PROPOSAL TABULATION

NEGOTIATION NUMBER: DOT-ITB-20-9104-SJ

FAILURE TO FILE A PROTEST WITHIN THE TIME PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 120.57(3), FLORIDA STATUTES, OR FAILURE TO POST THE BOND OR OTHER SECURITY REQUIRED BY LAW WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A BOND SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER CHAPTER 120. FLORIDA STATUTES

OPENING DATE: <u>09/03/2020</u> TIME: <u>3:00 P.M.</u>

OPENED BY:	S. Johnson	

ITN Title/Description: Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) Data Exchange Platforn	<u>m</u>
Technical Review Team: Greg Smiley, Eric Gordin, Jeremy Dilmore	
This Posting: From: Wednesday, February 10, 2021 @ 05:00PM	Until: Monday, February 15, 2021 @ 05:00PM
TYPE OF POSTING: Shortlist Panking	

VENDOR	"X" Indicates Shortlisted Vendor*	Technical Proposal Score	Oral Presentation Score	Total Technical and Oral Presentation Score	Ranking
SAS Institute	X	89.33	86.67	176.00	5
Urban SDK, Inc.					
Presidio Networked Solutions Group, LLC					
Carahsoft Technology Corporation					
Vanasse Hangen Brustlin, Inc. (VHB)	X	91.67	91.33	183.00	2
Panasonic Corporation of North America	X	89.67	92.33	182.00	3
Battelle Memorial Institute	X	90.00	88.67	178.67	4
Mixon/Hill Inc.					
ViON Corporation					
Accenture LLP	X	88.67	86.33	175.00	6
Kyra Solutions, Inc.					
Acrotron, Inc.					
Siemens Mobility, Inc.					
Southwest Research Institute	X	93.00	91.67	184.67	1
Kapsch TrafficCom USA, Inc.					
BLYNCSY, Inc.					

- * Shortlist: "X" in the Shortlisted Vendor column indicates shortlist selection is made to the responsive and responsible offerors whose Qualifications Packages have been determined to be the most advantageous to the State. Shortlisted vendors are those being offered the opportunity to give a technical proposal and/or oral presentation of their firm's capabilities and approach to the scope of services / specification.
- ** Ranking: The Department intends to negotiate separately and will award a contract to the highest ranked vendor that reaches an acceptable agreement with the Department. The Department will commence negotiations with the number one ranked vendor until an acceptable contract is agreed upon or it is determined an acceptable agreement cannot be reached with such vendor. If negotiations fail with the number one ranked vendor, negotiations may begin with the second-ranked vendor, and so on down the order of ranking until the Department is able to negotiate an acceptable agreement.