In Re: Florida Cabinet Meeting

June 15, 2021



Raising the Bar!

STATE OF FLORIDA

IN RE: MEETING OF THE GOVERNOR AND CABINET

CABINET MEMBERS: GOVERNOR RON DESANTIS

ATTORNEY GENERAL ASHLEY MOODY

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER OF AGRICULTURE NIKKI FRIED

DATE: Tuesday, June 15, 2021

TIME: Commenced at 9:00 a.m.

Concluded at 11:52 a.m.

LOCATION: Cabinet Meeting Room

Lower Level, The Capitol

Tallahassee, FL

REPORTED BY:

STENOGRAPHICALLY Jo Langston, RPR

1	I N D E X	Page 2
2		PAGE
3	Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance	3
4	OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION	4
5	Commissioner Russell Weigel	
6	STATE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION Executive Director Ash Williams	6
7	DIVISION OF BOND FINANCE Director Ben Watkins	21
8		2.0
9	DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE Executive Director Jim Zingale	30
10	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNAL IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND	36
11	Portia Sapp	
12	FLORIDA LAND AND WATER ADJUDICATORY COMMISSION Dylan Fisher	40
13	ADMINISTRATION COMMISSION	43
14	Dylan Fisher	13
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 3 PROCEEDINGS
2	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good morning and welcome
3	to the June 15th meeting of the Governor and
4	Cabinet. I'd like to welcome Lieutenant Dustin
5	Hawkins from the Indian River County Fire
6	Department to give an invocation and lead us in
7	the Pledge of Allegiance.
8	(Whereupon, the invocation was given and the
9	Pledge of Allegiance said.)
10	(Recognitions and resolutions were heard and
11	presented.)
12	
13	
14	* * * *
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	Page 4 OFFICE OF FINANCIAL REGULATION
2	Commissioner Russell Weigel
3	* * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I'd like to recognize
5	Commissioner Weigel to present OFR's agenda.
6	MR. WEIGEL: Thank you, Governor. Good
7	morning. Chief Patronis, Attorney General Moody,
8	Commissioner Fried. The OFR has one item on the
9	agenda today. The individual rule numbers are
10	located in our rule materials for your reference.
11	Agenda item number 1, the OFR requests final
12	approval to amend two securities rules. These
13	rules are amended to allow Florida to join a
14	multi-state electronic filing system administered
15	by the North American Securities Administrators'
16	Association, which OFR believes will facilitate
17	Florida companies in being able to raise capital.
18	OFR requests approval.
19	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to
20	approve. Is there a second?
21	ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Second.
22	THE COURT: Any objection? Hearing none,
23	the motion carries.
24	MR. WEIGEL: Thank you.
25	COMMISSIONER FRIED: Governor.

Page 5 Commissioner, before you leave, I just wanted to 1 2. know if there was an update on the conversation that we had last time in regards to the hemp 4 memos. MR. WEIGEL: Not really an update, but thank you for your question, Commissioner. We can say 6 7 that we've been in communication with the Governor's Office and with the Attorney General's 8 9 Office, and we've been requested to gather more data, and we're in the process of doing that. 10 11 And we will stay in communication with your office, as we have been, and with each of our 12 stakeholders. 13 14 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Thank you. 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Thank you. * * * * * 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	Page 6 STATE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION
2	Executive Director Ash Williams
3	* * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. Ash
5	Williams, SBA.
6	MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, Governor.
7	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good morning.
8	MR. WILLIAMS: Cabinet members. Let's open
9	with a little performance as usual, if we could
10	please. As of last night's close, June 14, the
11	Florida Retirement Trust Fund year to date is up
12	an estimated gross 28.65 percent. That's 99
13	basis points ahead of target. The fund stands at
14	a record balance of \$199.2 billion. That's up
15	\$38.5 billion from the beginning of the year.
16	And I think we ought to take just a second and
17	look at what that 38.5 billion might mean.
18	Now, with actuarial science, one doesn't
19	take single-point mark to market numbers and rely
20	on them. You smooth them over five-year periods
21	so that you don't have radical changes in plan
22	sponsor contributions over time.
23	But the reason I draw your attention to that
24	\$38.5 billion is that at the beginning of this
25	fiscal year, almost a year ago, the plan was

Page 7 underfunded by \$36 billion. 38.5 is greater than 1 2 36, which has very, very powerful positive ramifications for the health of this plan. wanted to thank you and the other trustees and 4 5 the legislature for your help in moving our actuarial return assumptions in an appropriate 6 7 direction, being more conservative, more prudent, the legislature for their full funding. 8 And this just illustrates the power of that 9 10 trinity of responsible funding, reasonable benefits and prudent investment. So headed in 11 12 the right direction and just wanted to thank you. Unless there are any questions, I'm happy to 13 start the agenda. 14 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Go ahead. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Item 1, we have a 17 resolution of the State Board of Administration approving the fiscal sufficiency of an amount not 18 exceeding \$500 million State of Florida, 19 Department of Transportation, turnpike revenue 20 bonds, Series 2021. Request approval. 21 2.2 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Move to approve. Τs 23 there a second? ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: 24 Second. 25 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The

- 1 motion carries. Item 2.
- 2 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Item 2, a
- 3 resolution of the State Board of Administration
- 4 making the fiscal determination in connection
- 5 with the issuance of an amount not exceeding
- 6 \$12,500,000 Florida Housing Finance Corporation
- 7 multifamily mortgage revenue bonds 2021. This is
- 8 the Park at Palo Alto, new construction of 120
- 9 units of affordable housing in Bay County,
- 10 Florida.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. I move to
- 12 approve. Is there a second?
- 13 CFO PATRONIS: Second.
- 14 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The
- 15 motion carries. Item 3.
- 16 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Item 3, a
- 17 resolution of the State Board of Administration
- 18 making a fiscal determination in connection with
- 19 the issuance of an amount not exceeding
- 20 \$13 million Florida Housing Finance Corporation
- 21 multifamily mortgage revenue bonds 2021. This is
- 22 the Saratoga Crossings 3 project, new
- 23 construction, 75 units of affordable housing in
- 24 Broward County.
- 25 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I move to approve. Is

- 1 there a second?
- 2 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Second.
- 3 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hearing no objection,
- 4 the motion carries. Item 4.
- 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Item 4, request
- 6 approval of the SBA quarterly report required by
- 7 the Protecting Florida's Investments Act.
- 8 There's only one change of any note, and it's not
- 9 a significant one, in my judgment. And that is
- 10 we added one company to the scrutinized list
- 11 relating to Iran. It's called CNOOC Energy Tech
- 12 and Services.
- 13 It's a publicly traded subsidiary of China
- 14 National Offshore Oil Corp, which we have on our
- 15 scrutinized list for transactions in Iran, and
- 16 we're adding this one to that list. Request
- 17 approval of the report.
- 18 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. I move to
- 19 approve. Is there a second?
- 20 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Second.
- 21 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hearing no objections,
- 22 the motion carries.
- 23 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
- 24 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: So just in terms of
- 25 inflation and the economy, can you talk a little

- 1 bit about that, what you're seeing, and then how
- 2 would that change the investment strategy, you
- 3 know, if we did have a period of sustained
- 4 inflation?
- 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Sure. A couple of things on
- 6 that. I would say thought is generally
- 7 bifurcated into two camps right now. You've
- 8 heard the federal reserve using the term
- 9 "transient" or "transient variables", transience
- 10 as a condition in talking about inflation.
- 11 And this is something we touched on in our
- 12 last conversation in our last meeting, which is
- 13 right now you have an unusual confluence of
- 14 events that are driving price comparisons to
- 15 those of a year ago to extraordinary numbers.
- 16 You're seeing the highest inflation numbers
- 17 you've seen in more than a decade.
- 18 And that's a function of several things.
- 19 First of all, if you went back to June of 2020,
- 20 we were in the bottom of the pandemic and people
- 21 weren't going out, consumption was suppressed,
- 22 supply chains were interrupted.
- 23 And there are any number of reasons why
- 24 activity, because economies around the world were
- 25 shut down from the top for health safety reasons

- 1 in a lot of places that maybe overdid it by
- 2 Florida standards, but at any rate, consumption
- 3 was so low and economic activity was so low that
- 4 the comparison today, when we're coming out very
- 5 dramatically from the pandemic, is very, very
- 6 stark. And it looks like major inflation is
- 7 coming.
- 8 And you have the normal factors that we've
- 9 talked about before, constraints of supply
- 10 because of people not being able to work in a lot
- of industries because they couldn't get together
- in manufacturing plants or offices, et cetera.
- 13 You had interruption of supplies, we discussed,
- 14 global supply chains interrupted.
- 15 And then at the same time, you had people at
- 16 home not going out to shop, not consuming the way
- they normally would, not traveling, not going to
- 18 all the wonderful resorts here in Florida, not
- 19 getting on cruise ships, et cetera. And all of
- 20 that's changing. But the supply has not come
- 21 back yet.
- 22 So you have a combination of pent-up demand
- 23 in consumers. People are itching to get out and
- 24 go to dinner and do all the wonderful things they
- 25 used to do pre-pandemic. They've got money.

- 1 Household savings are at the highest level
- 2 they've been at in history. Interest rates are
- 3 still low. So people are consuming. And you've
- 4 had a massive amount of federal stimulus.
- 5 So that peak in demand on top of supply
- 6 that's still not fully recovered is creating an
- 7 imbalance and driving up prices. And over that
- 8 one-year comparison, it makes a really stark,
- 9 stark comparison.
- 10 Let's break down why there's a split in the
- 11 two camps. There's the Federal Reserve camp and
- 12 a lot of other folks who take the view that this
- is a transient phenomenon and that as supply
- 14 normalizes and full production of lumber products
- 15 and silicon chips and every other dadgum thing
- 16 that makes it right now very hard to get
- 17 furniture, lumber, fittings, electronics -- just
- 18 about anything is hard to get right now -- that
- 19 will settle out and return to normalcy
- 20 eventually.
- 21 The other thing that will happen is once
- 22 people get out and take a couple of vacations and
- 23 go out to dinner a few times and buy some of
- 24 whatever it is they want to buy, that will calm
- 25 down, too. Consumption should normalize. Supply

Page 13 should normalize. You get back to some sort of 1 2. stasis or balance between the two, and the inflation calms down. There's another overriding reason to believe 4 5 that will happen. And that's global demographics. We have the lowest birth rates in 6 7 modern history in most industrialized nations. 8 They're less than replacement birth rates. 9 A replacement birth rate means that if a couple has two children, they're replacing 10 11 themselves. If the birth rate organically is 12 less than 2.0, it signals a decline in population. And a decline in population means a 13 reduction in housing starts, a reduction in 14 household formations. 15 And as all of us know who have ever grown 16 17 up, gotten married, done a house, there are eight 18 zillion things you spend money on when you do a 19 house that you never knew existed before. 20 powerful driver to economic activity. So those 21 demographic headwinds suggest that longer term 2.2 deflation could be a bigger threat. 23 The only two parts of the world that have 24 really powerful organic birth rates are 25 Sub-Saharan Africa and the Middle East. And

those are the two of the most unstable parts of 1 2 the world. They've not had the governance that a lot of other countries have and they've not been 3 successful yet in solving problems of food 4 5 adequacy and stable governance and a lot of other 6 things. 7 So the flip side of that argument, which is the inflation will be with us for a year or two 8 and it will calm down and go away and don't make 9 10 too big of a deal out of it in the short-term, 11 the flip side of that is the "not so fast," these 12 conditions are durable. 13 And one of the other things that will affect -- that could affect this is, I think one 14 15 of the lessons that was learned in the pandemic, in this country and others, is overdependence on 16 long supply chains and looking at the sourcing of 17 the inputs to a business, whether it's a service 18 19 business or a production business. 20 Just trying to get everything at the lowest 21 possible cost may not be the right thing to do for your country, because if key components are 2.2 23 coming from -- pick a faraway place, China, India, wherever, because they have a low cost 24 25 producer and that supply chain gets cut, guess

what. You don't have protection equipment. 1 You 2. don't have circuit boards. You don't have this, that and the other. So I think there will be a real move, I hope 4 5 there will be a real move, to move a lot of this production back to the United States, back to the 6 7 UK, back to Europe, et cetera. But that's not free. 8 The reason that 9 production moved overseas in the first place was 10 to save money. And I think people may realize, on a total cost basis, looking at it very 11 12 holistically, from a national security standpoint, we may be better off producing a lot 13 of these things ourselves and saying, okay, we're 14 going to pay a little more for whatever this 15 thing is, but if it means it's secure and if it 16 means American jobs, et cetera, et cetera, maybe 17 that's a good thing. And there's a lot of 18 19 activity here in Florida that suggests that may 20 be a theme. 21 What are the ramifications depending on which side of the coin you come down on? 2.2 23 ramifications for us would be the asset classes that would benefit from inflation would include 24 25 cash, certainly, because short-term interest

- 1 rates will go up and you'll get a better yield
- 2 there. Equities, if you have companies that are
- 3 growing and have pricing power and can keep up
- 4 with inflation, equities will generally benefit.
- 5 And then the other risk asset classes that are
- 6 connected to them, private equity and venture
- 7 capital will also tend to do better.
- Real estate, depending on what type of real
- 9 estate, will be okay. Hotels are the ideal thing
- 10 in inflation because they reset their rents every
- 11 night. You know, Hilton Hotels can dial in a new
- 12 rent per room per hour per day. And if inflation
- is going up, they just change the numbers and
- 14 that's that.
- 15 Office buildings are a little trickier
- 16 because they tend to be 10- or 15-year leases.
- 17 So the key there is what's the cliff between
- 18 current lease rates and where the market is and
- 19 can you roll your tenant base up and get them as
- 20 high as possible if you're coming into what you
- 21 believe to be a period of inflation. To the
- 22 extent you roll into new tenants, you put
- 23 escalators in the lease. We've been through this
- 24 before. This happened in the seventies. It's
- 25 not new. And there are ways to cope with it.

Page 17 The thing that gets absolutely creamed is 1 2. bonds. And bonds have been tough to begin with or have been tough for a while. If you look at 3 our ten-year trailing return on fixed income, 4 it's a whopping 3.32 percent, which is actually 5 excellent performance. That's 37 basis points 6 7 ahead of the benchmark for bonds over that trailing ten-year period, going back to 30 8 9 April ten years ago. 10 And why is that important? It's important 11 because bonds are the diversifier to global equity volatility. And traditionally, 12 institutions have been able to have -- you've 13 heard of the 60/40 portfolio, 60 percent equity, 14 15 40 percent fixed income. Well, the idea was that blend of 60/40, the 16 17 40 would give you protection when the stock 18 market is in trouble, help protect your capital, and the 60 would give you growth over the 19 20 long-term to compound capital and to take 21 advantage of inflation when it comes around. 2.2 The trick has been, for most of my lifetime, 23 the 40 percent would give you a real return. That is a return net of inflation. At current 24 25 levels, that doesn't happen. So what you've got

- 1 to do is find diversification somewhere else with
- 2 something that has bond-like characteristics,
- 3 pays a cash yield. We always say you can't pay
- 4 benefits with nominal yield. That takes cash.
- 5 And there's \$600 million a month going out the
- 6 door for those.
- 7 So I think the important thing is to be able
- 8 to remain in risk assets, equity and equity-like
- 9 assets that are one or both of volatile or
- 10 illiquid. Real estate is illiquid. Equities are
- 11 volatile. Private equity and venture capital are
- 12 both volatile and illiquid. What those things
- 13 share is they all have significantly more
- 14 powerful returns over the long term.
- 15 If you look at the ten-year equity number --
- 16 well, our ten-year total fund number is
- 17 8.82 percent. That's 85 basis points ahead of
- 18 target annualized over a decade. If you look at
- 19 global equity, a little over 10 percent, real
- 20 estate just south of 10 percent, private equity
- 21 pushing 16 percent, strategic investments, which
- is a non-bond diversifier, close to 8 percent.
- 23 Cash is 0.73, less than inflation. So negative
- 24 real return on cash over that decade.
- 25 Most people think cash is the safe thing.

