, **4.2.5.3.6**, **4.2.5.3.7** and **4.2.5.3.8** in the spaces provided in **APPENDIX XVIII: PAST PERFORMANCE REFERENCES** shall result in the Vendor receiving a score of zero (0) for the Past Performance Reference section of the evaluation for each Section not completed.

Criteria 2: The input provided by the refere	nces pro	vided in Tab	6 of the Ver	ndor's Reply		
Sub criteria:						
Company references						
Score (0-10):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Topic References	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 3** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 3:

The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.

### Sub criteria:

- Plan for providing required staff
- Quality and qualification of staff

*ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions:* Sections 4.2.5.7.1 through 4.2.5.7.4 of ITN – limited to 25 pages.

- **4.2.5.7.1.1.** The Vendor shall describe the qualifications and credentials of their leadership team with an explanation of why the leadership team is qualified to lead their organization in meeting the needs of this ITN. In addition, the vendor must include résumés for key leadership personnel describing their work experience, education, and training as it relates to the requirements of this ITN and the Department's APPENDIX VIII: APPEXNDIX IX, STANDARD CONTRACT PART 1 and APPEXNDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2.
- **4.2.5.7.1.2.** The Vendor shall provide an organizational chart outlining the hierarchy of key personnel for the Contract proposed under this ITN.
- **4.2.5.7.1.3.** The Vendor shall provide a Preliminary Staffing Plan for a potential Contract. The Vendor shall provide job descriptions outlining the duties and responsibilities of its service personnel identified, and any other positions the Vendor proposes for the provision of services under the contract. Job descriptions should include a specific job functions and minimum qualifications for the identified positions.
- **4.2.5.7.1.4.** The Reply shall include the vendor's operational approach to the recruitment, training, supervision, and retention of qualified personnel as described in the Department's APPENDIX VIII: APPEXNDIX IX, STANDARD CONTRACT PART 1 and APPEXNDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2. The reply should address all applicable personnel grievance and conflict resolution practices. The Vendor should explain how it's organization, subcontractors, and staffing levels will best meet the performance standards required to perform properly. It is also important to describe the credentials for human resources, quality assurance, financial, information technology, and other key professional level employees.

### Criteria 3:

The Vendor proposed organization and staffing plan, and in particular how the proposed staff meet the qualifications required by the Department in the ITN.

#### Sub criteria:

- Plan for providing required staff
- Quality and qualification of staff

#### ITN Related Text:

The Vendor shall demonstrate the approach to recruitment of staff able to meet any unique cultural needs. The solution should address all applicable personnel grievance and conflict resolution practices. The Vendor should explain how the organization, subcontractors, and staffing levels will best meet the performance standards required to perform properly. It is also important to describe the credentials for human resources, quality assurance, financial, information technology, and other key professional level employees.

The Vendor shall provide a table with the following columns listed for each of the proposed project team members, both Vendor and subcontractor(s), if any:

- Name Team member name or role title
- Role Role descriptions and responsibilities
- Duration Timeframes of their role on this project; proposed level of effort; whether tasks will be performed on-site or off-site
- Experience Evidence of previous experience with a highly similar task on a large-scale project
- Tenure How long each person has been with the company, or if they are contract staff

### Guidance:

All proposed project personnel are subject to Department approval during negotiations and prior to contract execution. Project Organizational Chart requirements are specified in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** 

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-150):				Evaluato	or Initials:	
Topic Organization & Staffing	Max Points 150	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

### **WIC Evaluation Criteria 4**

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 4:

Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors will be coordinated and managed.

#### Sub criteria:

- Qualification of subcontractors
- Management of subcontractors

### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.2.5.4 of ITN

The Vendor must list all identified subcontracts, or the plan and approach to vet, identify and recruit and retain subcontractors, who will provide proposed services in **APPENDIX IV: SUBCONTRACTOR LIST**.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.5.5 of ITN

Provide the requested information below which will demonstrate the Vendor's and any proposed subcontractor(s)' ability to successfully complete the project described in this ITN and its appendices, attachments, exhibits and referenced supporting documentation. The Vendor's and any proposed subcontractor(s)' information shall be shown separately.