Page 19 stor

- 1 It's not. Because if you're a long-term investor
- 2 as an institution, you're guaranteed to lose
- 3 money on inflation over time. So
- 4 counterintuitively, cash is not the safest thing.
- 5 So that's why I raised the issue back in
- 6 March when we were talking about the issue of
- 7 closing the defined benefit plan, that the risk
- 8 would be, if you cut off inflows of new members,
- 9 you could impair our ability to be in these asset
- 10 classes that basically pay the rent, which in
- 11 turn would mean that the 60 percent plus of the
- 12 cost of the Florida Retirement System that
- 13 currently is paid by investment earnings might
- 14 have to come from one of the other two sources.
- 15 And those two sources are employer
- 16 contributions/taxpayers or beneficiaries/
- 17 employees.
- 18 So I think the way we're set up is
- 19 completely appropriate for this environment. And
- 20 if you're as big as we are, the Florida State
- 21 Board is now managing a quarter of a trillion
- 22 dollars, you can't go put that in a sock. You're
- 23 going to have to have market exposure. And
- that's why the long-term view is so important.
- 25 Long answer. Sorry for the --

```
Page 20
          GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Great. Okay. Well,
 1
     thank you. Well done.
 2
          MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
 3
                       * * * * *
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	Page 21 DIVISION OF BOND FINANCE
2	Director Ben Watkins
3	* * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Bond Finance.
5	MR. WATSON: Good morning, Governor
6	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good morning.
7	MR. WATSON: and Cabinet Members. Item
8	number 1 are the minutes from the
9	March 9th meeting.
10	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Move to approve. Is
11	there a second?
12	COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
13	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The
14	motion carries.
15	MR. WATSON: Item number 2 are reports of
16	award on three separate bond sales. The first is
17	an \$11.8 million refunding for debt service
18	savings for Florida State University's parking
19	system. The bonds were awarded to the low bidder
20	at a true interest cost of .7 percent. That
21	allowed us to reduce interest rates from
22	4.35 percent to .7 percent, generating gross debt
23	service savings of 2.5 million, present value
24	savings of 2.4 million, or 14.5 percent of the
25	principal amount of the bonds being refunded.

- 1 2-B is the competitive sale of
- 2 \$12.3 million, which was also a refunding for
- 3 debt service savings for FSU's Student Wellness
- 4 Center. We sold the bonds to the low bidder at
- 5 true interest cost of .74 percent, which allowed
- 6 us to reduce the interest rate from 4.32 percent
- 7 to .74 percent, generating gross debt service
- 8 savings of 3.4 million, present value savings of
- 9 3.1 million, or 17.9 percent of the principal
- 10 amount of the bonds being refunded.
- 11 Lastly on bond sales is item 2-C,
- 12 competitive sale of \$232.8 million for the
- 13 University of Florida Housing System. This was
- 14 actually two separate sales, a \$215 million new
- 15 money issue for a new 1400-bed dormitory at the
- 16 University of Florida and a \$17 million
- 17 refunding.
- 18 And the new money bonds were sold at a true
- 19 interest cost of 2.31 percent for the 30-year
- 20 loan. The refunding was sold at a .87 percent.
- 21 The refunding allowed us to reduce interest rates
- 22 from 3.45 percent to .87 percent, generating
- 23 gross debt service savings of 2.9 million,
- 24 present value savings of 2.6 million or
- 25 12.4 percent of the principal amount of the bonds

- 1 being refunded.
- 2 Item 3 is a request for authorization and
- 3 issuance of \$500 million in Turnpike revenue
- 4 bonds for projects located throughout the state
- 5 that's included in Turnpike's work program and
- 6 included in the budget. And I need a motion and
- 7 approval on that item.
- 8 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Move to approve. Is
- 9 there a second?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The
- 12 motion carries.
- 13 MR. WATSON: Item 4 is a resolution
- 14 authorizing the solicitation of proposals and a
- 15 recommendation regarding a consolidated equipment
- 16 financing program. This is in effect a line of
- 17 credit where we're in charge of soliciting
- 18 proposals, taking the lowest borrowing cost we
- 19 can. And then we hand that over to the CFO's
- 20 office for them to administer. It's in effect a
- 21 line of credit for equipment purchases for the
- 22 State.
- To give you an idea of the order of
- 24 magnitude, so it's a three-year line of credit.
- 25 We solicited proposals in 2019. It was a

Page 24 \$30 million line, and only 7.1 million has been 1 2. drawn on that. So it's not a big deal but it's a 3 way for state agencies to access credit at a very favorable interest rate. 4 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to 5 Is there a second? 6 approve. Second 7 CFO PATRONIS: 8 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Any objection? Hearing 9 none, the motion carries. 10 MR. WATSON: Item number 5 is a resolution 11 authorizing the redemption and payment prior to 12 maturity of about \$8 million in dormitory revenue bonds for FAMU. The back story on this is that 13 there's a loan program for historically black 14 colleges and universities. We refinanced all of 15 FAMU's debt outstanding about three years ago and 16 also did a new money piece for a dormitory. So 17 they had about \$125 million in debt outstanding, 18 secured by all of the housing facilities at FAM. 19 20 That loan was forgiven under the CRRSA, 21 which was the second of the three federal 2.2 programs. All of the HBCU loans were forgiven. 23 So this is the remaining piece. It was 112 million. It was forgiven under the CRRSA 24 Act. This is the remaining 8 million that is 25

- 1 going to be paid off with the debt service
- 2 reserve funds that were created under the HBCU
- 3 loan program. So it will basically wipe all of
- 4 the debt, all of the housing debt off FAMU's
- 5 books after this is effectuated.
- 6 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to
- 7 approve. Is there a second?
- 8 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
- 9 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The
- 10 motion carries.
- MR. WATSON: And lastly is a resolution
- 12 authorizing the redemption and payment prior to
- 13 maturity of any bonds that we have outstanding at
- 14 a higher interest rate, so in effect prepaying
- 15 loans in the short-term. So to the extent that
- there are bonds outstanding where we have cash
- 17 available to us and we can prepay those, I'm
- 18 asking for your authorization to do that.
- 19 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I move to approve. Is
- 20 there a second?
- 21 CFO PATRONIS: Second.
- 22 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Any objections? All
- 23 right. Hearing none, the motion carries.
- MR. WATSON: Just follow-on, relative to
- 25 general market conditions and the comments that

- 1 Mr. Williams made about interest rates and
- 2 inflation and how does that work, how does that
- 3 impact the State of Florida, so we keep a keen
- 4 eye on the Fed. My job is very, very simple and
- 5 straightforward relative to his job, because my
- 6 job is to put in place the lowest possible
- 7 borrowing cost, on new money issues as well as
- 8 refinancing debt that's outstanding at lower
- 9 interest rates.
- 10 And the credit conditions in the municipal
- 11 space have been extraordinarily favorable, with
- 12 money flowing into the space that needs to be
- invested, a derth of supply, and so we have a
- 14 supply-demand imbalance which has worked to our
- 15 favor.
- Well, how have we taken advantage of that?
- 17 Obviously, by executing refinancings and reducing
- 18 the amount that has to be paid on an annual basis
- 19 to repay the debt that we have outstanding. So
- 20 to give you a sense of order of magnitude, we're
- 21 coming up to the end of the fiscal year. This
- 22 year we've executed \$2.4 billion in financings,
- 900 million in new money, a billion and a half in
- 24 refinancings, generating gross debt service
- 25 savings of \$350 million.

Page 27 So we've been able to -- and this is not the 1 2. only year. So if you think back over the last several years, we've been able to refinance about 3 80 percent of our loan portfolio that's 4 5 outstanding, with about \$15 billion worth of 6 refinancings. 7 So we've been -- we have a saying in the South, make hay while the sun shines. And that's 8 exactly what we've been doing. And but for the 9 10 impediment under tax law to do advance 11 refundings, we could do many more. But you can 12 see by the size of the refundings we're doing, \$12 million, that we're out of ammo, that we've 13 got to wait until the call date for debt that's 14 15 outstanding at higher interest rates in order to take advantage of the extraordinarily low market 16 17 interest rates. 18 So we keep a keen eye on the Fed and what 19 they're doing. So they're meeting this week. 20 And make some sense of this. Last week CPI came 21 in .6 percent. Year over year, 5 percent. 2.2 Interest rates of ten-year Treasury went from a 23 1.57 to a 1.48, went down, with 5 percent inflation to a 1.48. So a ten-year Treasury at a 24 25 1.48 relative to 5 percent inflation, how does

- 1 that make any sense? And the answer is it
- 2 doesn't.
- 3 And so from what I see where I sit is
- 4 there's no doubt in my mind the Federal Reserve
- 5 has control over the marketplace, because what
- 6 they did in 2008 to combat the financial crisis,
- 7 what was characterized as extraordinary measures
- 8 in terms of QE, guaranteeing money market funds
- 9 and the like, they've never unwound that.
- 10 So what they did was -- so QE has never gone
- 11 away. So the extraordinary has now become the
- ordinary, because what did they do when the
- 13 pandemic hit? Doubled down on QE, unlimited as
- 14 to amount or duration, to instill confidence in
- 15 the financial markets. So that, combined with
- 16 fiscal policy, so Congress in three different
- 17 acts, over \$3 trillion.
- 18 So what does that mean for the State? Money
- 19 is raining out of the sky. So we're in a better
- 20 position financially. Not only that, but because
- of the way you managed the pandemic, our economy
- 22 has been on fire. So our revenue collections
- 23 relative to estimates are nearly a billion
- 24 dollars over what we expected to collect, largely
- 25 because people want to get out and move and

Page 29 hospitality has returned. So we're ahead of 1 2. other states where it's a different story. But because of the extraordinary measures 4 and because of the amount of money that's been 5 deployed under CARES Act, then CRRSA, and now ARPA, we're in better financial position than 6 we've ever been in before. So the credit story 7 8 is easy to tell. From a credit perspective, 9 we're in a great place. So that's all I have to 10 say about that. Any questions? 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No. Thank you. 12 MR. WATSON: Yes, sir. * * * * * 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24 25

· · · · · ·	
1	Page 30 DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE
2	Executive Director Jim Zingale
3	* * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Revenue.
5	MR. ZINGALE: Good morning
6	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good morning.
7	MR. ZINGALE: Governor and Cabinet. A
8	little bit on the front end. I've been coming to
9	cabinet for many, many, many years. One of the
10	highlights of coming to these meetings is the
11	public recognition that you do at the beginning
12	of every session.
13	I typically stage down in the cafeteria.
14	You know, you sit there. You see who comes in.
15	Today I had the privilege of sitting next to the
16	first responders down there. They were trying to
17	figure out how to sneak in here, trying to get a
18	feel for the size of it so they could sit in the
19	back and recognize one of their colleagues that
20	was being recognized by you today.
21	I want you to know how important being in
22	front of you was to all of them and how
23	enthusiastic they were in bragging about a
24	colleague and bragging about what he did. It
25	made my day. Thank you for that. And continue

- 1 to do that. That's a wonderful part of why we
- 2 have a cabinet. That public recognition is
- 3 important, not only to the one you're recognizing
- 4 but the ones that are in back of them supporting
- 5 them. It was an amazing time. They were really
- 6 pumped up out there.
- 7 That said, I have a very short, not nearly
- 8 as interesting agenda as my two predecessors, who
- 9 I always learn from, but we have three little
- 10 items here today. One is approval of the
- 11 minutes.
- 12 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. Move to
- 13 approve. Is there a second?
- 14 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
- 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: No objection. The
- 16 motion carries.
- 17 MR. ZINGALE: The second item is eight
- 18 rules, four primarily dealing with the
- 19 Department's electronic address database and
- 20 modifications to it, four dealing with sales tax.
- 21 Three are recommended by JAPC and one is coming
- 22 from a DOAH court ruling.
- The nature of this I have to read. The
- 24 Department respectfully requests approval of and
- 25 authority to publish notice of proposed rules in

- 1 the Florida Administrative Register for rules
- 2 relating to general tax administration and
- 3 further requests approval to file and certify for
- 4 final adoption under Chapter 120 Florida
- 5 Statutes. If the substance of the proposed rules
- 6 remain unchanged upon reaching the date of
- 7 application for final adoption, request approval.
- 8 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. I move to
- 9 approve. Is there a second?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hearing no objection,
- 12 the motion carries.
- 13 MR. ZINGALE: Item number 3 is one rule
- implementing 2020 legislation dealing with
- 15 conflict of interest for special masters in VAB
- 16 hearings. Request approval.
- 17 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. I move to
- 18 approve. Is there a second?
- 19 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
- 20 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hearing no objection,
- 21 the motion carries.
- 22 MR. ZINGALE: That's our big agenda.
- 23 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: How's the revenue coming
- 24 in for June? Positive?
- 25 MR. ZINGALE: Just like the last four

- 1 months, amazing. I guit looking at it, it's
- 2 coming in so far over forecast every month.
- 3 There's no story anymore. It's a good side of
- 4 it.
- We are busily, though, with the legislation
- 6 passed this session, having to implement
- 7 everything. So since the session ended, between
- 8 the tax-free holidays and Wayfair and certainly
- 9 what we did on the RT rate side, it's been day
- 10 and night for quite a while and will be for a
- 11 little while longer.
- 12 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good. Well, thanks for
- 13 your hard work. We appreciate it.
- 14 CFO PATRONIS: I've got a question,
- 15 Governor.
- 16 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay.
- 17 CFO PATRONIS: Dr. Z, thanks for being here
- 18 and appreciate those comments you made. I had a
- 19 small business owner reach out to me, and the
- 20 legislature did -- like I said, the tax-free
- 21 holidays were exciting. Some of the things I
- 22 think were really out of the box that were great
- 23 ways to stimulate some enthusiasm for what's
- 24 going on in the state.
- 25 But when a business -- like one of them

- 1 reached out and said, Jimmy, I'm not sure how I
- 2 would implement some of these exemptions, maybe
- 3 because they're just technologically challenged.
- 4 Is there a way that they can outreach to y'all to
- 5 make sure they don't screw up?
- 6 MR. ZINGALE: You can call me. You can call
- 7 Debbie. You can call Lisa. We'll get them
- 8 connected up. First two, though, are pretty
- 9 routine that we've had already. The third one is
- 10 brand new. Freedom Week is brand new.
- 11 Uniqueness with how the legislature passed, in
- 12 terms of tagging things it had to deal with. So
- 13 the programming of that is a little difficult.
- 14 But certainly just have them reach out to me,
- 15 like you always do.
- 16 CFO PATRONIS: Yeah, yeah. But I never
- 17 thought about it from the perspective -- you know
- 18 what I mean. I'm not in the office supply
- 19 business. I never thought about dealing with
- 20 sales tax and school supplies. But Freedom Week,
- 21 I love the idea of it. I mean the enthusiasm has
- 22 been crazy.
- But, you know, it was a local, family-owned
- 24 fishing shop. And he said, I've never done this
- 25 before. You know, what do I do? I thought, you

Page 35 I just need to, you know, bring that 1 know what? 2 up, because I don't want to get him in trouble. He wants to make sure he's abiding by the law. 4 But he just wants to be able to participate in 5 the right way. 6 MR. ZINGALE: And the website and the 7 production and the information leading up to a few days down the road will also help. 8 CFO PATRONIS: Thanks. Appreciate you. 9 MR. ZINGALE: Appreciate it. 10 * * * * * 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

ounc 15,	2021
1	Page 36 BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE INTERNAL
2	IMPROVEMENT TRUST FUND
3	Portia Sapp * * * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Board of
5	Trustees.
6	MS. SAPP: Good morning.
7	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Good morning.
8	MS. SAPP: It's me today. So today I have
9	two items for your consideration. The first is a
10	new 3.2-acre bottom lease requested by Bay Shell
11	Fish Company in Miguel Bay in Manatee County to
12	expand their aquaculture business. Fish and
13	Wildlife Conservation Commission and the
14	Department of Environmental Protection's Florida
15	Coastal Office have reviewed the items and had no
16	comments.
17	Additionally, the National Marine Fishery
18	Service and Army Corps have reviewed the item and
19	determined the activities are compliant with our
20	programmatic permit. The staff recommends
21	approval.
22	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to
23	approve. Is there a second?
24	COMMISSIONER FRIED: Second.
25	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Hearing no
1	

- 1 objection, the motion carries. Next item?
- 2 COMMISSIONER FRIED: And, Governor, just
- 3 quickly, I just want to say that I'm excited to
- 4 see another Fresh From Florida seafood
- 5 opportunity for Florida Grown products here in
- 6 Tampa Bay. So thank you for bringing that
- 7 forward.
- 8 MS. SAPP: Absolutely. And he not only
- 9 produces clams, he also produces clam seed and
- 10 oyster seed for other growers, so it's great to
- 11 see him expanding.
- 12 The second item for your consideration is a
- 13 request for the delegation of authority to renew
- 14 aquaculture leases for additional ten-year terms.
- 15 On behalf of the Board, provided the Board has
- 16 previously approved the use of the parcel for
- 17 specific aquaculture purposes, the terms and
- 18 conditions of the lease agreement are not
- 19 substantially altered, and the requested action
- 20 is not determined to be controversial.
- 21 So previously, when the Board approved new
- 22 aguaculture leases, they were issued for ten
- 23 years, with two renewals for ten additional year
- 24 terms. And we expect to see a number of leases
- 25 coming for renewal for a fourth year or fourth

- 1 term in the next few years. So, again, request
- 2 for new leasing areas or renewals that include
- 3 substantial changes or that are of heightened
- 4 public concern will continue to be brought before
- 5 the Board for approval. Staff recommends
- 6 approval of this item.
- 7 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to approve
- 8 the item. Is there a second?
- 9 CFO PATRONIS: Second.
- 10 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: A third?
- 11 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Third.
- 12 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. All right. The
- 13 motion carries. Thank you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Thank you. And,
- 15 Governor, may I say also, speaking of Tampa
- 16 Bay -- you can sit down, Portia -- which reminds
- 17 me about also Piney Point. Governor, I'd like to
- 18 ask for an update on when we're putting forward a
- 19 nominee for DEP secretary.
- 20 As I know today, I'm meeting with the
- 21 interim secretary but would like to know like --
- 22 as you did the OFR, that we need to make sure
- 23 that somebody is appointed and accountable to all
- 24 of us in the Cabinet. So just wondering where we
- 25 are in getting a nominee in front of us to

Page 39 confirm or deny. 1 2. GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Well, it's an executive 3 appointment, and so that's our prerogative, and 4 we'll do it when we want to, and we'll let folks 5 know about it. 6 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Except, Governor, it 7 has to go through the approval or denial through the Cabinet. 8 9 Well, I think, if you GOVERNOR DESANTIS: 10 actually look at the constitution, it says either 11 the Cabinet or the Legislature or the Senate. 12 The statute said both, but there's an argument that it conflicts and that it would be one or the 13 My sense would be the Legislature would 14 other. retain their authority rather than give the 15 Cabinet authority. So that will be a live issue 16 17 potentially, if we end up with a conflict. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	Page 40 FLORIDA LAND AND WATER ADJUDICATORY COMMISSION
2	Dylan Fisher
	bytan risher
3	
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Florida Land and
5	Water Adjudicatory Commission.
6	MR. FISHER: Governor, Cabinet. We have one
7	item for FLWAC. Item number 1 is the
8	consideration of a petition to amend the boundary
9	of the 6-mile Creek Community Development
10	District. The district is located entirely
11	within St. Johns County and covers approximately
12	1300 acres of land. The district board
13	recommends expanding the district by adding
14	6.80 acres of land.
15	The commission staff has reviewed the
16	petition and determines that it meets the Florida
17	Statutes or the statutory requirements for review
18	by the Governor and Cabinet under Chapter 190.
19	In line with the statutory requirements, a
20	local public hearing on the petition was
21	conducted before the district board at which the
22	petitioner's experts attended and provided
23	testimony.
24	Notice of the hearing was advertised in
25	advance of the meeting and a transcript and

Page 41 exhibits from the hearing were properly filed 1 with the board for consideration. 2. The chairman of the board ultimately issued a report concluding that the petition satisfies all 4 5 statutory requirements. As part of the review, DEO had also looked 6 7 at the petition for compliance and did not identify any inconsistencies with either Chapter 8 163 or the comprehensive plan of St. Johns 9 10 County. 11 In making the decision today, Chapter 190 12 Florida Statutes directs the commission to consider the entire record of the local hearing, 13 the transcript of the hearing and any resolutions 14 adopted by local, general purpose governments and 15 various factors regarding the accuracy of the 16 17 petition and the consistency, sufficiency, 18 availability, compatibility and amenability of 19 the district. 20 At this time, I'd like to ask if the 21 commission has any questions. 2.2 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Any questions? Okav. Τ 23 move to approve the item. Is there a second? 24 CFO PATRONIS: Second. 25 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hearing no objection,

1	the motion carries. Thank you.	Page 42
2	* * * * *	
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	Page 43 ADMINISTRATIVE COMMISSION
2	Dylan Fisher
3	* * * *
4	GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Administrative
5	Commission. Okay.
6	CFO PATRONIS: Thank you, Governor.
7	Governor, before we proceed to the next item, I
8	need to make a point of order to enter into the
9	record a voicemail that I received from one of
10	the Miami-Dade County commissioners. I have not
11	listened to the voicemail, but the transcript was
12	reviewed by my general counsel, who I advised it
13	enter it into the record.
14	ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Governor, I also
15	have a statement. As you know, Section 120.66
16	prohibits a party from making any ex parte
17	communication to an agency head relative to the
18	merits after an agency head has received a
19	recommended order. Appreciate the CFO entering
20	his voicemail into the record.
21	In the case of an oral communication, an
22	agency head who is involved in the decisional
23	process and receives an ex parte communication is
24	required to place it on the record so that any
25	party that wants to rebut it has an opportunity

- 1 to do so.
- 2 In light of that statute, as the CFO has
- 3 done, I'm disclosing a message that I also
- 4 received. I've filed a transcribed version of
- 5 that in the record, provided it to all the
- 6 parties. It's also been provided prior to this
- 7 hearing to every member of the Administration
- 8 Commission.
- 9 I don't believe the communication, which was
- 10 really not substantive in nature, requires me to
- 11 withdraw from participation in the proceedings,
- 12 particularly in light of the fact I did not
- 13 respond to it or have any communications with the
- 14 commissioner. But in an abundance of caution in
- 15 compliance with the law, we have disclosed it and
- 16 provided it as part of the record.
- 17 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. But before we get
- 18 to all that, we do have a rule on something else.
- 19 So we'll do the rule. Then we'll go to item 2.
- 20 MR. FISHER: Yes. There are two items for
- 21 the Administration Commission. Item number 1 is
- 22 a request for the authorization to publish notice
- of proposed rule to create Rule Chapters 28-42,
- 24 municipal law enforcement agency budget appeal
- 25 process, and 28-43, sheriff budget proceedings.

Page 45 That first rule sets forth the rules of procedure 1 2. to govern disposition of all appeals from municipal actions regarding law enforcement 3 agency budgets, and that second, Chapter 28-43 4 sets forth the rules of procedure to govern 5 disposition of all appeals and applications from 6 7 county commissions acting regarding sheriff 8 budgets. At this time I'd like to ask if the 9 10 commission has any questions on those rules. 11 CFO PATRONIS: No. 12 No, but I have a COMMISSIONER FRIED: Governor, I'm going to take a moment to 13 comment. point out that this continues a disturbing 14 pattern in Tallahassee, bullying local 15 governments into submission. We all support our 16 17 law enforcement officers and the difficult job 18 that they have to do. But it's a straight-up 19 hypocritical interference yet again with cities 20 and counties managing their budgets and doing 21 their jobs. 22 I'm going to remind you of this, of your own 23 words that you said in 2018, I reject the idea that government bureaucrats in a faraway capitol 24 25 could plan our lives better than we can plan them

- 1 ourselves.
- Now the so-called party of small government
- 3 is yet again telling government closest to the
- 4 people what they can and cannot do with their
- 5 money. So as you vote today, ask yourself, who
- 6 is really the big government bureaucrat in a
- 7 faraway capitol. I will be a no.
- 8 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Well, I think it's
- 9 interesting because what the rule does is it says
- 10 very clearly to the people of Florida, if your
- 11 municipal government tries to defund law
- 12 enforcement, we've got your back. We are going
- 13 to protect you. We are not going to allow some
- 14 roque local government do insane things like
- 15 defunding the police, like we have seen massive
- 16 cuts in other parts of this country, where we've
- 17 seen crime skyrocket out of control.
- 18 And so, yes, I don't know what the context
- 19 of 2018 was. I don't remember people talking
- 20 about defunding the police. This is something
- 21 that's happening. It's a very live issue in our
- 22 society unfortunately. And I think folks in
- 23 Florida should rest assured that at the state
- level, we're going to do everything we can to
- 25 make sure that you're protected and to make sure

- 1 that we stand behind the men and women of law
- 2 enforcement.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Thank you, Governor.
- 4 MR. FISHER: Governor and Cabinet, I do need
- 5 a motion on two things. One is to publish the
- 6 notices of rulemaking to create Rule Chapters
- 7 28-42 and 28-43 and to then file the rule for
- 8 final adoption if no request for public hearings
- 9 are received or if no changes are needed in
- 10 response to any public hearing.
- GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. I move to approve
- 12 the item. Is there a second?
- 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Second.
- 14 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: All right. Any
- 15 objections?
- 16 COMMISSIONER FRIED: Objection.
- 17 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. One objection,
- 18 but the motion still carries. Okay. Item 2.
- 19 MR. FISHER: Item 2 is the consideration of
- 20 the recommended order issued by the Division of
- 21 Administrative Hearings in a consolidated
- 22 proceeding of Limonar Development, LLC, Wonderly
- 23 Holdings, LLC, and Mills Family, LLC, versus
- 24 Miami-Dade County, and Tropical Audubon Society
- 25 and Michelle Garcia versus Miami-Dade County.

Page 48 Joshua Pratt, the commission's counsel and 1 2. his colleague, Mark Buckles, special counsel, will have an overview of the proceeding and 3 coordinate the parties' presentations relating to 4 the DOAH recommended order. It is estimated that 5 this agenda item is going to take about an hour 6 7 and a half, and I just want to make sure the commission is ready to proceed. 8 9 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Does anyone need a break 10 or are we -- are we good? Okay. You can come I'm going to run really quick, but you can 11 up. go ahead and start, and we'll get going. 12 MR. PRATT: Good morning, Governor and 13 Today the Administration Commission has 14 Cabinet before it the recommended order in the case of 15 Limonar Development, et al., v. Miami-Dade 16 17 County. This agenda item is a challenge to the Miami-Dade County comprehensive plan amendment 18 adopted by County Ordinance 2018-109. 19 20 The plan amendment in question amends the 21 Miami-Dade County comprehensive plan in several ways to allow for the construction of the Kendall 2.2 23 Parkway, a 14-mile six- and four-lane expressway 24 intended to improve traffic flow and to relieve 25 congestion in an area of Southwest Miami

- 1 bordering the Everglades.
- On March 30th, 2020, following a hearing, an
- 3 administrative law judge entered a recommended
- 4 order determining that the plan amendment was not
- 5 in compliance under Chapter 163 of the Florida
- 6 Statutes.
- 7 The purpose of today's agenda item is for
- 8 the commission to consider the ALJ's recommended
- 9 order, the arguments of the parties and any
- 10 public comment and to then discuss and
- 11 potentially vote on final action.
- 12 After voting on any final action, the
- 13 commission may vote on a final motion to direct
- 14 commission staff to draft and circulate a final
- order to your offices consistent with the vote of
- 16 the commission and to then present the final
- 17 order for the commission's consideration and
- 18 potential approval at the next meeting of the
- 19 commission.
- It should be noted that the commission is
- 21 not being asked today to pass judgment on the
- 22 policy merits of the plan amendment, which
- 23 Miami-Dade County clearly had the authority to
- 24 consider, weigh and enact. Nor is the commission
- 25 being asked to quantify or weigh the ultimate

- 1 environmental impact of the proposed parkway.
- 2 To be clear, any future construction of the
- 3 Kendall Parkway would be subject to all
- 4 applicable laws and regulations regarding
- 5 environmental permitting. The limited role of
- 6 the commission in this case is to simply
- 7 determine whether the ALJ made the correct legal
- 8 recommendation under Florida law.
- 9 Now, there are two sets of petitioners in
- 10 this case. Petitioners Limonar Development, LLC,
- 11 Wonderly Holdings, LLC, and Mills Family, LLC,
- 12 who are represented by John Lukacs and Francisco
- 13 Pines. And the petitioners Tropical Audubon
- 14 Society and Michelle Garcia are represented by
- 15 Paul Schwiep and Richard Grosso. The respondent
- in this case, Miami-Dade County, is represented
- 17 by Dennis Kerbel and Christopher Wahl.
- Now, in advance of this meeting today, the
- 19 record in its entirety, including the ALJ's
- 20 recommended order, the transcript of the DOAH
- 21 hearing and the parties' DOAH exhibits, were made
- 22 available to the members of the commission and
- 23 their staff.
- In preparing for this meeting, attorneys for
- 25 the commission have had the opportunity to meet

25

Page 51 separately with counsel on each side of the 1 2. matter regarding their legal positions. 3 doing so, we have not advanced any particular outcomes or discussed the contents of our 4 conversations with other parties. 5 6 I will now provide a very brief background 7 overview of the case. On September 27th, 2018, 8 the County adopted the plan amendment at issue. On October 26, 2018, both sets of petitioners 9 10 filed petitions with DOAH challenging the plan 11 amendment in which they allege, among other 12 things, that the plan amendment was not based on relevant and appropriate data and analysis and 13 was internally inconsistent with the Miami-Dade 14 County comprehensive plan, in violation of 15 Chapter 163. 16 Now, on November 15, 2018, after conducting 17 18 the state-expedited review process set forth in 19 Chapter 163, the Department of Economic 20 Opportunity identified no provision of the plan 21 amendment that would necessitate a challenge of the ordinance adopting the amendment. 2.2 23 The cases were assigned to Administrative

Law Judge Suzanne Van Wyk and later consolidated.

A multi-day final hearing was held in late July

25

Page 52 of 2019, after which proposed recommended orders 1 2 were submitted to the ALJ. On March 30th, 2020, the ALJ entered a recommended order that determined the plan amendment was not in 4 compliance under Chapter 163. A brief synopsis 5 of the plan amendment is set forth in relevant 6 7 part in the ALJ's order as follows. 8 The plan amendment to the plan allows an extension of State Road 836, also known as the 9 10 Dolphin Expressway, from its current terminus at 11 Northwest 137th Avenue and Northwest 12th Street to the West Kendall suburban area of the county. 12 The approximate 13-mile extension is planned as a 13 six-lane expressway from its current terminus to 14 15 Southwest Eighth Street and Southwest 167th Avenue, then continuing as a four-lane expressway 16 17 to connect with the Southwest 136th Street and 18 Kendall. The proposed extension is referred to as the new corridor. 19 20 The plan amendment incorporates the new 21 corridor on the County's future land use map, as 2.2 well as the transportation element map series, 23 including both the circulation of traffic and

mass transit elements. Additionally, the plan

amendment changes some existing and adds several

Page 53 new policies in the land use, transportation, 1 2. open government and intergovernmental coordination elements. At this time I'd like to turn things over to 4 my colleague, Mark Buckles, special counsel, for 5 discussion of the standards of review. 6 7 MR. BUCKLES: Thank you, Josh. I will now explain the standard of review for findings of 8 fact and conclusions of law contained within an 9 10 ALJ's recommended order. 11 A legal conclusion of an ALJ regarding 12 comprehensive plan amendment consistency is an interpretation of law and is subject to the 13 fairly debatable standard. Under the standard, 14 an amendment to a comp plan shall be determined 15 to be in compliance under the requirements of 16 17 Chapter 163 if the local government's determination of compliance is fairly debatable. 18 Florida law further provides that the 19 20 commission should only reject or modify the ALJ's 21 conclusions of law if the commission states with particularity its reasons for modification or 2.2 23 rejection and the commission makes a finding that 24 its substituted conclusions are as or more

reasonable than the ALJ's conclusions.

Page 54 In addition, the commission should only 1 2. reject or modify the ALJ's findings of fact if it determines that they are not based upon competent 3 4 substantial evidence or the proceedings did not 5 comply with the essential requirements of law. Regarding the ALJ's conclusions of law and 6 findings of fact, the ALJ's recommended order 7 identified multiple findings of fact and 8 The ALJ determined the new 9 conclusions of law. 10 corridor is a development which may occur within 11 but not outside of the urban development 12 boundary, otherwise known as the UDB, as opposed 13 to infrastructure that is outside of the UDB and designed to serve areas within the UDB. 14 Relating to that finding, the ALJ 15 nevertheless concluded that even infrastructure 16 17 improvements like the proposed Kendall Parkway are prohibited from taking place outside the UDB 18 of Miami-Dade's plan. 19 The ALJ concluded that 20 Miami-Dade's plan amendment would permit a road 21 to be built through an area designated as, quote, critical habitat, inconsistent with the 2.2 23 requirements of the plan. 24 The ALJ concluded that the County's plan 25 requires assurance of zero risk of well field

2.2

23

24

25

Page 55 contamination as opposed to mitigation of risk. 1 2. The ALJ concluded that the plan amendment should have gone through a detailed Comprehensive 3 Everglades Restoration Program, otherwise known 4 5 as CERP, review by the South Florida Water Management District as part of the plan amendment 6 7 process. The ALU concluded that the data showed that 8 9 the improvements in West Kendall traffic 10 congestion would be meager, and the ALJ 11 determined that a plan amendment must affirmatively shift the travel mode in West 12 Kendall from personal automobile to mass transit. 13 14 Based on the above and as more fully set forth in the recommended order, the ALJ's 15 ultimate conclusion of law was that the 16 17 petitioners have proven beyond fair debate that 18 the plan is not in compliance under Florida law. 19 Regarding the exceptions to the proposed 20 recommended order, on June 1st, 2020, the parties 21 filed their exceptions to the ALJ's recommended

order, raising various arguments. Collectively,

the parties filed 50 exceptions, the majority of

which were raised by the County. On June 4th of

this year, at the request of the secretary to the

- 1 commission, the parties filed their proposed
- 2 final orders with the commission.
- 3 At this time Josh Pratt will return to the
- 4 podium to discuss the commission's options for
- 5 final action.
- 6 MR. PRATT: Thanks, Mark. Now, before the
- 7 parties come up to present their arguments, I
- 8 would like to inform the commission in no
- 9 particular order of three options for final
- 10 action.
- 11 Under option number one, the commission
- 12 could move to reject the ALJ's recommended order
- 13 by simple majority vote and find the County's
- 14 plan amendment to be in compliance under Florida
- 15 law. This option would find the County's plan
- 16 amendment to be in compliance under Florida law
- for the reasons stated by the commission on the