In addition to the other information described above, the Vendor and any proposed subcontractor(s) must provide:

- A. Full legal name.
- B. FEIN or Social Security Number if a FEIN is not required.
- C. Proof of legal entity and authorization to do business with the State.
- D. Proof of registration with MFMP.
- E. Country and state of incorporation.
- F. Principal place of business.
- G. Description of the Vendor's/subcontractor(s)' organization, including number of years in business, subsidiaries, parent corporations, officers; include organization charts and details concerning the number of facilities by geographic location.
- H. Brief description of the Vendor's/subcontractor(s)' principal type of business and history and what uniquely qualifies the Vendor/subcontractor(s) for the work described in this ITN and APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2.
- I. Statement of whether the Vendor/subcontractor(s) has filed for bankruptcy protection in the past five years or is currently in the process of filing or planning to file for bankruptcy protection or financial restructuring or refinancing. If so, provide court and case number.

### Criteria 4:

Proposed subcontractors are qualified and have experience in performing their responsibilities. The Vendor provides detail on how subcontractors will be coordinated and managed.

### Sub criteria:

- Qualification of subcontractors
- Management of subcontractors
- K. Identification of any potential or actual conflicts of interest that might arise for the Vendor/subcontractor(s) as a result of contract award to the Vendor/subcontractor(s) and describe in detail the plan to eliminate or mitigate them. Such conflicts include, but are not limited to, those covered by Section 6 of the Form PUR 1001. Address both personal and organizational conflicts.
- L. Reservations the Vendor/subcontractor(s) must make if unable to certify completely all of the items in Section 9 of the Form PUR 1001 entitled "Representation and Authorization." If no reservations are made in this section of the reply, the Vendor/subcontractor(s) shall be deemed to attest to the truth of all of listed items and the Department may rely upon them.

### Guidance: Section 4.2.5.7.4.2 of the ITN

For each subcontractor identified in its Reply, the Vendor must specify the type, scope and level services to be outsourced. Vendors must provide evidence of each subcontractor's intent to participate, by providing a letter of commitment signed by the subcontractor's authorized representative.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-10):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Subcontractors	10					Ì

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 5** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 5:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC technical requirements specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

#### Sub criteria:

- WIC EBT Administrative System
- WIC EBT Cards and PINs
- System Support and Disaster Recovery
- Training
- WIC EBT Account Set-up and Prescription Authorization
- Transaction Processing
- Transaction History

### UPC Maintenance

- Universal Interface Support
- Local Agency Equipment
- Data warehouse and reporting capabilities

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

- A. The Vendor shall state in its Reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor shall respond with its proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.
- B. **Section C-1.2.11. WIC EBT System Data Extraction** The Vendor shall provide assurance of the creation of a transaction file supporting the daily settlement invoice as a precondition to participating in the ITN.
- C. **Section C-1.2.16.1. Ad-hoc Reporting Capability** The Vendor's Reply shall indicate its capability to meet or exceed these data inquiry, sorting and extraction requirements.

	л 7	eri		ь.
ч	114	-	М	Ю,

The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC technical requirements specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

### Sub criteria:

- WIC EBT Administrative System
- WIC EBT Cards and PINs
- System Support and Disaster Recovery
- Training
- WIC EBT Account Set-up and Prescription Authorization
- Transaction Processing
- Transaction History

### **UPC Maintenance**

- Universal Interface Support
- Local Agency Equipment
- Data warehouse and reporting capabilities

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Sections C-1.2.1 through C-1.2.5 and Sections C-1.2.7 through C- 1.2.18.

	7	=			_	
Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-150):	Max	Superior	Good	Adequate	Poor	Insufficient
Topic MIC Technical Requirements	Points 150	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 6** 

COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY

### Criteria 6:

The Vendor proposed solution meets the WIC Customer Service requirements specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

#### Sub criteria:

### Cardholder Mobile App

- Website and IVR
- Retailer Customer Service
- State Office Assistance

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

A. Vendors shall state in their Replies if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by their system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor must respond with their proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.

### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C-1.2.6.4 and C-1.2.6.5

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-30):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Topic Customer Services	Max Points 30	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 7** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 7:

The Vendor's proposed solution meets the requirements for Retailer Management and WIC stand-beside POS devices.

### Sub criteria:

- WIC Retailer Certifications
- Stand-beside POS devices
- Merchant General and Farmer's Market Apps

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

- A. The Vendor shall state in its Reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor shall respond with its proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.
- B. **Section C-1.2.20.1. Reconciliation Process Overview** The Vendor shall provide a copy of its reconciliation procedures as part of its documentation submitted with its Reply to this ITN.

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C-1.2.20.1**.

		Evaluator	Initials:	
Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
		-	Superior Good Adequate	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Page 19

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 8** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 8:

The Vendor's proposed solution support the WIC Recoupment Process specified in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.

#### Sub criteria:

- Supports automated recoupment services
- Recoupment Activity File and Reporting

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C. The requirements defined in APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

A. Vendors shall state in their Replies if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by their system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor must respond with their proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.2.19.1.** 

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-140):				Evaluato	or Initials:	
Торіс	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Recoupment Process	140					

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 9** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 9:

The Vendor demonstrates understanding and agreement with the settlement and reconciliation process in place for WIC.

#### Sub criteria:

- Daily Settlement Invoice
- Timeliness of submission

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

A. The Vendor shall state in its Reply if mandatory and optional requirements are currently supported by its system application or if modifications are necessary to meet the requirement. Optional services are noted with the word "Option" in bold, underlined text. The Vendor shall respond with its proposed solution(s) to all optional service requirements.

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.2.20.5** 

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-120):	Evaluator Initials:					
Topic Settlement & Reconciliation	Max Points 120	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 10** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

### Criteria 10:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive response for each innovation and how it will address innovations within the new contract.

#### Sub criteria:

- Comprehensive response for each innovation
- Description of how innovation will be addressed within the contract

### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Sections 4.2.7

#### 4.2.8 TAB 7: INNOVATIONS

Innovations are services beyond those core services previously required by **TAB 5** and **TAB 6** which the Vendor may provide to offer additional benefits to the Department or the recipient. The Vendors may describe any innovative Value-Added Services offered to the Department or the recipient. Although, the Department has provided a statement of need and mandatory requirements for Vendor to meet in order to be selected for the contract(s) for the EBT/EFT Services, it is not intended to limit Vendor innovations or creativity in preparing a Reply to accompl7sh these goals. Innovative ideas, new concepts, and partnership arrangements, other than those presented in this ITN, will be considered. The Department is requesting Vendor to propose innovative technologies or services to be considered to meet the needs of current programs served or to be served in the future.

Vendors shall provide a response to each of the innovations included in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** Information shall include the feasibility of the innovation as well as advantages and disadvantages. In addition, Vendors are strongly encouraged to recommend the use of other innovative technologies or services when formulating a Reply to this ITN. Innovations are considered optional services that shall be available to the Department for the life of the contract(s) resulting from this ITN.

ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN: Same as Above	
Guidance: Same as above	

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-20):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Торіс	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Innovation	20					

#### **WIC Evaluation Criteria 11**

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

#### Criteria 11:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current contract to the resulting contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.

#### Sub criteria:

- Continuity of Services
- Testing requirements
- Project Management

#### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.10 of the ITN

The Vendor must submit a draft project management plan and draft project schedule with their technical Reply. The plan should follow Project Management Institute (PMI) standards, detailing the Vendor's project management strategy for providing EBT/EFT services as detailed in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** The project schedule should include all phases of the project as described in **APPENDIX II: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C.** 

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.2.8 of the ITN

**G.** Section C-1.3.2.4. Performance (Stress) Test - If the Vendor is anticipating utilizing the option of using the most recent available production data in order to develop a system capacity model for modeling the anticipated transaction volumes, the Vendor shall describe how the modeling shall be performed and how the results of the modeling exercise shall be reported to the Department.