- 18 record and here today.
- 19 This option, like option number two, would
- 20 also direct staff to draft and circulate a final
- 21 order to your offices consistent with the vote of
- 22 the commission and to present the final order for
- 23 the commission's consideration and potential
- 24 approval at the next meeting of the commission.
- Now, under option number two, the commission

Page 57 could move to issue a final order that adopts the 1 2. ALJ's recommended order by simple majority vote. 3 This option would find the County's plan amendment to be not in compliance under Florida 4 5 law for the reasons stated by the commission on the record and here today. 6 7 This option would also specify remedial action to bring the plan amendment into 8 compliance with Florida law by directing the 9 10 County to rescind the amendment to its 11 comprehensive plan. It should be noted that 12 option number two would not prohibit the County from reenacting the plan amendment in the future 13 in some shape or form. 14 And finally, under option number three, the 15 commission could take the case under advisement 16 17 for discussion at a future meeting of the 18 commission. The parties will now have a chance 19 to present their arguments and to answer the 20 commission's questions. Respondent and 21 petitioners should limit their arguments to 15 minutes each, and we'll help facilitate that. 2.2 23 Following each set of arguments, the 24 commission will have the opportunity to ask 25 questions of each side, and after the arguments

Page 58 of the parties and the commission's questions, 1 2. each side will then have two minutes of rebuttal to make any final points that they wish to make. Following the parties' rebuttals, Mark and I 4 will facilitate a time for members of the public 5 to present their public comments, subject to a 6 7 time limit of two minutes per person. Now, before the parties present, I would 8 like to remind the individuals presenting that 9 10 the commission may only consider evidence that is part of the record from the proceedings below. 11 12 Therefore, the presenters should limit their comments to the evidence in the record and avoid 13 discussing any issues outside of it. 14 Any exhibits displayed by the parties to the 15 commission, unless contained within the record, 16 17 are to be presented solely as demonstrative aids. With all that being said, respondent, Miami-Dade 18 19 County will present argument first and 20 petitioners will present second. 21 MR. KERBEL: Good morning, Governor DeSantis, General Moody, Chief Patronis and 2.2 23 Commissioner Fried. My name is Dennis Kerbel. I'm an assistant county attorney representing 24

Miami-Dade County, and I'm joined by my

24

area.

order.

Page 59 colleague, Christopher Wahl, and we thank you and 1 2 your staff for the enormous time that reviewing 3 this record requires. We know it is no easy task. 4 This project provides real benefits to the West Kendall community. And the ALJ made the 6 7 only findings that are necessary to show that it is not only consistent with but also furthers the 8 9 County's transportation policies. 10 West Kendall is a suburban area with a population of approximately 600,000 people, of 11 12 which about 150,000 commute each weekday to other areas of the county. And West Kendall, as the 13 record reflects, has a significant traffic 14 15 problem, with half the roadway segments operating at slow speeds and about a third operating over 16 17 or near capacity, meaning that the roads are very 18 congested. The ALJ found, albeit begrudgingly, that the 19 20 Kendall Parkway would improve the level of 21 service, meaning it would improve congestion for at least four major roadways within the study 2.2

The record also shows that numerous West

That's paragraph 155 of the recommended

- 1 Kendall residents attended the numerous public
- 2 hearings the County held on this plan amendment
- 3 to voice their support for the Kendall Parkway.
- 4 The people most affected by this had turned out
- 5 to support the project.
- 6 And in addition, the plan amendment was
- 7 sponsored by the county commissioner for the
- 8 district, Commissioner Joe Martinez, who
- 9 continues to support this project. So the ALJ
- 10 found that it would improve level of service on
- 11 major roadways.
- 12 The ALJ also specifically and correctly
- 13 rejected the most significant of the petitioners'
- 14 objections. She found that they failed to prove
- 15 that their proposed improvements, which was to
- 16 serve the area only with mass transit or only
- 17 make design improvements to existing roadways,
- 18 would provide any relief to West Kendall
- 19 residents. She flatly rejected that.
- 20 She also rejected petitioners' theory that
- 21 the new roadway capacity created by the Kendall
- 22 Parkway would be eaten up by new development,
- 23 what the petitioners refer to as induced demand
- 24 or induced development. Let me repeat that. The
- 25 ALJ expressly rejected petitioners' induced

Page 61 demand theory. Of course, that hasn't stopped 1 2. the petitioners from continuing to argue induced 3 demand in their papers or in the press. But contrary to their claims, the ALJ found 4 5 that the protections the County adopted as part of the plan amendment to restrict the use of any 7 improvements attributable to the Kendall Parkway to meet concurrency for new development and to 8 require super-majority votes to approve any urban 9 10 land uses in the area, that these new protections 11 were sufficient to address this issue, 12 particularly when coupled with the robust protections in the County's current comprehensive 13 plan regarding changes to add land to the UDB. 14 The ALJ also rejected petitioners' claims 15 that the project was inconsistent with 16 17 Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, or 18 CERP. She rejected their argument that it 19 violated policies requiring preservation of 20 agriculture. She rejected their claims that the 21 project was inconsistent with policies prohibiting uses that handle hazardous waste 2.2 23 within the well field. 24 And the ALJ did not find that there were any

impacts to state resources or any significant

Page 62 impacts to the Everglades or other environmental 1 2. resources, except for her unsupported finding involving critical habitat, which we will address 3 4 shortly. And she did not find that the project had any fatal flaws that could not be addressed 6 7 through subsequent permitting processes. The ALJ 8 should have stopped there. Those findings and legal conclusions should have been enough to find 9 10 the plan amendment in compliance under the 11 correct legal standard. And if she had to go 12 further, she should have recognized that the plan amendment provides real environmental benefits as 13 a matter of law. 14 New policy LU-3T requires that wetland 15 mitigation be accomplished to the maximum extent 16 17 feasible not only by buying but by restoring wetlands in the Bird Drive Basin and North Trail 18 19 Basin, which is the area immediately around where 20 the proposed parkway would go. 21 This legal requirement goes further than existing wetlands mitigation requirements, which 2.2 would authorize purchasing credits through a 23 24 mitigation bank in another location. 25 And of course, by purchasing wetlands

Page 63 through this policy, those wetlands would enhance 1 2. Everglades restoration efforts and it would make those lands no longer available for the sort of incompatible development that the County's 4 5 policies call for. So it furthers the protections in the existing plan against unwanted 6 7 urban development. In addition to that, she could have 8 recognized as a matter of law that new policy 9 10 LU-1W imposes additional environmental protections in the form of new regulatory 11 12 requirements that would not otherwise apply because it requires the expressway to avoid the 13 more sensitive well field areas, and it requires 14 15 the County, not just state agencies, to continue to review drainage plans. 16 17 Finally, she could have recognized as a 18 matter of law that new policy LU-1W also protects 19 the environment by requiring that the final 20 design show that it would adequately retain 21 wetlands hydrology and preserve surface water 22 sheet flow and that subsequent permits would be 23 required before the road connection be constructed, including permits that are required 24 25 from the water management district and from FDOT.

Page 64 She could have simply recognized that this 1 2. plan amendment is the beginning of the road, not the end, and that getting to the end requires 3 additional regulatory reviews, through which the 4 5 detailed environmental impacts that petitioners have complained of could be addressed 6 7 conclusively once final designs are available. She did none of those things. She should have, 8 but she did not. 9 10 But for this commission, a finding of compliance today simply moves the project to the 11 next stage. It's not the issuance of a final 12 permit, nor legally is it supposed to be. 13 But instead of applying the correct legal standard, 14 the ALJ opted to sit as a super-planning official 15 and second-quess the county commission's policy 16 17 choice. 18 Before getting into the serious legal errors 19 that the ALJ made, it's important to point out 20 that none of these issues involve violations of 21 substantive state law requirements. No state agency brought this challenge, as your staff 2.2 recognized. All of these issues involve the 23 County's interpretation of its own comprehensive 24 25 plan and whether those interpretations are

- 1 supported by data and analysis.
- We have submitted a proposed final order
- 3 that shows in detail how the commission can
- 4 modify the ALJ's proposed findings and
- 5 conclusions to find the plan amendment in
- 6 compliance, and I'll go over some of the
- 7 highlights now.
- 8 The ALJ's decision presents fundamental
- 9 questions about comprehensive planning; namely,
- 10 how much data and analysis does a local
- 11 government need to support a plan amendment, and
- who gets to decide whether the policy is worth
- 13 pursuing based on that data and analysis.
- According to the ALJ and the petitioners,
- 15 the answer is you need all the data and analysis
- that you would ultimately need to approve
- 17 subsequent permits. That's contrary to the
- 18 language of the Community Planning Act itself,
- 19 which only requires data and analysis to support
- 20 a broader policy.
- 21 As the planning act says, it is not the
- 22 intent of this part to require the inclusion of
- 23 implementing regulations in the comprehensive
- 24 plan but rather to require identification of
- 25 those land development regulations that will be

25

Page 66 part of the strategy for implementing the 1 2. comprehensive plan. So the ALJ's and the petitioners' view 4 defeats one of the very purposes of the Community Planning Act, which is to establish parameters 5 for subsequent regulatory processes but without 6 7 predetermining their outcomes and allowing local governments to choose policies that are 8 appropriate to their respective communities. 9 10 And as for who gets to decide how much data and analysis is enough, according to the 11 petitioners and the ALJ, the answer is the ALJ, 12 who, rather than determining whether data and 13 analysis exists to support a policy, would 14 instead be authorized to re-weigh the value of 15 that data and analysis and thereby reevaluate and 16 17 second-quess the policy that the local government has chosen. 18 19 But that theory and that approach is 20 incorrect under the statute. As your counsel 21 pointed out, the standard here is fairly debatable. Which means that deference is owed to 2.2 23 the local government to make policy choices, so

long as they are supported by some data and

analysis. And this record, which contains

Page 67 thousands of pages, contains ample data and 1 2 analysis to support any number of policy choices. Simply put, this not the Rogers v. Escambia 3 County case that this commission recently heard, 4 in which the record contained no data supporting 5 the amendment and was ultimately only based on 6 7 the opinion of the planner at the hearing. are not in that situation. We have, as you all 8 have seen, thousands of pages of data and 9 10 technical reviews. 11 So petitioners want to make this case -- and the ALJ accepted it -- about whether the plan 12 amendment will ultimately and conclusively solve 13 West Kendall's traffic woes, and they think that 14 the plan amendment should be rejected because 15 it's not a complete solution. And they also want 16 17 to make the focus of this proceeding about just 18 commute times. None of those are the right 19 questions. 20 The right question is whether planning for 21 an expressway extension in this area is internally consistent with other CDMP policies 2.2 23 that address where infrastructure can be built. And these are legal questions that are properly 24 25 resolved by this commission.

Page 68 Numerous county policies, including 1 2. objective TC-1 and the transportation elements' overall goal, call for all roadways to operate at 3 the adopted level of service or better and for 4 5 the County to operate its roadway network at a level of service better than the base level of 6 7 service standards contained herein. That policy, as the ALJ herself found, is 8 9 affirmatively furthered by this plan amendment. 10 It does improve the level of service on major 11 roadways. 12 In addition, the transportation element's overall goal is to develop and maintain an 13 integrated multimodal transportation system to 14 move people of all ages and abilities and goods 15 in a manner consistent with overall countywide 16 17 land use and environmental protection goals and integration of climate change considerations in 18 the final decision-making process. 19 20 Well, this road would further those 21 policies. It does take into account all the 2.2 environmental issues, but most importantly, it is 23 an integrated multimodal transportation system 24 that successfully moves people that currently 25 have limited options for mobility.

Page 69 And it furthers the goal to develop, operate 1 2. and maintain a safe, efficient and economical traffic circulation system that provides ease of 3 mobility to all people and for all goods and is 4 consistent with the environmental and land use 5 goals that I mentioned and that stimulates 6 7 economic growth. It also does that because it 8 better connects people from one end of the county to the other. 9 10 The County's need to not only maintain but 11 also upgrade infrastructure to support existing 12 and future population is also an express policy of the CDMP that is furthered here. 13 CDMP expressly recognizes that the growth of 14 Miami-Dade County is not projected to cease after 15 the year 2030 and that prudent long-term planning 16 17 for infrastructure may need to anticipate locations for possible future extension. 18 19 among those areas that the comp plan 20 affirmatively authorizes for consideration for 21 extension ease areas outside the UDB where 2.2 necessary to complete a transportation network. 23 None of these policies which are furthered by this plan amendment require a showing of how 24 25 much traffic improvement it's required to provide

- 1 in order to satisfy it. And the plan amendment
- 2 furthers all of these policies.
- The plan amendment is also not inconsistent
- 4 with the policies that limit infrastructure
- 5 outside the UDB. Those policies are about
- 6 discouraging unwanted urban development in those
- 7 areas. And, again, the ALJ specifically found
- 8 that the plan amendment does not encourage
- 9 unwanted urban development.
- 10 So whether the traffic improvement justifies
- 11 the costs in money and resources of building the
- 12 road are precisely the types of policy questions
- 13 and trade-offs that the Community Planning Act
- 14 leaves to local government. And in this case,
- 15 the county commission made this decision after
- 16 numerous public hearings at which it heard from
- 17 its constituents, many of whom live in West
- 18 Kendall and who support this project.
- 19 So the statute requires that the decision be
- 20 based on some data and analysis, not that the
- 21 benefits be proven beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 22 And we have that data and analysis in the record.
- 23 The issue is the ALJ's characterization. She
- 24 characterized it as meager. The county staff, in
- 25 their professional planning report, characterized

- 1 the improvements to the local surface streets as
- 2 significant.
- The ALJ's task here was simple, to survey
- 4 the record and determine does data and analysis
- 5 exist to support this amendment. The county
- 6 staff report and the County's expert
- 7 transportation planner's testimony about
- 8 significant improvements to surface streets
- 9 should have been sufficient. And she notably did
- 10 not find that that testimony was in any way
- inadmissible or not credible. She simply ignored
- 12 the opinion of significance and decided to make
- 13 her own finding.
- Let me briefly run through a couple of very
- 15 brief points. She -- the ALJ made the erroneous
- 16 conclusion that this expressway constitutes
- 17 development under the County's comp plan. It
- 18 does not. It is infrastructure. The land use
- 19 element is clear about that.
- The one factual finding that is of
- 21 significant import here is about critical
- 22 habitat. And I cannot state this clearly enough.
- 23 The record shows that there is no critical
- 24 habitat designation in the area that's impacted
- 25 by this expressway, none.

25

Page 72 The ALJ had no basis on which to find that 1 2. this is in any way impaired -- or that this in any way impairs critical habitat or impairs 3 habitat that is in any way critical to the 4 5 survival of any listed species. That was just a flat factual error on her part. 6 7 And with that, I see my time is up. 8 would urge you to find this in compliance based on our proposed final order. 9 Thank you. 10 MR. PRATT: At this time we'll open up for 11 the commission any questions you have of the 12 respondent county. 13 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I thought we were going to do both, do their press, and then each one 14 will then come up and do -- why don't we do that? 15 We can do that. Yes. 16 MR. PRATT: 17 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okav. 18 MR. GROSSO: Good morning, Governor DeSantis, General Moody, Chief Patronis, 19 20 Commissioner Fried. My name is Richard Grosso. 21 I'm co-counsel, along with Mr. Paul Schwiep, for the petitioners Michelle Garcia and Tropical 2.2 23 Audubon Society. I'll speak for a while. turn it over to Mr. Schwiep. Our client, Paola 24

Ferreira, will speak briefly, and then counsel

- 1 for Limonar, our co-petitioner, will speak.
- 2 The administrative law judge made clear
- 3 determinations about credibility. She didn't
- 4 ignore the County's testimony on most of the big
- 5 issues. She found it not credible and she gave
- 6 credit to our witnesses. That's her exclusive
- 7 function.
- 8 The County's exceptions are replete with
- 9 these arguments that she ignored our testimony,
- 10 she ignored our claims. She found them not
- 11 credible. She found them not supported by the
- 12 evidence.
- And a big part of the problem here was when
- 14 people were turning out in Kendall and they
- wanted congestion relief, they were responding to
- 16 PR campaigns. They were responding to claims
- 17 about how good this would be for congestion. And
- 18 then when those claims were reduced to
- 19 cross-examination and review in an administrative
- 20 hearing, the law judge found them not to be
- 21 credible.
- That's the real problem here. That's what
- 23 the purpose of an administrative hearing is, is
- 24 to subject those kinds of claims to some actual
- 25 scrutiny and for a law judge to find them to be

And on the key points, the law 1 accurate or not. 2 judge found the County's claims not to be accurate. The next important point that I need to 4 correct is that the law judge found violations of 5 state law, not just the County's comprehensive 6 7 plan. The basic, most fundamental requirement 8 that all plan amendments be supported by, react affirmatively to the data and analysis about the 9 10 character of the land, about the impact on 11 facilities, she found that violation to be clear. 12 That's a state law requirement, not just a county comprehensive plan requirement. 13 The County ignored its own data. 14 The County 15 ignored its own plan. The law judge did not substitute her policy preferences for ours. 16 She 17 found that the County ignored its own adopted 18 comprehensive plan policies. 19 The first point on the data and analysis of your agencies: The Department of Environmental 20 21 Protection, the South Florida Water Management District, when this amendment was first proposed, 2.2 23 said, You haven't given us the analysis on the 24 impact on all of those wetlands that are 25 Everglades wetlands, you haven't given us an

25

Page 75 analysis on the impact of our CERP, Comprehensive 1 2 Everglades Restoration Plan project that you're going to run a highway through. And instead of giving that information, the 4 County said, We don't have to give it to you. 5 Instead of giving that information, the County 6 7 actually made the project worse. From a proposed 8 project that the agency said, Give us the analysis, the County said, I'm not going to give 9 you the analysis and I'm going to move the 10 highway even further west into the Everglades, 11 12 and I don't have to give you that analysis. The County says here today that the law 13 judge insisted and we insisted on a detailed, 14 permitting-level, engineering analysis. 15 not accurate whatsoever. 16 17 The analysis this law requires, the analysis 18 the comprehensive plan of Dade County requires, 19 the analysis that the law judge found missing, was a basic planning-level analysis, an analysis 20 21 that says, this is the appropriate location for a 2.2 highway. That's a planning-level analysis. 23 not a permitting-level analysis. We're not into 24 that level of detail.

There's no way on this record, on these

- 1 facts, there's no way, under the Dade County's
- 2 own plan, under state law, that one could
- 3 conclude that a highway outside of the County's
- 4 own urban boundary that by its own definition is
- 5 an envelope for public facilities through the
- 6 Everglades that this state has invested
- 7 \$20 billion or \$10 billion trying to restore,
- 8 through farmland so scarce that this county has a
- 9 transfer of development rights program to try to
- 10 keep it in place, there's no way that one can
- 11 reasonably conclude -- and those are the County's
- 12 own policies -- that this is consistent with the
- 13 County's own plan.
- 14 There's no way one could conclude that that
- 15 data and analysis that tells you that's where
- 16 this road is going to go is suitable under the
- 17 County's own plan or under state law. That would
- 18 be a completely unreasonable assumption.
- 19 And then, on the other end, to the extent
- 20 the County says, Well, we need it for traffic
- 21 congestion, the County says, We should have the
- 22 authority, the County only, to unilaterally say,
- 23 six-minute impact on the two-way commute, that's
- 24 enough to compromise Everglades restoration, to
- 25 eviscerate the most important policies of our

24

25

Page 77 comprehensive plan, this strict urban boundary 1 2 concept, not some of the detailed things that the law judge didn't go with, the most important 3 things. 4 The County says, We get to unilaterally 5 decide that's enough traffic benefit to 6 7 compromise the state's priorities, which also 8 were priorities written into the County's own comprehensive plan. I think that's where the 9 10 argument that you should let the County decide 11 really falls down. 12 Your agencies were clear. This is an analysis we need at the planning stage. They're 13 the permitting agencies. They know the 14 difference between permitting analysis and 15 planning analysis. They were unmistakable, the 16 17 DEP and the water management district, in their 18 demand that that information be given now, before 19 you decide this is a good place for a highway. 20 That information never came. The County refuses 21 to acknowledge the requirements of state law. On the Pennsuco Wetland, the Bird Drive 22 23 Basin Everglades restoration project, the County

has argued the water management district doesn't

really need that whole area for CERP. And there

was at one point several years ago a concept of a 1 2 smaller footprint for that CERP engineering project. But the larger project is still on the 3 The water management district has not 4 table. 5 arrived at a final decision on exactly the shape and scope of that CERP engineering project. 6 7 And even the smaller project that the County 8 would hope for so it can run a highway through there, the water management district and DEP 9 10 said, You haven't even shown us you're consistent 11 with that. 12 And then the final piece of that puzzle is the County's own wetland expert testified at 13 Regardless of whether those wetlands are 14 15 going to be used for a water movement CERP project, they're Everglades wetlands, they're to 16 17 be protected. Any way you slice it, there's no way you can 18 19 justify running a highway through these wetlands 20 that your water management district and DEP are 21 still struggling to undo the damage of prior highways. The idea that we would actually 2.2 23 gratuitously run a new highway through the very Everglades wetlands we're trying to restore here 24 25 in 2021 is really kind of an amazing concept and

- 1 an amazing claim, all in the interest of a
- 2 three-minute improvement on a one-way commute.
- The Pennsuco Wetlands. I'll give the County
- 4 one point, the law judge did, in one of her
- 5 findings, incorrectly say these Pennsuco Wetlands
- 6 were designated federal critical habitat. That's
- 7 not correct.
- 8 But that's not what the policy in the
- 9 County's plan says. The policy in the County's
- 10 plan does not say, We protect only federally
- 11 designated critical habitat. It talks about
- 12 habitat critical to endangered species. The
- 13 record is replete with the value of this land to
- 14 endangered species. So that one error by the law
- 15 judge does not change the outcome of this case
- 16 whatsoever. I need to make that clear.
- 17 The Pennsuco Wetlands, the County argues
- 18 mitigation, we have a mitigation policy. Their
- 19 own wetland expert testified, We ran the numbers
- 20 and we're short of mitigation credits. We can't
- 21 tell you now that we actually can mitigate for
- 22 all the impacts of those wetlands.
- 23 A big reason for that is they're scarce.
- 24 Everglades wetlands, they're strategically
- 25 located. You can't just go find them somewhere

- 1 else to mitigate. The agency has told the
- 2 County, those Pennsuco Wetlands, they're already
- 3 preserved as mitigation for another project. So
- 4 you want to use them again for mitigation, for a
- 5 new highway? How are you going to do that?
- 6 That's a double whammy to those wetlands. But
- 7 the County ignored that.
- 8 The final point that I want to make about
- 9 compliance overall, the County says, Trust us,
- 10 fairly debatable. It's not fairly debatable. In
- 11 order for this commission to overturn the law
- 12 judge's findings and rulings, you would have to
- 13 say that a reasonable reading of Dade County's
- 14 comprehensive plan and its urban development
- 15 strategy is that you can run a highway, that is
- 16 otherwise discouraged outside of the urban
- 17 boundary, 14 miles outside of the urban boundary,
- 18 that's an envelope for infrastructure, into
- 19 Everglades you're trying to preserve, through
- 20 farmland we're trying to preserve for a
- 21 three-minute value.
- I cannot see how any reasonable person could
- 23 conclude that that's a reasonable reading of what
- 24 the Dade County comprehensive plan is all about.
- 25 It's interpretive text that tells you, everything

24

25

Page 81 we've said in the rest of this document, this is 1 2 what it means. That's what the interpretive text 3 says it means. Our primary strategy is this 4 urban boundary strategy. And it's not just urban land use strategy, 5 and the County harps on that, that they're not 6 7 changing land uses. It's an urban service. 8 keep facilities out of the areas you're trying to 9 protect and preserve. 10 The law judge made findings of fact that are 11 unassailable, completely supported by your agency 12 comments, our witnesses. There's no basis whatsoever to overturn those findings of fact. 13 And the County's convoluted legal arguments as to 14 15 how those findings of fact still equate to this "doesn't violate their own plan or state law" are 16 17 just too convoluted. They're not reasonable. 18 You can't say them with a straight face, with all 19 due respect. 20 With that, I would turn it over, to get into 21 a bit of the detail of the traffic issues, to my co-counsel, Paul Schwiep. Thank you very much. 2.2 23 MR. SCHWIEP: May it please the Governor,

responsible for the mobility issues at the trial.

Thank you.

members of the Cabinet.

We did have a trial over a two-week period. 1 And 2. with all due respect to my colleague from the County, there was no data or analysis whatsoever 3 presented at the trial by the County that this 4 5 extension, if it were built, would actually improve travel times into downtown Miami, the 7 airport, the hospital district. And so, therefore, the amendment fails of its own 8 9 purpose. 10 The amendment was approved -- this is 11 TC-1M -- only to the extent necessary to provide faster connection to downtown Miami. 12 That was the justification for this project. And that's 13 how MDX sold this to the public. 14 15 In fact, what occurred is MDX created a very narrow arbitrary traffic study area in West 16 17 Kendall and then analyzed what would happen within that traffic study area if you built a 18 six-lane highway. They did not look at all, they 19 put blinders on, in terms of what the effect 20 21 would be if you commuted from West Kendall into 2.2 downtown Miami or to the airport or to the 23 hospital. 24 And in fact, the evidence that was developed 25 on that issue showed that on the existing segment

- 1 of 836, from 107th Avenue all the way into
- 2 downtown, a ten-mile stretch, traffic would
- 3 double on the existing segment of 836. And if
- 4 this extension is built, because it puts a
- 5 13-mile tail on the existing segment of 836, the
- 6 level of service on 836 actually drops from C to
- 7 D.
- 8 So what occurs is, for people who are
- 9 currently commuting on 836 -- and it's not
- 10 surprising. Adding 14 miles of additional
- 11 highway to the end of 836 will make those
- 12 commuters' lives more difficult. That was the
- 13 evidence at the hearing, and it was undisputed.
- 14 There was no data -- this is from the
- 15 County's own experts, from MDX's own expert, that
- 16 looked at the impacts outside of this narrow
- 17 traffic study area on the commute times into
- 18 downtown.
- 19 And then within the traffic study area, as
- 20 the ALJ found, based on the evidence at trial,
- 21 the improvements would be meager. At best,
- 5 percent in travel time, 5 percent improvement
- 23 on travel time for a billion-dollar roadway.
- 24 And then what the evidence showed is that if
- 25 you applied that -- if you assume that that

5 percent benefit within their traffic study area 1 2. applied to commuters all the way downtown, giving them the benefit of that, that the improvement on your travel time for commuters from West Kendall 4 into downtown would be a grand total of three 5 minutes each way, six minutes total. 6 7 So this is a billion-dollar project where you're imperiling Everglades restoration, you're 8 affecting the well field, destroying wetlands for 9 10 six minutes of benefit. 11 With regard to the County's requirement in 12 its plan that the plan shift modes of travel from personal automobiles to transit, the judge found, 13 not surprisingly, that building a 14-mile highway 14 outside the UDB is inconsistent with the County's 15 own comp plan requirement that they develop in a 16 17 way that shifts travel modes. Again, that is a fact-finding that is 18 19 unassailable, because the County's own expert 20 said -- and this is a quote -- that the plan 21 amendment will not shift travel mode from single 2.2 occupancy vehicles to mass transit. It does not 23 reduce dependence on the use of personal 24 automobiles. That was the County's evidence. So 25 the judge's findings with regard to that issue,

- 1 again, are unassailable.
- 2 And then with regard to well fields, the map
- 3 shows the west well field is the one that's
- 4 furthest to the west. And this highway, if it's
- 5 built, would traverse in the light red area just
- 6 outside the ten-day (sic) travel time.
- 7 The County's comp plan provides that it must
- 8 control land uses within the west well field to
- 9 those that pose no threat to water quality.
- 10 Their witnesses admitted that this does pose a
- 11 risk to the west well field if it's built,
- 12 because of its proximity to that well field.
- So, again, its inconsistent with their own
- 14 plan. And for all those reasons, the plan is
- 15 contrary to state law, and we request that you
- 16 adopt the recommended order from the
- 17 administrative law judge.
- 18 MR. PRATT: And, Governor, at this time
- 19 would the commission prefer to hear rebuttals and
- 20 final arguments, or would you prefer to go into
- 21 the question and answer section?
- 22 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I think we might as well
- 23 do question and answer. Then we'll do rebuttal
- 24 and final arguments.
- MR. PRATT: Sounds good. The County,

- 1 please.
- 2 MR. KERBEL: Good morning again.
- 3 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: So I think the argument
- 4 that the petitioners made was this imperils the
- 5 Everglades restoration, that it's inconsistent
- 6 with state policy and then it's inconsistent with
- 7 the County's plan. So how do you respond to
- 8 that?
- 9 MR. KERBEL: Let me start by saying, they
- 10 keep saying it's in the Everglades. It's not in
- 11 the Everglades. This is miles away from
- 12 Everglades National Park. It does run through
- 13 wetlands that have been identified as part of the
- 14 Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, as
- 15 part of CERP.
- 16 And our response to that is -- and, again,
- 17 this commission doesn't have to decide exactly
- 18 this, but the comments that we received from the
- 19 water management district are that the CERP
- 20 project for the area is going to be a conveyance
- 21 canal. And the data showed that the road could
- 22 be built in a manner that is consistent with a
- 23 canal, and so therefore would preserve the
- 24 existing project.
- 25 And certainly that final analysis would be

Page 87 done as part of the water management district's 1 2. permitting requirements, at which they will have the opportunity to view detailed designs and see 3 to what extent it does or does not conflict with 4 5 their proposed canal. 6 What the petitioners want is for you to 7 re-litigate what the water management district is planning to do for CERP in that area. That is 8 not a question you have to reach, nor is it a 9 10 question that the ALJ reached. 11 The County relied on the comments received from the water management district. That comment 12 letter said only, We need more information about 13 how you're going to be consistent with our 14 15 proposed canal. And so what they want is for the County to 16 17 have to prove up at the plan amendment stage all 18 of the things that would have to be proven up 19 through permitting. That's not what the 20 Community Planning Act requires. 21 So it's not the Everglades. Our new policy

actually furthers Everglades restoration because it requires that the land for wetlands mitigation be bought as much as possible around this proposed extension. And that's not a current

- 1 requirement under state law or any other county
- 2 policy. That's new, the requirement that if
- 3 you're going to build this, you have to buy the
- 4 wetlands around it. So that's a positive benefit
- 5 for restoration. So the --
- 6 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: So today our job is to
- 7 determine plan amendment consistency. Is the
- 8 whole issue with CERP, is that a separate process
- 9 that -- will there be separate environmental
- 10 reviews? In other words, if it's found to be
- 11 consistent today, does that mean that those
- 12 environmental reviews are going to go in your
- 13 favor, or might they come back negative?
- MR. KERBEL: They could come back negative.
- 15 Again, we're not predetermining the outcome of an
- 16 actual regulatory permitting review. And also to
- 17 be clear about what you're reviewing today,
- 18 you're not reviewing consistency with CERP.
- 19 You're reviewing internal consistency with the
- 20 County's policy CON-7J, the text of which is that
- 21 the County, in reviewing an application, has to
- 22 consider CERP and deny an application that is
- 23 found to be inconsistent.
- Well, this is a plan amendment. We are not
- 25 required at this stage to make a final

- 1 determination of consistency. And the record had
- 2 enough data and analysis to show we are not
- 3 inconsistent. That is the only determination
- 4 that was required here, and the ALJ erred as a
- 5 matter of law.
- 6 The arguments that I addressed with you this
- 7 morning, other than critical habitat, aren't
- 8 attacks on findings of fact. They're on legal
- 9 interpretations.
- 10 Here the ALJ erred by saying that in order
- 11 to show consistency with CON-7J, purely a matter
- of the County's comp plan and its internal
- 13 consistency, we were obligated to obtain a final
- 14 determination from the water management district
- 15 as to ultimate consistency with CERP. We were
- 16 not.
- 17 And we submit to you that as a matter of
- 18 law, that is a choice that you can interpret and
- 19 find that the County correctly interpreted its
- 20 own plan. It is owed deference on that point.
- 21 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: What about the point
- 22 about the agriculture land? The County's plan
- 23 says that they want to preserve agriculture land.
- 24 I think that they require at least 50,000 acres
- 25 to maintain a viable agriculture industry. And I

25

Page 90 think the petitioners are saying this is really 1 2. going to hinder agriculture in the county. 3 do you respond? The ALJ affirmatively rejected 4 MR. KERBEL: 5 that argument. The ALJ found that we are not inconsistent with policies regarding preservation 6 7 of agriculture. She made, again, a legal 8 misinterpretation about one county policy that 9 refers to participating in a transferable 10 development rights program. 11 Again, this is a question of law. The plan amendment actually has affirmative requirements 12 regarding participation for this project in 13 agricultural preservation. And so she made a 14 legal error in -- to the extent that she found an 15 inconsistency with the policy LU-1R regarding 16 17 agriculture restoration. And as to the rest, she 18 rejected their challenges. 19 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: So I quess there was an 20 issue in the proposed order about whether a road 21 would be considered development or 2.2 infrastructure. And I quess your position is 23 that it would be infrastructure. I mean, I think actually a lot of people say infrastructure means 24

everything nowadays, but -- so how do you -- why

- 1 did the judge get it wrong, in your judgment,
- 2 then, to say that it was development rather than
- 3 infrastructure?
- 4 MR. KERBEL: Well, as to why she got it
- 5 wrong, I can't speculate. But how she got it
- 6 wrong was two things. She wrongly imported into
- 7 the County's comp plan text -- and, again, this
- 8 is all interpretation of the County's own plan --
- 9 a statutory definition regarding what constitutes