#### Sections 4.2.10.1 and 4.2.10.2 of the ITN

In addition to the project management plan and schedule the Vendor must address the following:

The Vendor must describe its approach to project planning, one that will ensure the successful design, development and operation of the EBT/EFT Services.

The Vendor must also describe how it will develop performance measurement and management tools to:

- Identify project outcomes and metrics
- Measure actual progress
- Validate project success

The Vendor must describe its project management approach and how it will:

- Manage the Schedule
- Manage Resources
- Manage Communication
- Manage Risks & Issues
- Manage Scope
- Manage Change Control
- Track and Report Project Status

#### Criteria 11:

The Vendor provides a comprehensive plan for transitioning from the current contract to the resulting contract, taking into account any new requirements for EBT services.

#### Sub criteria:

- Continuity of Services
- Testing requirements
- Project Management

The Vendor shall emphasize how its proposed approach and methodologies will ensure overall project success, as measured by the following:

- All tasks are performed successfully, and all service requirements are met
- The highest quality work is performed by all project staff
- Utilization and maintenance of the project work plan
- Preparation and presentation of project status reports
- The use of proven project management and quality assurance methods and tools (automated and manual) to assist with early problem recognition, identification and isolation, problem tracking and resolution
- Identification of clearly defined project outcomes
- Establishment of metrics to verify the successful completion of these outcomes
- Effective management of resources
- Measures to ensure good system performance such as response time and system efficiency
- Open communications with subcontractor and the Department personnel
- Positive and productive working relationships with all stakeholder groups
- Achievement of knowledge transfer to and from the Department EBT/EFT Services Project personnel

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C-1.2.21** 

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-20):	Evaluator Initials:					
<b>Topic</b> Transition	Max Points 20	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)

**WIC Evaluation Criteria 12** 

**COMPLETED BY Programmatic Evaluators ONLY** 

C		!		- 4	
Cr	ш	eri	ы	Ш	Z

The Vendor provides a complete and comprehensive Change Management process.

#### Sub criteria:

- Change Management process is complete
- Change Management process is comprehensive

#### ITN Programmatic Response Instructions: Section 4.2.7 of the ITN

The Vendors shall demonstrate their technical capability and approach to meet the core requirements outlined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C**. The requirements defined in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C** are the minimum mandatory requirements for WIC and should not be considered restrictive and limiting on the potential for proposed increased levels of service and functionality. It is the desire of the Department that the Vendor provide the best value to the State providing EBT/EFT services and is open to discussing potential changes to the requirements with Vendors if the changes are the best value to the State.

ITN Related Text: Same as	Abo	ve
---------------------------	-----	----

#### Guidance:

Detailed requirements for the sub criteria are contained in **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2, EXHIBIT C, Section C.1.2.19**.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-20):				Evaluator	Initials:	
Торіс	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Change Management	20					

### **Programmatic Evaluators STOP HERE**

#### 5 SECTION 2 - FINANCIAL STABILITY EVALUATION FOR WIC EBT

- **6 GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS**
- 6.1 Section 2 Financial Stability Evaluation for WIC EBT shall be evaluated as prescribed below
- 6.1.1 Criteria 13 for WIC EBT shall be evaluated by a Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator ONLY.
- 6.2 The Financial Management Evaluator will evaluate the financial stability of the financial Reply for all Vendor Replies that pass the mandatory criteria. Each evaluation criterion must be scored. Fractional values will not be accepted. If an evaluator score sheet(s) is missing scores, it will be returned for completion. Scoring must reflect the evaluator's independent evaluation of the Reply to each evaluation criterion.
- 6.3 The Financial Management Evaluator shall assign a score for the financial stability evaluation criteria based upon his/her assessment of the Reply. The assignment of an individual score must be based upon the following description of the point scores:

IF, in your judgment the Reply demonstrates and/or describes	Category	assign points within
extensive competency, proven capabilities, an outstanding approach to the subject area, innovative, practical and effective solutions, a clear and complete understanding of inter-relationships, full responsiveness, a clear and comprehensive understanding of the requirements and planning for the unforeseen.	Superior	81-100% of the maximum points for the area.
clear competency, consistent capability, a reasoned approach to the subject area, feasible solutions, a generally clear and complete description of interrelationships, extensive but incomplete responsiveness and a sound understanding of the requirements.	Good	61-80% of the maximum points for the area.
fundamental competency, adequate capability, a basic approach to the subject area, apparently feasible but somewhat unclear solutions, a weak description of inter-relationships in some areas, partial responsiveness, a fair understanding of the requirements and a lack of staff experience and skills in some areas.	Adequate	41-60% of the maximum points for the area.
little competency, minimal capability, an inadequate approach to the subject area, infeasible and/or ineffective solutions, somewhat unclear, incomplete and /or non-responsive, a lack of understanding of the requirements and a lack of demonstrated experience and skills.	Poor	21-40 %of the maximum points for the area.
a significant or complete lack of understanding, an incomprehensible approach, a significant of complete lack of skill and experience and extensive non-responsiveness.	Insufficient	0-20% of the maximum points for the area.

6.4 When completing score sheets the evaluator should record references to sections of the ITN (including any Appendices) and the written Reply materials which most directly pertain to the criterion and upon which their scores were based. More than one section may be recorded. The evaluator should not attempt an exhaustive documentation of every bit of information considered but only key information. In general, the reference

statements should be brief. If the Reply does not address an evaluation criterion, the evaluator should indicate "not addressed" and score it accordingly.

- 6.5 The Financial Management Evaluator has been provided a copy of the ITN, including its appendices, any ITN addenda, Vendor written inquiries, the written responses provided by the Department and a copy of each Vendor's programmatic Reply for reference. The Financial Management Evaluator has also been provided each Vendor's financial Reply which shall be evaluated and scored according to the instructions provided in the solicitation and the evaluation manual.
- Financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information Reply shall be independently scored by the Financial Management Evaluator in accordance with the instructions provided in the solicitation document and the evaluation manual. No collaboration is permitted during the scoring process. The same scoring principles must be applied to every Reply received. The Financial Management Evaluator should work carefully to be as thorough as possible to ensure a fair and open competitive procurement. No attempt by Department personnel or others to influence the Financial Management Evaluator's scoring shall be tolerated.
- 6.7 If any attempt is made to influence the evaluator, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Procurement Officer. If such an attempt is made by the Procurement Officer, the evaluator must immediately report the incident to the Inspector General.
- 6.8 Only the rating sheets provided should be used. No additional notes or marks should appear elsewhere in the evaluation manual.
- 6.9 The evaluator may request assistance in understanding evaluation criteria and Replies only from the Procurement Officer.
- 6.10 Questions related to the solicitation and the evaluations of the Reply should be directed only to:

Tammy Davis, Procurement Officer

Florida Department of Children and Families

E-Mail Address: Tammy.Davis1@myflfamilies.com

- 6.11 After the Financial Management Evaluator has completed the scoring of the financial stability criteria for each Reply, the scores are then submitted to the Procurement Officer for compilation. The Procurement Officer will total the score by the Financial Management Evaluator to calculate the points awarded for the financial stability criteria for each Reply. The sum of total financial point score for each Reply will be added to the associated programmatic average score and cost information score for each Reply.
- 6.12 Following completion of the independent evaluations of the replies, the Procurement Officer will hold a meeting to validate evaluator scoring. The purpose of the meeting is to ensure that their individual evaluation scores were captured correctly.

#### 7 QUALITATIVE CRITERIA

The Financial Management Evaluator shall assign scores to each of the replies received by the Department based on the following criteria:

- Financial resources and capability of the Vendor to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract.
- Vendor's articulation of their project approach and solution to reduce administrative costs, and the ability of the approach and solution to meet the Department's needs.
- The level of financial risk to the State as indicated by the Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report.