- 10 development. And on top of that she used the
- 11 wrong definition.
- 12 So she relied on the statutory definition of
- development and the exception regarding work by
- 14 utilities in the right-of-way. That's -- even if
- 15 you were going to define development according to
- 16 the statutes for these purposes, that's not the
- 17 right one.
- 18 So she relied on the Florida Power and Light
- 19 case that we were parties to, where we
- 20 successfully challenged an interpretation of that
- 21 piece. This would travel under a completely
- 22 different exception, which relates to work for --
- 23 by an agency for roadway improvements. That's a
- 24 different standard.
- Nevertheless -- so even on her stated basis,

- 1 she got it legally wrong, but it wasn't necessary
- 2 to address the statutory definition of
- 3 development, because what matters here again is
- 4 the text of our plan.
- 5 And our plan is very clear in the land use
- 6 element. It's either a development or use on the
- 7 one hand or it's infrastructure service facility.
- 8 And a road is very clearly, under the plan, under
- 9 the land use element, service facility or
- 10 infrastructure.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: And so then how did
- 12 the -- you have the boundary, and I think the
- 13 plan says -- I don't think it prohibits beyond
- 14 that, but it says it discourages. And so how do
- 15 you address that issue?
- 16 MR. KERBEL: Yeah, of course. The
- 17 comprehensive plan discourages because it's about
- 18 preventing urbanizing uses outside the UDB. But
- 19 it doesn't prohibit, in appropriate locations,
- 20 infrastructure that's going to serve the
- 21 urbanized area.
- 22 And I think we've very well shown on this
- 23 record, this roadway is designed and the policies
- 24 that surround it protect the area around it. It
- is designed to serve the 600,000 residents of

- 1 West Kendall who currently have a very severe
- 2 traffic problem. It's only going to get worse.
- And, again, the ALJ found, none of the other
- 4 proposed solutions provide any relief to the
- 5 residents of West Kendall. So even traveling
- 6 under her own findings, she found improvements to
- 7 levels of service on four roadways, on four major
- 8 roadways. And that's enough to find it in
- 9 compliance.
- 10 But if I could address the argument about
- 11 commutes. Unfortunately, I ran out of time
- 12 during my presentation. So first -- and Mr.
- 13 Schwiep did accurately read the policy that the
- 14 County adopted. TC-1M does say that the purpose
- of this road is to relieve traffic congestion in
- 16 the southwestern part of the county and to
- 17 provide a reliable, robust and faster connection
- 18 to downtown Miami.
- 19 Notice what word doesn't appear there? The
- 20 word "commute" does not appear anywhere in there.
- 21 So that's not the legal standard. The legal
- 22 standard is not, do we provide a better commute.
- 23 It's do we provide a traffic improvement or
- 24 transportation improvement. And we definitely
- 25 do.

Page 94 But I also -- let me be clear. The traffic 1 2. studies in the record do demonstrate that the Kendall Parkway will improve commute times. It's 3 at -- if you're commuting from, for example, 4 Southwest 56th Street and 157th Avenue to the 5 Homestead extension, the Kendall Parkway would 6 7 save you about 18 minutes of your morning drive 8 on that segment. If you're commuting from Southwest 136th and 9 10 Southwest 157th Avenue to the Homestead 11 extension, you'll save about 29 minutes on those 12 segments, because those segments are the ones that are extremely congested. And those showed 13 double digit, up to in some cases 50 percent 14 improvement in the traffic volume. 15 And finally someone commuting from 157th 16 17 Avenue and 88th Street, Kendall Drive, to the Homestead extension today, well, they would save 18 approximately 18 minutes. 19 20 And so I think what's been missed in the 21 discussion, the ALJ and really the press has harped on this six minutes. They love to cite 2.2 23 The six minutes was testimony that they that. 24 elicited on cross-examination. And I'll give the 25 It was a very effective cross. credit.

- 1 Mr. Grosso was exceptional in this moment.
- 2 But he was questioning our transportation
- 3 planner about a table, Table 12 for those that
- 4 want to follow along, and it's in the County's
- 5 traffic report, that shows the average
- 6 improvements for the entire study area.
- 7 So that six minutes is everybody in the
- 8 study area. But the reason the county staff, the
- 9 professional planners supported this road is
- 10 because on specific segments, the ones that are
- 11 the most congested during the morning and evening
- 12 commute, on those roadways there were
- double-digit improvements in the throughput so
- 14 that people could get through the study area,
- 15 through West Kendall.
- And the study area, by the way, was not
- 17 arbitrary. The study area was designed based on
- 18 the SERPM model that the County's transportation
- 19 planning organization uses. And the SERPM model
- 20 was -- you put inputs about the proposed roadway
- 21 improvements, and the SERPM model then says,
- 22 okay, we're going to project within what area
- 23 impacts from this project are felt and then where
- they're no longer felt.
- 25 So the study area was drawn based on -- the

- 1 boundaries of it were, we no longer see any
- 2 impact of this roadway when you get past, I think
- 3 it's 87th, Northwest 87th Avenue, which is fine.
- 4 That just means that the commute is the same from
- 5 there on out.
- 6 And then one last point, if I may, their
- 7 statement about the existing roadway segment that
- 8 would drop from LOS C, level of service C to
- 9 level of service D, the County's adopted level of
- 10 service for that roadway is D. So it doesn't
- 11 fail the level of service. It does go below.
- 12 And it's also worth pointing out that
- 13 there -- and the ALJ recognized, that she said
- 14 that there wasn't a time frame for it but that
- 15 there is another project that improve that level
- of service back to C. You don't have to get into
- 17 that, but it's in the record and I wanted to
- 18 assure you that that's a very technical point.
- 19 The main legal point is that we're not
- 20 violating any level of service, because the level
- 21 of service is D. It just means we're going down
- 22 to what it's currently adopted at.
- 23 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Anybody?
- 24 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Even if you -- you
- 25 know, I heard -- first let me just say, what an

- 1 admirable lawyer you are, commending the other
- 2 side on their impeccable cross-examination. I
- 3 don't think I've ever heard that done in a
- 4 proceeding. That was great.
- 5 MR. KERBEL: Thank you.
- 6 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Even if you were to
- 7 agree with them or if they were to agree that it
- 8 caused a three-minute delay in, or excuse me,
- 9 improvement, both directions, which would be,
- 10 what, six minutes a day, 30 minutes a week, two
- 11 hours a month, extrapolate that out to a year of
- 12 someone's life, would that be, in your argument,
- 13 a significant traffic improvement or a traffic
- 14 improvement? Let's just stay there.
- 15 MR. KERBEL: It would be a traffic
- 16 improvement. And I think the county commission
- 17 could, in its judgment, decide this is worth
- 18 doing because there's no other option for giving
- 19 any relief to these residents. And mass transit
- 20 is not going to do it. It was studied.
- 21 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: So I just -- I mean,
- 22 just in terms of -- so they have a plan. It's
- 23 being pointed out six minutes. Obviously you
- 24 point out other ways that it would be more in
- 25 different parts, but a billion dollars for six

- 1 minutes.
- 2 But, I mean, is that within the political
- 3 choices of the county commission to make, or does
- 4 that cost/benefit violate something in the
- 5 comprehensive plan?
- 6 MR. KERBEL: Governor, I think you've hit
- 7 exactly our point. That is precisely the sort of
- 8 cost/benefit analysis that is left to the county
- 9 commission as the local legislature representing
- 10 its constituents, having heard from its
- 11 constituents. So, yes, the Community Planning
- 12 Act, the fairly debatable standard, would require
- 13 deference on that point.
- 14 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: And then I have one more
- 15 just about the critical habitat. I mean, I did
- 16 see in the proposed order from petitioners as
- 17 well that -- I think the administrative law judge
- 18 said that it had been identified as a critical
- 19 habitat. No one has identified it as a critical
- 20 habitat.
- 21 But then they point out, well, it doesn't --
- 22 the Miami-Dade plan doesn't necessarily require
- 23 that the federal -- that this is designated
- 24 federally. So how do you interpret what the
- 25 County is trying to do in its plan and then what

- 1 effect this may have on that?
- 2 MR. KERBEL: Their argument is a bit of a
- 3 slight of hand because the plan -- I mean,
- 4 they're correct as far as it goes. The plan says
- 5 you shall not degrade or destroy habitat that is
- 6 critical to any listed species. That's correct.
- 7 What they're failing to acknowledge is that
- 8 there is no evidence in this record to show
- 9 either that it's designated critical habitat or
- 10 that it would impair any habitat that is critical
- 11 to any listed species. It may be used by listed
- 12 species.
- GOVERNOR DESANTIS: But you're saying,
- regardless of what it would be designated, you're
- 15 saying there's not evidence that it is in fact
- 16 critical to any of those species.
- 17 MR. KERBEL: That is exactly correct,
- 18 Governor, yes. That is our argument.
- 19 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Anybody else? Okay.
- 20 Petitioners.
- 21 STENOGRAPHER: Excuse me. I need to use the
- 22 restroom.
- 23 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: We can do it now. What
- 24 do you need? Five minutes? I'll tell you what,
- 25 what time is it now? It's 11:00 sharp. Why

Page 100 don't we just come back at 11:15. 1 2 (Recess from 11:00 a.m. until 11:12 a.m.) 3 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okav. MR. GROSSO: Thank you. Richard Grosso 4 5 I'm not that good of a lawyer. The facts again. are the facts. The facts that the law judge 6 7 found did not include the numbers we heard Mr. Kerbel on traffic. That's the first we've ever 8 9 heard of those numbers today. 10 They were not testified to at trial. They were not proven at trial. They're totally 11 12 inconsistent with the law judge's factual findings, that the traffic methods would be 13 meager, which also includes what Mr. Schwiep told 14 15 you would be in some parts of the --GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Why would, though -- I 16 mean, I know they had said six minutes. 17 18 going to cost a billion dollars. But, I mean, 19 why is that not just a bad policy decision? 20 does that violate their comprehensive plan? 21 MR. GROSSO: Because the comprehensive plan 2.2 has on overarching emphasis on keeping 23 infrastructure outside of the urban boundary, keeping it away farmland and from the Everglades, 24 25 not just, Governor DeSantis, to prevent new urban

24

25

Page 101 sprawl development, but because those lands, that 1 2 scarce farmland, those Everglades, of their own value, need to not have roads. 3 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: I get that, but the --4 when this was being debated, I remember a few 5 years locally down there, it was, if you do the 6 7 road, then it's going to lead to sprawl and it's 8 going to impinge eventually on Everglades and all that. 9 10 But I think the judge found that was not supported. And I don't think you guys are 11 12 contesting that right now. So the record before us is that that is not something that is a viable 13 concern, right? 14 15 MR. GROSSO: She noted a policy, that they wrote a policy that says, In the future we won't 16 consider the existence of this road when there 17 18 are future development applications. That's what 19 they said in their policy. 20 Now, we think that policy is as good as the 21 next Tuesday county commission meeting, but she gave that policy credit. She said, on the face 2.2 23 of that policy, they say they aren't going to --

then go through all these same hurdles if they

GOVERNOR DESANTIS:

But they would have to

- 1 ever wanted to do anything, correct?
- 2 MR. GROSSO: They would have to amend the
- 3 comprehensive plan to do that.
- 4 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Now -- and then on the
- 5 agriculture, I know -- you know, that's obviously
- 6 a policy that they're -- in their plan. The
- 7 judge -- do you disagree? The County said that
- 8 the judge -- and when I reviewed it, I don't
- 9 remember off the top of my head, basically this
- 10 is not impinging on agriculture?
- MR. GROSSO: No, that's not what she said.
- 12 It is going to displace between 3- and 400 acres
- 13 of farmland. Those are the facts. What she said
- 14 was -- and we actually --
- 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: That would take it from,
- 16 what, about -- it's about 60-some thousand now
- 17 and they said a minimum of 50,000 was the county
- 18 policy?
- 19 MR. GROSSO: That's just about right, yes.
- 20 Now, we actually argued in our exceptions. Her
- 21 interpretation is they don't violate the County's
- 22 policy of maintaining a critical mass of farmland
- 23 unless and until they've actually lowered that
- 24 critical mass.
- We think that's a mistake of interpretation

24

25

Page 103 It's one of our exceptions. of this law. 1 2 it's a policy to protect ag land, to keep the 3 critical mass, you don't wait until you've killed the farming industry to say you've violated the 4 5 plan. Your policy has to be, unless there's a darn 6 7 good reason to gobble up another 400 acres of 8 farmland that's scarce and irreplaceable, you That's a point we've made that we do 9 shouldn't. 10 think, Governor DeSantis, that the law judge got 11 wrong on that point. 12 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Let me ask you about the CERP review. So this is -- this is a plan 13 consistency determination, I quess. Aren't they 14 15 going to have to -- because I think you guys are saying this is going to hurt CERP, it's going to 16 17 hurt what the State is trying to do. 18 But that hasn't been determined yet. Ι 19 mean, won't there be an opportunity, if it is going to do that, for South Florida Water 20 21 Management District to be able to find as such? 2.2 Won't DEP -- I mean, it just seems like all this 23 stuff, if what you're saying is true, that will

that it impinges on what they're trying to

end up happening, where they will end up saying

- 1 accomplish, correct?
- 2 MR. GROSSO: Well, that's the County's
- 3 argument. And here's the problem with that
- 4 argument. That argument works if this law
- 5 doesn't exist, if there is not a comprehensive
- 6 planning law that requires plan amendments like
- 7 this to only allow land uses and infrastructure
- 8 that are suitable for the location.
- 9 If that law didn't exist, then that would
- 10 work. The County's entire argument is, forget
- 11 the growth management, forget the comprehensive
- 12 planning law, forget the state review, forget the
- 13 administrative law judge. Everything is put on
- 14 the permitting process.
- 15 And that's just not the law. That would
- 16 repeal this law. The whole idea of this law is
- 17 to nip bad things in the bud. The whole idea of
- 18 this law is to say, you only make future land use
- 19 decisions, you only make big ticket,
- 20 billion-dollar transportation decisions when
- 21 you've demonstrated through the data and analysis
- 22 this is a suitable location for it.
- 23 That's where this fails. They refused to do
- 24 that. They say, We are only going to play at the
- 25 permit level. And by the way, I think it would

- 1 be news to the water management district that it
- 2 has made a final decision that it's only using a
- 3 small amount of the Bird Drive Basin for the CERP
- 4 project. That's not accurate. It has not done
- 5 that.
- 6 And I remind the commission that the
- 7 testimony is clear, whether or not used in the
- 8 CERP project, these are Everglades wetlands. And
- 9 I do have to correct my opposing counsel. These
- 10 are Everglades wetlands. Everglades is not just
- 11 Everglades National Park. These are Everglades
- 12 wetlands, bought by the State, bought by the
- 13 federal government for future restoration
- 14 projects.
- These are the kinds of things that have to
- 16 be considered at the comprehensive plan amendment
- 17 stage, and that's where we are here. So that
- 18 "We'll defer it to permit," Governor DeSantis, is
- 19 just really incorrect legally.
- 20 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: The notion that the road
- 21 would not be considered infrastructure, is it
- 22 unreasonable to view this road as infrastructure?
- MR. GROSSO: I don't think there's any
- 24 dispute that the road is infrastructure. It's
- 25 infrastructure. It's a facility. The issue the

- 1 County has raised is that it's not development.
- 2 The law judge said that that's a nonissue.
- 3 That's a red herring. That doesn't affect the
- 4 outcome whatsoever.
- 5 And by the way, actually, the only -- under
- 6 state law, the only way a road project is not
- 7 considered development is if the road building
- 8 agency, in this situation MDX, owns the land.
- 9 And they don't. The State owns the land. The
- 10 federal government owns the land. Some of it's
- 11 privately owned land. So the County can't even
- 12 take advantage of that.
- But that's really a side point. Whether
- 14 it's development is a nonissue. It's clearly
- 15 infrastructure. It's clearly a major public
- 16 facility. That's -- those things have to comply
- 17 with the Growth Management Act, with the
- 18 comprehensive planning law, with the County's own
- 19 plan, which makes a big deal about where we put
- 20 infrastructure.
- 21 And I think that would be, if I may -- oh,
- 22 the last piece of it. I also have to correct my
- 23 esteemed opposing counsel. There's lots of
- 24 testimony, in the PD&E studies, the County's own
- 25 wetland expert, that these wetlands, Pennsuco and

- 1 Bird Drive Basin, are indeed important. They've
- 2 identified several threatened and endangered
- 3 species in the vicinity of this project, in this
- 4 area. That's why we're trying to restore it.
- 5 They're Everglades wetlands.
- 6 So that was a misstatement by my opposing
- 7 counsel. There is evidence to support the fact
- 8 that these are critical. They're important. Not
- 9 federally designated critical, but they are very
- 10 important to several threatened and endangered
- 11 species, which, after all, is what a big part of
- 12 Everglades restoration is about, on top of
- 13 preventing floods in Miami-Dade County, on top of
- 14 ensuring future water supply for Miami-Dade
- 15 County.
- 16 That's why the County's own plan has support
- 17 for CERP, for Everglades restoration. It's
- 18 supposed to be nipped in the bud at planning
- 19 stage, not put off to permitting. That's the
- 20 fundamental problem here with the County's
- 21 argument.
- The law judge got it right. If anything,
- 23 the law judge rejected some of our arguments that
- 24 we think was error to reject, bending over maybe
- 25 too far backwards in the County's favor.

25

Page 108 But to approve what the County is asking you 1 2. to approve is to do gratuitous, unnecessary damage, to allow MDX, a toll authority, to force 3 commuters to spend a billion dollars to undermine 4 \$20 billion worth of investment the State and the 5 federal government, in partnership, have made. 6 7 The idea that they get to unilaterally make that decision under this law is just not 8 reasonable, given the priority in state law of 9 10 Everglades restoration. 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: The voters have a say on 12 who they elect to the county commission, correct? 13 They do, Governor DeSantis. MR. GROSSO: And that's -- the law does respond to that. 14 law does give the elected officials the benefit 15 of the doubt on some of the calls, because of 16 17 what you just said. 18 The problem is that they violated their own 19 policies. The law judge -- we are not making new policy. She enforced the policy that the Board 20 21 of County Commissioners had put into play that they ignored with this highway. That's the 2.2 point, I think, Governor DeSantis. 23 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Previously you 24

stipulated to the incorrect fact that was in the

- 1 order. Are there any other facts that you agree
- 2 should be modified by the commission in its final
- 3 order?
- 4 MR. GROSSO: No. There was one point of a
- 5 page typo, I think, in our response to the
- 6 exceptions. It was page 160 versus 161. But in
- 7 terms of material facts, that's the only one.
- 8 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Your position is
- 9 it's not officially designated but that these
- 10 lands still are critical for certain endangered
- 11 species, et cetera, and therefore the ALJ order
- 12 should be upheld and adopted as a final --
- 13 MR. GROSSO: Yes, Attorney General Moody.
- 14 There's a clear law that we can't rewrite the
- 15 comprehensive plan. Had the plan meant to give
- 16 protection only to federally designated critical
- 17 habitat, that's what it would have said. That's
- 18 the Gulf County v. Johnson case. And it doesn't
- 19 say that.
- 20 You'd have to be rewriting the County's own
- 21 policy in order to grant the County's exceptions
- 22 here.
- 23 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: One of the
- 24 interesting things I saw within the exceptions is
- 25 the County said, If you find these to be critical

- 1 lands or endangered lands, we would ask the
- 2 commission to draw a remedial route around them.
- 3 Do you -- what is your position as to that?
- 4 Do you believe that's permissible for the
- 5 commission to do? Do you believe there's data or
- 6 evidence, analysis that would allow us to do
- 7 that?
- 8 MR. GROSSO: You'd have to have that clear
- 9 in the record already. And the record does not
- 10 support a remedial amendment of the type the
- 11 County would be arguing for. The proper
- 12 procedure, given where the posture of this case
- is, is the County would have to go back and redo
- 14 a comprehensive plan amendment, this time with
- 15 providing the proper analysis.
- They can't just show up now and say, come up
- 17 with an alternative that hasn't been vetted in
- 18 the hearing process, that hasn't been heard by an
- 19 administrative law judge, subject to
- 20 cross-examination and scrutiny. That would be
- 21 pretty improper at this point of the proceedings.
- 22 And if I may, I've taken all the time of
- 23 my -- of counsel for Limonar, the other
- 24 petitioner. I would like to give him at least 30
- 25 seconds, if I could, to --

- 1 COMMISSIONER FRIED: I just had one
- 2 question. And it's going off a little bit of
- 3 what was already previously asked dealing with
- 4 agriculture land and going off of AG Moody's just
- 5 thoughts right now, that if they were to come
- 6 back and actually redraw the map, would it --
- 7 your thought would be whether or not it would
- 8 also interfere with agricultural land at that
- 9 point. It's below the 50,000 threshold.
- 10 And I think part of the argument the
- 11 Governor was also making is whether or not that
- in fact it may not fall under the 50,000 acres
- 13 threshold, that if you do in fact have sprawl, at
- 14 that point, it would, in essence, would cause
- 15 people to sell their land and change the way that
- 16 the agricultural land is designated in that area.
- 17 MR. GROSSO: That is always a concern, yes.
- 18 Thank you all very much.
- 19 MR. LUKACS: Good morning, Governor
- 20 DeSantis, General Moody, Mr. Patronis and
- 21 Ms. Fried. I'm here on behalf of petitioners
- 22 Limonar, Wonderly and the Mills Family. There's
- 23 nothing more that I can add to the arguments thus
- 24 far that have been articulated by my
- 25 co-petitioners. Simply, the point I want to make

- 1 is is that the record is replete and it's quite
- 2 an extensive record, from what everybody has
- 3 seen.
- 4 So with that being said, and simply by way
- 5 of introduction, my co-counsel, Mr. Pines, is
- 6 here as well. We all participated in the lower
- 7 proceedings and will continue throughout this
- 8 fight on behalf of our respective clients. We
- 9 appreciate the time and energy that the Cabinet
- 10 and staff have relegated to this very important
- 11 question. Thank you very much.
- 12 MR. PRATT: And, Governor, if the commission
- is ready, we can now move to rebuttals and final
- 14 arguments. Each side would have two minutes.
- 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Before his two
- 16 minutes, just -- so if we accept yours, it's
- 17 going to end up being litigated, and if we accept
- 18 theirs -- I mean, it just seems like we're just
- 19 like some intermediate step, where it's
- 20 ultimately going to go to an appeals court. Am I
- 21 wrong about that?
- MR. KERBEL: Well, we certainly think that
- 23 your decision in our favor would be incredibly
- 24 valuable. It would also have a legal effect,
- 25 which is our comprehensive plan would become

- 1 effective and it would allow for the proceedings
- 2 to go forward absent the Court ordering a stay.
- 3 So it would be a very meaningful decision for you
- 4 to rule for us today.
- 5 But we have raised legal arguments, and so,
- 6 since the county commission adopted this
- 7 ordinance, if you were to rule in favor of the
- 8 petitioners, I would anticipate pursuing those
- 9 legal arguments to defend the county commission's
- 10 decision.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Go ahead. Sorry. He
- 12 gets his two minutes.
- MR. KERBEL: Always happy to respond to your
- 14 questions, Governor. First, let me just say a
- 15 moment, General Moody, your question to opposing
- 16 counsel about the compliance amendment, we
- inserted that because the statute requires that
- 18 if it's not in compliance, that this commission
- 19 tell us what we need to do to find it in
- 20 compliance.
- 21 There is under the statute a compliance
- 22 amendment process, and so we think that it would
- 23 be appropriate not, if you go down this road --
- 24 we don't think you need to for the reasons that
- 25 we've stated, but if you were to be concerned

- 1 about that issue, that it would be something that
- 2 you could order us to go back and do a limited
- 3 amendment to address that issue, which would then
- 4 go through the process, but I don't think we'd
- 5 need to start over again. But, again, we don't
- 6 need to get there.
- 7 Let me make a point about, they keep saying
- 8 it's a billion dollars. It's not a billion
- 9 dollars that the County has floating around
- 10 that's going to be spent on this expressway. If
- 11 the expressway is not built, that billion dollars
- 12 doesn't -- just doesn't build this roadway and
- 13 that billion dollars would -- it would be paid by
- the road agency or whoever built it and would be
- 15 paid back through the tolls.
- 16 So it's not like you're taking a billion
- 17 dollars from affordable housing and putting it
- 18 here. This is all within the confines of the
- 19 road.
- 20 As to the critical habitat, again, they're
- 21 pursuing their slight of hand. There is no
- 22 evidence in the record that this habitat in the
- 23 Pennsuco Wetlands is critical. Not that it's not
- important, not that it's not used by species.
- 25 The testimony is it's used by species. But there

- 1 is no evidence that it is critical to the
- 2 survival of those species. So that's what the
- 3 policy says. And they didn't file an exception
- 4 on that point, so they've waived that argument to
- 5 begin with.
- 6 As for preservation of agriculture, the
- 7 County does not have a "no net loss of ag"
- 8 policy, which is ultimately what they're pushing.
- 9 We are consistent, and the ALJ found we are
- 10 consistent, internally consistent with our
- 11 policies about preservation of agriculture.
- 12 It's also worth pointing out, most of this
- 13 expressway runs through the area that's
- 14 designated as an urban expansion area. So even
- though it's currently ag, it's already envisioned
- in the plan that some day it could become an
- 17 urban use. That's what the urban expansion area
- 18 is. But you have to go through the amendment
- 19 process when the time is right and we have a need
- 20 for that additional area.
- 21 So for all of the reasons that we have
- 22 previously discussed, and we're available to
- 23 answer any additional questions, we would urge
- 24 you to find the plan amendment in compliance and
- 25 respect the county commission's decision as the

- 1 local government of the area. Thank you very
- 2 much for your attention.
- 3 MS. FERREIRA: Dear Governor and Cabinet
- 4 Members --
- 5 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Before you do your two,
- 6 I'm going to ask you the same guestion I asked
- 7 him. Do you anticipate this will be further --
- 8 there will be further litigation on this one or
- 9 another most likely?
- MS. FERREIRA: Hopefully not.
- 11 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: What's that?
- MS. FERREIRA: Hopefully not.
- GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Oh, hopefully not.
- 14 Okay. But you think there's -- you don't think
- 15 that there would be?
- 16 MS. FERREIRA: If you approve in our favor,
- 17 there will not be, hopefully not.
- 18 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Hopefully, okay.
- 19 MS. FERREIRA: So before my counsel
- 20 proceeds, Dear Governor and Cabinet Members, my
- 21 name is Paola Ferreira. I am the executive
- 22 director of Tropical Audubon Society, as you
- 23 know, one of the petitioners in this case.
- 24 I would like to thank the Governor for his
- 25 leadership and commitment to Everglades

24

25

Page 117 restoration, as well as the Florida agencies that 1 2. have provided comments. In particular, DEP and the South Florida Water Management District have 3 conveyed their concerns about the project, which 4 the County, as you have heard before, has 5 ignored. 6 7 I would also like to thank the Cabinet 8 members for the leadership on advancing the State's environmental agenda. 9 10 The issue in front of the Cabinet today is 11 of crucial importance to the residents of Miami-Dade County, Tropical Audubon Society and 12 its members. The construction of the State Road 13 836 extension would seriously compromise 14 15 investment in Everglades restoration. It would. In particular, it would preclude the 16 benefits of the Bird Drive Basin and Pennsuco 17 18 Wetlands in the southern Everglades. These wetlands should be used and have been acquired to 19 20 restore the Everglades, as done by CERP, and are 21 critical for the security, the water security of Miami-Dade County residents. 2.2 23 Therefore, Tropical Audubon Society urges

you to uphold the ALJ's recommended order and

prioritize the Everglades, and hopefully we won't

- 1 have to appeal if that happens. Thank you for
- 2 your time.
- MR. GROSSO: Two quick points in closing,
- 4 and thank you very much. Well fields. We've
- 5 glossed over the well fields problem. The law
- 6 judge found that the policies in the Dade County
- 7 comprehensive plan are to keep the final well
- 8 field that it has, that hasn't already been
- 9 developed around, to keep it pristine and keep it
- 10 safe.
- 11 She found that running a highway through,
- 12 based on their admitted testimony, is not going
- 13 to protect this last well field. There's nowhere
- 14 else to go. This is the final well field that
- 15 the County has that hasn't already been
- 16 surrounded by development.
- 17 In terms of the billion dollars, a lot of
- 18 money has been spent planning this highway. A
- 19 lot of money has been spent on PR campaigns and
- 20 promoting it already. We think the Cabinet
- 21 should end this, what appears to be a boondoggle.
- 22 Put it to an end, no more money invested
- 23 into pursuing a project that really doesn't meet
- 24 the needs of mobility in Dade County and
- 25 certainly makes Everglades restoration a lot more

- 1 expensive and a lot more complicated.
- 2 Thank you very much for giving us all the
- 3 time and attention that you have this morning to
- 4 this pretty critical issue of statewide
- 5 importance. Thank you all very much.
- 6 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Great. Who do we have
- 7 now?
- 8 MR. PRATT: Governor, we have public
- 9 comment. And I'll invite Dylan up. He has a
- 10 list of people who are ready to appear. I'd like
- 11 to remind the commenters that they should limit
- 12 their comments to two minutes.
- 13 MR. FISHER: So first up is Alex Feiro,
- 14 representing Congressman Gimenez, his chief of
- 15 staff.
- MR. FERRO: Good morning, Governor and
- 17 members of the Cabinet. Just to clarify, I'm not
- 18 representing him in his official capacity as a
- 19 Congressman, just for the record. My name is
- 20 Alex Ferro, and I am here on behalf of Carlos A.
- 21 Gimenez.
- I have worked with Mr. Gimenez for close to
- 23 a decade. I am proud to represent a public
- 24 servant that has served our community for over 40
- 25 years. Unfortunately, Mr. Gimenez couldn't be

- 1 here today, but he asked me to deliver his
- 2 position on the Kendall Parkway.
- 3 This is one of the most important projects
- 4 for our community, Miami-Dade County, but
- 5 specifically to an area called Kendall. Every
- 6 day in Kendall, an area of over 600,000
- 7 residents, our citizens are paralyzed in traffic
- 8 that consumes hours of their days, hours that
- 9 residents could be spending with their families
- 10 and loved ones.
- 11 The Kendall Parkway is the first realistic
- 12 project to give our community an additional
- option to the daily gridlock that has become an
- 14 everyday reality for many of us. This project is
- 15 not without controversy. But, sadly, the reasons
- 16 against it are not based in reality.
- 17 The rally cry that the opponents use is that
- 18 this project will endanger our plans for
- 19 Everglades restoration. It centers around an
- 20 outdated plan to use an area referred to as the
- 21 Bird Basin as a recharge area. This strategy was
- 22 abandoned almost 15 years ago. Why? Because the
- 23 strategy would have endangered neighboring lands
- 24 to flooding and was deemed cost-prohibitive.
- 25 The South Florida Water Management District

- 1 changed course and decided to pursue a better
- 2 alternative. The current plan is to construct a
- 3 canal parallel to Krome Avenue, a highway west of
- 4 the proposed 836 extension. That's right, west
- 5 of the 836 extension. It's closer to the
- 6 Everglades than the project that we are talking
- 7 about today and contemplating.
- 8 The Kendall Parkway will offer commuters
- 9 mobility choices while complying with all
- 10 environmental requirements and regulations. The
- 11 project will include a number of important
- 12 safeguards to protect the area from future
- 13 overdevelopment.
- 14 The Kendall Parkway also restores
- 15 approximately 100 acres, 1,000 acres of land for
- 16 conservation and places it in the public trust.
- 17 This is a once-in-a-lifetime opportunity to build
- 18 a major transportation infrastructure corridor of
- 19 countywide significance that can transform the
- 20 lives of our citizens in West Kendall. We humbly
- 21 ask for your support. Thank you.
- 22 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Thank you.
- MR. FISHER: Up next we have Anna Upton with
- 24 the Everglades Foundation.
- MS. UPTON: Good morning. I'm Anna Upton on

- 1 behalf of the Everglades Foundation. The
- 2 Everglades Foundation has been a leader in
- 3 Everglades restoration for over two decades. We
- 4 support the advancement of Everglades restoration
- 5 by securing funds for completion of the
- 6 Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Project.
- 7 It's CERP for short.
- 8 Billions of taxpayer dollars, both state and
- 9 federal, have been spent to restore America's
- 10 Everglades, and we can't stop now. Restoration
- of America's Everglades is the largest ecosystem
- 12 restoration project in the world. It involves 68
- 13 different components that together will update
- 14 South Florida's antiquated flood control system
- 15 and build large-scale water infrastructure
- 16 projects to reroute the harmful flow of water
- 17 from Lake Okeechobee in a more beneficial way,
- 18 ultimately protecting the water supply for
- 19 Florida's growing population.
- The Everglades Foundation is not a party to
- 21 this case, but it cares about the outcome because
- 22 it involves a road going through lands that were
- 23 purchased and have been set aside for an
- 24 Everglades restoration project. The question is
- 25 whether Miami-Dade County, at the request of the

25

Page 123 roque and now legislatively dissolved Miami-Dade 1 2 Expressway Authority, also known as MDX, followed the law and its own rules in amending its 3 comprehensive plan to allow this road project to 4 5 go forward. The administrative law judge found that the 7 County did not. And from an Everglades 8 perspective, these lands really can't be replaced. You can build a road in a different 9 10 location. You can't get these lands back. 11 They're strategically located near Everglades National Park and intended for an 12 Everglades restoration project that will benefit 13 the Everglades and Miami-Dade County's water 14 The ALJ's findings of fact support this. 15 Water is one of the most pressing issues of 16 17 our time. The Everglades Foundation respectfully asks you to enter a final order affirming the 18 recommendation of the administrative law judge 19 20 that the County's comprehensive plan amendment 21 was not in compliance with Florida law. 2.2 In so doing, you aren't saying that the 23 County can't build a road to address traffic concerns of its residents. You're saying, if 24

they want to do it, they have to follow the law.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Thank you.
- 3 MR. FISHER: Laura Reynolds, project
- 4 delivery team member for BBSEER.
- 5 MS. REYNOLDS: Hi. Thank you. And I think
- 6 I've handed out portions of Exhibit 97 that were
- 7 used in this case, just so you have a visual to
- 8 follow with my comments. Thank you for the time
- 9 on public comment. Governor, Cabinet, appreciate
- 10 it.
- 11 So I wanted to let you know that, you know,
- 12 this has been a very long journey for me
- 13 personally. I've lived in the town of Cutler Bay
- 14 for 20 years, and we passed a resolution there
- opposing this project, and I'm the Hold the Line
- 16 Coalition organizing representative who worked to
- 17 try to bring this issue forward.
- 18 And, of course, the issue we brought up was
- 19 we've been working for 35 years to protect these
- 20 lands for Everglades restoration, and there's
- 21 good reason. If you look at page 15 -- it's the
- 22 second page in your packet -- Figure 7, you can
- 23 see the entire East Coast buffer is something
- 24 we've been working for at least 20 years, if not
- 25 30, to collect those lands all the way up and

- 1 down.
- 2 And the reason for that is we have to be
- 3 able to send more water south without flooding
- 4 the infrastructure and the built environment to
- 5 the east. And in order to do that, you have to
- 6 be able to step down from the more water that you
- 7 send south, you have to have that buffer in
- 8 between.
- 9 And that concept was there from 1999, and
- 10 the year 2000 was when this was approved by
- 11 Congress. And that concept is still important.
- 12 So you can sort of see that image there. That's
- what we're trying to achieve, and it's still
- important today, probably more so than before
- 15 because of sustainability.
- We have to recharge our groundwater and