#### 8 FINANCIAL STABILITY OF THE FINANCIAL REPLY POINT VALUES

The maximum score for the Financial Stability of the Financial Reply for WIC EBT is 260 points. The financial stability and cost information criteria for WIC EBT is below.

WIC EBT Financial Stability Criteria	Maximum Points	Percent of Total (260 Points)
The Vendor's financial management approach, financial stability, a financial information.		
<ul> <li>Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract</li> <li>Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs</li> <li>Dun &amp; Bradstreet (D&amp;B) Comprehensive Report shows         Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS that indicate low risk of financial issues     </li> </ul>	100	10%
Criteria 13 Subtotal	100	10%
The Vendor's Cost Information Reply.		
<ul> <li>How well does the Vendor follow State and federal budgeting and cost requirements?</li> <li>Overall, is the Vendor's proposed cost justified for the WIC program being served</li> </ul>	160	16%
Criteria 14 Subtotal	16%	
WIC EBT Financial and Cost Information Criteria Total	260	26%

#### **WIC Financial Stability Evaluation Criteria 13**

**COMPLETED BY Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator ONLY** 

#### Criteria 13:

The Vendor's financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information.

#### Sub criteria:

- Vendor has adequate financial resources and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with the contract
- Vendor has an approach to reduce administrative costs
- Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report shows Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS that indicate low risk of financial issues

#### ITN Programmatic Reply Instructions: Section 4.3.2.1 of the ITN

The Vendor must describe its current financial management and accounting systems and capability to perform all financial requirements associated with any contract awarded as a result of this ITN and **APPENDIX VIII: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 1** and **APPENDIX IX: STANDARD CONTRACT PART 2**.

#### ITN Related Text: Section 4.3.2.2 through 4.3.2.5 of the ITN

The Vendor shall provide information on how they plan to develop efficiencies in the services being provided. From this plan, the Vendor shall show how the cost reduction or added services that are realized from these efficiencies will be re-invested into the required services.

The Vendor shall provide an ongoing approach to reduce administrative cost, without affecting the quality of the services.

The Vendor shall provide a copy of their Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Comprehensive Report that shows both the Commercial Credit Score (CCS) and the Financial Stress Score (FSS). The report shall be dated no more than two months prior to the submission date of the ITN.

It is the duty of the Vendor to ensure the submission of a D&B report that accurately reflects the proposing entity or division within the parent company, if applicable. If the Department cannot easily determine that the report is that of the proposing entity or division, then the Department may award zero points.

If the Vendor does not provide a D&B Comprehensive Report or if the report classifies the Vendor as having a Financial Stress Score of 5, the Reply may be deemed nonresponsive at the discretion of the Department and not evaluated.

The Vendor shall provide the firm's audited financial statements for the Vendor's last three (3) fiscal years. For a public firm, this can be their last three (3) Form 10-K submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission. For a privately held firm, this must be their last three (3) years of audited financial statements.

Criteria 13: The Vendor's financial managem	Criteria 13: The Vendor's financial management approach, financial stability, and related financial information.					
Sub criteria:  Vendor has adequate final associated with the control  Vendor has an approach to Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) Control  Financial Stress Score (FSS)  Notes/Rationale:	ancial reso act to reduce Comprehe	ources and ca administrati ensive Report	apability to p ve costs t shows Com	erform all fi	nancial requ	uirements
0 (0 400)						
Score (0-100):					Insufficient	
Торіс	Points	(81-100%)	(61-80%)	(41-60%)	(21-40%)	(0-20%)
Financial Management & Stability	100					

**WIC Cost Information Evaluation Criteria 14** 

**COMPLETED BY Cost Information Evaluator ONLY** 

#### Criteria 14:

The Vendor's Cost Information Reply.

#### Sub criteria:

- How well does the vendor follow State and federal budgeting and cost requirements?
- Overall, is the vendor's proposed cost justified for the WIC program being served.

ITN Reply Instructions: Section 4.3.3 of the ITN

Each Vendor shall use the Cost Sheet Form provided in APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM.

Cost Data must be entered in the Cost Sheet Form, **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM**, provided in this ITN. Failure to complete any or all blanks on the Cost Sheet form may result in rejection of the Reply. A representative who is authorized to contractually bind the Vendor must sign **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM**.

The costs provided shall include the cost of all services and materials necessary to accomplish the services outlined in this ITN and its appendices and the Vendor's Reply hereto, including, but not limited to costs, fees, prices, rates, profit, bonuses, discounts, rebates, or the identification of free services, materials, licensing fee sharing arrangements, personnel and labor costs, equipment expenses, MFMP Transaction Fee, miscellaneous expenses and the application of all personnel additional costs (i.e. overhead, fringe benefits, etc.), travel and incidental expenses. Footnotes, notations, and exceptions made in **APPENDIX XI: COST SHEET FORM** shall not be considered.

#### ITN Related Text: Appendix XI: Cost Sheet Form

Appendix XI correlates to Exhibit F in Appendix IX posted with this ITN. For convenience and to avoid confusion, it replicates the pricing information required by that Exhibit. The Provider shall apply the pricing in the contract for the five (5) year contract period and the potential five (5) year contract renewal period. Commission. For a privately held firm, this must be their last three (3) years of audited financial statements.

Notes/Rationale:						
Score (0-160):	Score (0-160): Evaluator Initials:					
Topic	Max Points	Superior (81-100%)	Good (61-80%)	Adequate (41-60%)	Poor (21-40%)	Insufficient (0-20%)
Cost Information	160					

### **Financial Management and Cost Information Evaluator STOP HERE**

<u>#</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	ITN Section	Written Question Received	Department's Written Answer:
		<u>Number</u>		
1	Fidelity Information Services, LLC	C-1.2.18.2.2	This section requires that functionality and connectivity must conform to the requirements stated in Section C-1.2.19.3. However, Section C-1.2.19.3 does not exist in the current ITN. Could the State please clarify this section reference?	Please see Change No. 3 of this Addendum.
2	Fidelity Information Services, LLC	Follow-up to Question 2 of Addendum No. 2 related to newly added Section 4.1.4 and Section 2.8	The State's answer to Question 2 is that electronic copies are preferred. However, Section 4.1.4 states that the electronic copies must be identical to the hard copy, thus implying that both hard copies and electronic copies on USB are required. Would the State please confirm that if Replies are submitted via email (as required in 2.8 and referenced in Answer #4), that hard copies and USB copies are NOT required?	The Answer(s) to Question #2 and #4 of Addendum 2 is hereby revised as follows: If a vendor chooses to submit their response electronically, via email, then a hard copy with a corresponding USB copy is not required.
3	Fidelity Information Services, LLC	Follow-up Question to Answers #39 and #40 of Addendum No. 2	Answers #39 and #40 directly conflict.  Answer #39 states "No response is required to Appendix IX: Standard Contract Part 2, Exhibit C – Task List, Section C-2.6."  Answer #40 states "A detailed response is required for Appendix IX: Standard Contract Part 2, Exhibit C – Task List, Section 2.6."  Please confirm that responses are NOT required to Appendix IX: Standard Contract Part 2, Exhibit C – Task List, Section 2.6.	A detailed response is required for Appendix IX: Standard Contract Part 2, Exhibit C – Task List, Section C-2.6.
4	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Question 2 & Change No. 3	Change No. 3 provided updates to hard copy requirements that contradict the State's response to Question 2 which states an electronic only (email) submission is the preferred of submission. Please confirm that Change No. 3 only applies if submitting with	Please see Answer to Question 2.

Page 32

DCF Addendum 3 (PMT-12-2021) Effective Jan. 5, 2021

<u>#</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	ITN Section Number	Written Question Received	Department's Written Answer:
			the optional method of submission rather than the State's preferred method (email) as stated in ITN Requirement 2.8 and confirmed in Question 2.	
5	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Question 4	Question 4 requires that the Programmatic and Financial replies (in separate PDF files) to be submitted in the same email. With the redacted files also to be sent, it is likely the file sizes will be too large to send all files in one email. Please confirm that sending separate emails is acceptable.	Please see Answer to Question 2.  Sending separate emails is acceptable (Format: 1 of 2; 2 of 2 in the subject line)
6	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Questions 39 and 40	Answers to questions 39 and 40 are contradictory. Does the State want bidders to provide a response to Appendix IX: Standard Contract Part 2, Exhibit C – Task List, Section C-2.6?	Please see Answer to Question 3.
7	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Change No. 15 and Question 85	Change No. 15 deleted Appendix VI and VII their entirety; however, the answer to Question 85 indicates bidders are to include them in Tab 1. Please update response to question 85 to reflect Change #15 accurately.	The Answer to Question #85 of Addendum 2 is hereby revised as follows: Please see Change No. 15 of Addendum 2.
8	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Change No. 15, 6, and 18	Change No. 15 deleted Appendix VI Project Budget Summary in its entirety; however, Federal Budgeting evaluation criteria was not removed om the WIC evaluation criteria:  • Change No. 6, 5.2.1.2 WIC Evaluation Criteria under Financial Management Evaluation (page 9)  • Change No. 18 REVISED - WIC EBT Evaluation Manual under Sections criteria 13 (page 45) and criteria 14 (page 48)	Please see Change No. 5, 6, 7, and 8 of this Addendum.
			Please update the WIC evaluation criteria to reflect the removal of the budget requirement.	

<u>#</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	ITN Section Number	Written Question Received	Department's Written Answer:
9	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	ITN Section D-1.4	Please confirm that the Executive Level Project Work Plan is due after contract award and is not to be included with proposal submission?	The Provider shall provide an Executive Level Work Plan to the Department incorporating the Preliminary Project Work Plan submitted with its response to the ITN.  Please see Change No. 4 of this
10	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Change No. 5, 6, and 18	Change No. 5 (page 6) removed the requirement for vendor's to provide a D&B report with submission; however, the D&B requirement was not removed in the WIC evaluation criteria:  • Change No. 6, 5.2.1.2 WIC Evaluation Criteria under Financial Management Evaluation (page 9)  • Change No. 18 REVISED - WIC EBT Evaluation Manual under Section 7 (page 45), Section 8 (page 45), and Criteria 13 (pages 46 and 47)  Will the State be updating the WIC evaluation criteria to reflect the change in this requirement?	Addendum.  Please see Change No. 2 of this Addendum.
11	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	Addendum 2, Question 42 (Appendix II, Exhibit D D- 1.14, #2)	The answer to Question 42 states that the "Retailer and TPP agreements are required with authorized signatures." Since only the current contractor can provide Florida-specific Retailer agreements with authorized signatures, please confirm that only draft agreements without authorized signatures are required with proposal submission.	The Answer to Question #42 of Addendum 2 is hereby revised as follows:  A final draft copy of the Retailer and TPP agreements are required without an authorized signature.

<u>#</u>	<u>Vendor</u>	ITN Section Number	Written Question Received	Department's Written Answer:
12	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	C-1.2.18.2, Question 116	In response to the question 116, the State indicated that the 100 devices to be provided to the state were for testing and new vendor enablement.  ITN section C-1.2.18.2. POS Deployment Requirements (and subsections) clearly identifies the Provider as responsible for vendor enablement and POS deployment to vendors.  Please confirm and clarify that it is the state's intent to have the Provider manage POS deployment and new vendor enablement rather than the state. If the state intends to manage the POS deployment for new vendor enablement, please clarify the following:  1. the number of test devices and number of new vendor enablement devices as these would be configured differently depending on intended use and vendor devices are configured specifically for each vendor  2. the state's intended process to deploy devices to new vendors	The Department confirms the Provider will manage the POS deployment.
13	Conduent State & Local Solutions, Inc.	C-1.2.13, Question 112	Is it expected that the interface that is implemented at the beginning of the contract would then require an additional update within the first year or is it expected that the current update in progress would be the interface used until FNS releases a new WUMEI specification?	If the current interface update project has not been completed prior to the start of the new contract, the update process will need to be completed as part of the new contract.