- 17 protect our water supply from saltwater
- 18 intrusion. And in order to do that, you have to
- 19 have a place for that water to recharge
- 20 groundwater.
- 21 The second image I wanted to show you is the
- 22 next page, a blow-up of Bird Drive Basin. It
- 23 shows you that concept that was originally
- 24 approved in 2000. That image may not be exactly
- 25 the way it's planned today, but as a member of

- 1 the project delivery team for BBSEER, we are
- 2 looking at how we can get more water to Biscayne
- 3 Bay because we don't have enough fresh, clean
- 4 water to improve Biscayne Bay. And as you know,
- 5 it's at its tipping point. We have to. And we
- 6 know that the water supply comes from the buffer
- 7 areas to the west.
- 8 So this project is not only critical to
- 9 Taylor Slough and the Everglades, this project is
- 10 critical to Biscayne Bay. And representing the
- 11 town of Cutler Bay, I can tell you that they have
- 12 pulled out all the stops, because they're
- 13 adjacent to that entire project.
- 14 This is the only project that will help
- 15 Biscayne Bay. And so we're trying to improve
- 16 everything that's adjacent to it. And we
- 17 recognize that there is no reservoir right next
- 18 to Biscayne Bay. We have to rely on lands all
- 19 the way west to bring that water into the area.
- 20 So this is being redesigned as we speak.
- 21 So I ask you today to uphold the judge's
- 22 ruling to protect not only the Everglades but
- 23 Biscayne Bay. Thank you.
- 24 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Thanks.
- 25 MR. FISHER: Deborah Foote with the Sierra

- 1 Club.
- MS. FOOTE: Good morning, everybody.
- 3 Deborah Foote. I'm the acting chapter director
- 4 of the Sierra Club, and I want to add our 240,000
- 5 members and supporters in encouraging you to
- 6 accept the recommendation of the judge.
- 7 I want to echo a little bit of what Laura
- 8 Reynolds talked about, and that is that these
- 9 critical areas are, while not formally a
- 10 designated line that has been created by
- 11 governments, these wetlands are still critically
- important to all of the aspects that have been
- 13 talked about.
- 14 We also wanted to mention that we were
- 15 pleased when this board spent time in thinking
- 16 about the Harmony Ranch development, which would
- 17 have negatively impacted agricultural land within
- 18 the urban services district.
- 19 This particular project would impact even
- 20 more. And we are glad that you rejected the
- 21 Harmony Ranch project and would encourage you, on
- 22 some of the similar arguments, to reject this as
- 23 well. So as a long-term member of the Everglades
- 24 Coalition, who you will also hear from, we please
- 25 ask that you continue to uphold this decision.

- 1 Thank you.
- 2 MR. FISHER: Paul Owens, 1000 Friends of
- 3 Florida.
- 4 MR. OWENS: I appreciate the opportunity.
- 5 I'm Paul Owens, president of 1000 Friends of
- 6 Florida. We promote environmentally and fiscally
- 7 sustainable development throughout our state.
- 8 The proposal before you today addressed in
- 9 the ruling from ALG Van Wyk is neither
- 10 environmentally nor fiscally sustainable. The
- 11 route to extend the Dolphin Expressway 14 miles
- 12 outside Miami-Dade's UDB would destroy wetlands
- and wildlife habitat, threaten the region's water
- 14 supply and reduce critical flow, not only to the
- 15 Everglades but, as we've heard, to Biscayne Bay.
- 16 It would cost at least a billion dollars
- but, more importantly, threaten to undermine
- 18 billions more spent or committed on Everglades
- 19 restoration projects. Yet the high cost in
- 20 dollars and damage from this project would
- 21 shorten the average commuter's time by just a few
- 22 minutes, according to the County's own experts.
- 23 That's very little bang for a lot of bucks.
- 24 1000 Friends of Florida also believes in
- 25 community planning. And that's ultimately why

- 1 ALG Van Wyk's order must be affirmed. She ruled
- 2 that the amendment violates state law and the
- 3 County's comp plan. For those reasons, the
- 4 project shouldn't go forward.
- 5 So we are calling on each of you to be true
- 6 to your records as leaders in restoring the
- 7 Everglades. Uphold Judge Van Wyk's order. Stand
- 8 up for the environment, taxpayers, planning and
- 9 the law. Thank you.
- 10 MR. FISHER: And then concluding our public
- 11 comment, unless we have others -- feel free to
- 12 come up after this as well if there are, but our
- 13 final public comment, we believe, is Julie
- 14 Wraithmell with Audubon Florida and National
- 15 Audubon Society.
- 16 MS. WRAITHMELL: Thank you very much. Julie
- 17 Wraithmell. I'm the executive director of
- 18 Audubon Florida. I wanted to underscore that
- 19 this is not merely a local issue but has profound
- 20 implications for a substantial state interest in
- 21 both the Pennsuco Wetlands as well as the Bird
- 22 Drive Basin and, frankly, the federally
- 23 threatened wood storks that depend on both, as
- 24 well as the resources of Biscayne Bay and Taylor
- 25 Slough to which these wetlands flow.

23

24

25

June 15, 2021 Page 130 This decision would jeopardize these 1 2. resources, the large investment of public tax dollars already made in Everglades restoration, 3 as well as the State's collective ambitions to 4 restore the flow to Everglades National Park and 5 6 Florida Bay. 7 Over the last decade of this project, the State's own agencies, DEP, the water management 8 districts and others, have voiced these concerns. 9 10 And there always must be careful consideration in 11 proposals like this, because major land use 12 changes like this simply are a bell that can't be 13 unrung. 14 This reinforces that the ALJ got it right. The proposed extension of 836 will only deliver 15 meager traffic benefits at best in exchange for 16 17 profound impacts to the progress that we've 18 already made to Everglades restoration and our 19 ability to ever deliver on the promise of CERP. 20 And so on behalf of Audubon's members, I 21 urge you to affirm the ALJ's recommended order. Miami-Dade County simply didn't follow their own 2.2

laws, and limited gains in exchange for lasting

Thank you

harm is not in the public interest.

for your consideration.

Page 131 1 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Thank you. 2. MR. PRATT: Unless there's any public comment, I believe that concludes that portion of 3 4 the meeting. At this time, prior to turning the meeting over to the commission for discussion and 5 voting on final action, I would like to remind 6 7 the commission of the three options for voting. Under option number one, the commission 8 9 could adopt an alternative final order, with 10 substituted findings of fact and conclusions of 11 law, which would find the plan amendment to be in compliance under Chapter 163. This option, like 12 option number two, would direct commission staff 13 to draft, circulate and present a final order at 14 a later date for the commission's consideration 15 16 and potential approval. 17 Under option number two, the commission 18 could adopt the recommended order issued by the ALJ, which would affirm the ALJ's recommendation 19 20 that the plan amendment be found to be not in 21 compliance under Chapter 163, and also specify 2.2 remedial actions to be taken by the County. And 23 finally, under option number three, the 24 commission could take the case under advisement 25 to be decided at a later date.

Page 132 I will now turn the meeting over to the 1 2 Governor and the remainder of the commission. 3 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. Does anyone have 4 anything? 5 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: I would just be 6 mindful that we're sitting as the agency head in 7 determining whether we adopt the recommended final order, but there will need to be, based on 8 stipulations of the parties, some corrections to 9 10 the facts in our ultimate order. 11 COMMISSIONER FRIED: That's fine. Obviously 12 there's been a misstatement of facts. something that we can review and make those 13 changes to. 14 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Well, I mean, the two 15 big issues that I kept seeing come up were, one, 16 whether this is a good idea in terms of 17 18 taxpayers, and then, two, what impact it will 19 have on state interests in terms of Everglades 20 restoration. 21 In terms of the former, I mean, to me, that's a political decision, that's a local 2.2 23 In terms of impacting a state decision. 24 interest, obviously that would be something that 25 would be more something that we would be

- 1 concerned about.
- 2 But I also think that this has not been
- 3 approved to be consistent at all with anything
- 4 that we're doing with Everglades restoration. I
- 5 mean, it's not like this is going to happen. I
- 6 mean, they've got to go through all those
- 7 environmental reviews.
- 8 And so I think it's premature to say it's
- 9 going to do some of the things, because I think
- 10 if some of the things that are happening, that
- 11 are posited do happen, I don't think it's going
- 12 to get permitted by South Florida Water
- 13 Management District.
- 14 And so I just wasn't convinced that this all
- 15 needed to happen on the front end. I didn't see
- 16 law either way on that. And so basically I think
- 17 that, you know, you have, I guess, fairly
- 18 debatable applications of the comprehensive plan.
- 19 And so for that reason, I would do the -- I
- 20 think we should recommend the County work with
- 21 that proposed order and issue that.
- 22 COMMISSIONER FRIED: I just want to follow
- 23 up on that, Governor. You know, it is always --
- 24 being from Miami, driving Kendall, I get it.
- 25 Trust me. I understand it and have seen the

25

don't.

Page 134 And that's part of the things that congestion. 1 2. we always need to balance as we're looking to expand growth in our state, is have that happy 3 balance between growth but also protecting our 4 5 environment. 6 And so that's why there are county plans 7 that need to be put in place to make sure that we're doing what's right for both growth and for 8 protection of our environmental impacts. 9 10 So, based on that, you know, I would be supportive with the changes going back down to 11 12 the County to work with on any changes in the order, but I would be supportive of the ALJ's 13 14 order. 15 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: (Indiscernible). 16 17 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: So the question presented to the commission is whether or not we 18 would adopt as a final order the recommended 19 20 order to us as an agency head with factual 21 findings, finding that her conclusions of law 2.2 that the amendment is consistent with the plan, 23 that that was fairly debatable but that -- that her recommended order, we would adopt that or we 24

But I'm trying to -- I guess the Governor

Page 135 is going to be the --1 2. GOVERNOR DESANTIS: If it's fairly 3 debatable, then the law would be then we would 4 have to -- if the County's position is fairly 5 debatable, then we'd have to side with the 6 County. 7 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Right. If the 8 factual findings were not supported by competent 9 substantial evidence, we would find that we would 10 not adopt the recommended order, and that would 11 be the decision today. 12 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Right. 13 ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: Okay. 14 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: And so you're no. 15 yes. You're --16 COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Yes. 17 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: You're yes. ATTORNEY GENERAL MOODY: I'll concur with 18 19 the Governor. 20 GOVERNOR DESANTIS: Okay. So that's done. 21 All right. That's it for today. Long meeting. 22 Thank you. 23 (The meeting concluded at 11:52 a.m.) 24 25

		•
	1	Page 136 MEETING CERTIFICATE
	2	
	3	STATE OF FLORIDA
	4	COUNTY OF LEON
	5	
	6	I, JO LANGSTON, RPR, certify that I was
	7	authorized to and did stenographically report the
	8	foregoing meeting, and that the transcript is a true
	9	and complete record of my stenographic notes.
1	LO	Dated this 25th day of June 2021.
1	L1	
1	L2	Go Langeton
1	L3	JO LANGSTON, RPR
1	L4	OO LANGSTON, KIK
1	L5	
1	L6	
1	L7	
1	L8	
1	L9	
2	20	
2	21	
2	22	
2	23	
2	24	
2	25	
1		

June 15, 2021

\$	7:1	11:00 99:25 100:2	150,000 59:12
	\$38.5		
\$10	6:15,24	11:12	155
76:7	\$500	100:2	59:23
\$11.8	7:19 23:3	11:15	157th
21:17	\$600	100:1	94:5,10,16
\$12	18:5	12	15th
27:13	\$8	95:3	3:3
	24:12	12.4	16
\$12,500,000 8:6		22:25	18:21
	0	120	160
\$12.3		8:8 32:4	109:6
22:2	0.73		161
\$125	18:23	120.66	-
24:18		43:15	109:6
\$13	1	12th	163
8:20		52:11	41:9 49:5
\$15	1	13-mile	51:16,19 52:
27:5	4:11 7:16	52:13 83:5	53:17
	21:8 40:7	1300	167th
\$17	44:21	40:12	52:15
22:16	1,000	136th	17.9
\$199.2	121:15	52:17 94:9	22:9
6:14	1.48		18
\$2.4	27:23,24,25	137th	94:7,19
26:22	1.57	52:11	
\$20	27:23	14	190
76:7 108:5		6:10 80:17	40:18 41:11
\$215	10	83:10 128:11	1999
22:14	18:19,20	14-mile	125:9
	10-	48:23 84:14	1st
\$232.8	16:16	14.5	55:20
22:12	100	21:24	
\$3	121:15	1400-bed	2
28:17	1000	22:15	
\$30	128:2,5,24		2
24:1	107th	15	8:1,2 21:15
\$350	83:1	51:17 57:21	44:19 47:18,
26:25		120:22 124:21	19
\$36	112	15-year	2-B
930	24:24	16:16	22:1

2-C	26	35	
22:11	51:9	124:19	6
2.0	27th	36	6
13:12	51:7	7:2	27:21
2.31	28-42	37	6-mile
22:19	44:23 47:7	17:6	40:9
2.4	28-43	38.5	6.80
21:24	44:25 45:4	6:17 7:1	40:14
2.5	47:7		60
21:23	28.65	4	17:14,19
2.6	6:12		19:11
22:24	29	4 9:4,5 23:13	60-some
2.9	94:11	,	102:16
22:23		4.32	
20	3	22:6	60/40 17:14,16
124:14,24		4.35	
2000	3	21:22	600,000
125:10,24	8:15,16,22 23:2 32:13	40	59:11 92:25 120:6
		17:15,17,23	
2008 28:6	3-	119:24	68
	102:12	400	122:12
2018	3.1	102:12 103:7	
45:23 46:19 51:7,9,17	22:9	4th	7
	3.2-acre	55:24	7
2018-109	36:10		21:20,22
48:19	3.32	5	124:22
2019	17:5	5	7.1
23:25 52:1	3.4	24:10 27:21,	24:1
2020	22:8	23,25 83:22	74
10:19 32:14	3.45	84:1	22:5,7
49:2 52:2	22:22	50	75
55:20	30	55:23 94:14	8:23
2021	17:8 97:10	50,000	
7:21 8:7,21	110:24 124:25	89:24 102:17	8
78:25	30-year	111:9,12	
2030	22:19	56th	8
69:16	30th	94:5	18:22 24:25
240,000	49:2 52:2	タエ・ジ	8.82
127:4			18:17

80	absent	121:15	37:14,23
27:4	113:2	act	63:10 64:4
836	absolutely	9:7 24:25	83:10 115:20
52:9 83:1,3,	17:1 37:8	29:5 65:18,21	23 120:12
5,6,9,11	abundance	66:5 70:13	Additionally
117:14 121:4,	44:14	87:20 98:12	36:17 52:24
5		106:17	address
85	accept	acting	31:19 61:11
18:17	112:16,17	45:7 127:3	62:3 67:23
	127:6		92:2,15 93:1
87	accepted	action	114:3 123:23
22:20,22	67:12	37:19 49:11,	
87th	access	12 56:5,10	addressed
96:3	24:3	57:8	62:6 64:6
88th	accomplish	actions	89:6 128:8
94:17	104:1	45:3	adds
	accomplished	activities	52:25
9	62:16	36:19	adequacy
		activity	14:5
900	account	10:24 11:3	adequately
26:23	68:21	13:20 15:19	63:20
97	accountable	acts	adjacent
124:6	38:23	28:17	126:13,16
99	accuracy		
6:12	41:16	actual	Adjudicatory
9th	accurate	73:24 88:16	40:1,5
21:9	74:1,3 75:16	actuarial	administer
21.9	105:4	6:18 7:6	23:20
		add	administered
A	accurately 93:13	61:14 111:23	4:14
a.m.		127:4	administration
100:2	achieve	added	6:1 7:17 8:3
abandoned	125:13	9:10	17 32:2 44:7
120:22	acknowledge	adding	21 48:14
	77:21 99:7	9:16 40:13	administrative
abiding	acquired	83:10	32:1 43:1,4
35:3	117:19		47:21 49:3
abilities	acres	addition	51:23 73:2,
68:15	40:12,14	54:1 60:6	19,23 85:17
ability	89:24 102:12	63:8 68:12	98:17 104:13
19:9	103:7 111:12	additional	110:19 123:6
	102.1 111.17		TTO.TA T73.0

19 Administrators'	advisement 57:16	48:6,17 49:7 117:9	15,24 62:7 64:15,19
4:15	affect	ages	65:14 66:12
	14:14 106:3	68:15	67:12 68:8
admirable			70:7 71:15
97:1	affected	agree	72:1 83:20
admitted	60:4	97:7 109:1	87:10 89:4,1
85:10 118:12	affecting	agreement	90:4,5 93:3
adopt	84:9	37:18	94:21 96:13
85:16	affirmative	agricultural	109:11 115:9
adopted	90:12	90:14 111:8,	ALJ's
41:15 48:19	affirmatively	16 127:17	49:8 50:19
51:8 61:5	55:12 68:9	agriculture	52:7 53:10,
68:4 74:17	69:20 74:9	61:20 89:22,	20,25 54:2,6
93:14 96:9,22	90:4	23,25 90:2,7,	7 55:15,21
109:12 113:6	affirmed	17 102:5,10	56:12 57:2
adopting	129:1	111:4 115:6,	65:4,8 66:3
51:22		11	70:23 71:3
	affirming 123:18	ahead	117:24 123:1
adoption 32:4,7 47:8		6:13 7:15	allege
	affordable	17:7 18:17	51:11
adopts	8:9,23 114:17	29:1 48:12	Allegiance
57:1	Africa	113:11	3:7,9
advance	13:25	aids	,
27:10 40:25	ag	58:17	allowed
50:18	103:2 111:4		21:21 22:5,2
advanced	115:7,15	airport	allowing
51:3	agencies	82:7,22	66:7
advancement	24:3 63:15	albeit	altered
122:4	74:20 77:12,	59:19	37:19
advancing	14 117:1	Alex	alternative
117:8	agency	119:13,20	110:17 121:2
	43:17,18,22	ALG	Alto
advantage	44:24 45:4	128:9 129:1	8:8
17:21 26:16 27:16 106:12	64:22 75:8	ALJ	
	80:1 81:11	50:7 52:2,3	amazing
advertised	91:23 106:8	53:11 54:9,	31:5 33:1
40:24	114:14	15,19,24	78:25 79:1
advised		55:2,8,10	amenability
43:12	agenda	59:6,19 60:9,	41:18
	4:5,9,11 7:14 31:8 32:22	12,25 61:4,	amend
	31.0 32.22	,,	

4:12 40:8	amount	44:24 118:1	61:9 65:16
102:2	7:18 8:5,19	appeals	108:1,2
amended	12:4 21:25	45:2,6 112:20	116:16
4:13	22:10,25	appears	approved
amending	26:18 28:14	118:21	37:16,21
123:3	29:4 105:3		82:10 125:10
	ample	applicable	24
amendment	67:1	50:4	approving
48:18,20	analysis	application	7:18
49:4,22 51:8,	51:13 65:1,	32:7 88:21,22	
11,12,21,22	10,13,15,19	applications	approximate
52:4,6,8,20,		45:6 101:18	52:13
25 53:12,15	66:11,14,16,	applied	approximately
54:20 55:2,6,	25 67:2	83:25 84:2	40:11 59:11
11 56:14,16	70:20,22 71:4		94:19 121:15
57:4,8,10,13	74:9,19,23	apply	April
60:2,6 61:6	75:1,9,10,12,	63:12	17:9
62:10,13 64:2	15,17,19,20,	applying	
65:5,11 67:6,	22,23 76:15	64:14	aquaculture
13,15 68:9	77:13,15,16	appointed	36:12 37:14,
69:24 70:1,3,	82:3 86:25	38:23	17,22
8 71:5 74:22	89:2 98:8		arbitrary
82:8,10 84:21	104:21 110:6,	appointment	82:16 95:17
87:17 88:7,24	15	39:3	area
90:12 105:16	analyzed	approach	48:25 52:12
110:10,14	82:17	66:19	54:21 59:10,
113:16,22	Anna	approval	23 60:16
114:3 115:18,	121:23,25	4:12,18 7:21	61:10 62:19
24 123:20		9:6,17 23:7	67:21 71:24
129:2	annual	31:10,24	77:25 82:16,
amendments	26:18	32:3,7,16	18 83:17,19
74:8 104:6	annualized	36:21 38:5,6	84:1 85:5
	18:18	39:7 49:18	86:20 87:8
amends	anticipate	56:24	92:21,24
48:20	69:17 113:8		95:6,8,14,16
America's	116:7	approve	17,22,25
122:9,11	antiquated	4:20 7:22	107:4 111:16
American	122:14	8:12,25 9:19	115:13,14,17
4:15 15:17		21:10 23:8	20 116:1
	anymore	24:6 25:7,19	
ammo 27:13	33:3	31:13 32:9,18	120:5,6,20,2 121:12 126:1
41.13	appeal	36:23 38:7	171.17 170:1
		41:23 47:11	

areas	111:24	attorney	Avenue
38:2 54:14	Ash	4:7,21 5:8	52:11,16 83:
59:13 63:14	6:2,4	7:24 9:2,20	94:5,10,17
69:19,21 70:7		43:14 47:13	96:3 121:3
81:8 126:7	asks	58:24 96:24	average
127:9	123:18	97:6 108:24	95:5 128:21
argue	aspects	109:8,13,23	
61:2	127:12	attorneys	avoid
	asset	50:24	58:13 63:13
argued	15:23 16:5		award
77:24 102:20	19:9	attributable	21:16
argues	2224	61:7	awarded
79:17	assets	Audubon	21:19
arguing	18:8,9	47:24 50:13	
110:11	assigned	72:23 116:22	
	51:23	117:12,23	В
argument	assistant	129:14,15,18	back
14:7 39:12	58:24	authority	10:19 11:21
58:19 61:18	Association	31:25 37:13	13:1 15:6,7
77:10 86:3	4:16		17:8 19:5
90:5 93:10	4.10	39:15,16	24:13 27:2
97:12 99:2,18	assume	49:23 76:22	30:19 31:4
104:3,4,10	83:25	108:3 123:2	46:12 88:13,
107:21 111:10	assumption	authorization	14 96:16
115:4	76:18	23:2 25:18	100:1 110:13
arguments	assumptions	44:22	
49:9 55:22	7:6	authorize	111:6 114:2,
56:7 57:19,		62:23	15 123:10
21,23,25 73:9	assurance		background
81:14 85:20,	54:25	authorized	51:6
24 89:6	assure	66:15	backwards
107:23 111:23	96:18	authorizes	107:25
112:14 113:5,	assured	69:20	bad
9 127:22	46:23	authorizing	100:19 104:1
		23:14 24:11	
Army	attacks	25:12	balance
36:18	89:8	automobile	6:14 13:2
ARPA	attended	55:13	bang
29:6	40:22 60:1		128:23
arrived	attention	automobiles	bank
78:5	6:23 116:2	84:13,24	62:24
	119:3	availability	
articulated	_	41:18	base

16:19 68:6	59:19	billion	6:1 7:17 8:3,
based	behalf	6:14,15,17,24	17 19:21
51:12 54:3	37:15 111:21	7:1 26:22,23	36:1,4 37:15,
55:14 65:13	112:8 119:20	27:5 28:23	21 38:5
67:6 70:20	122:1	76:7 97:25	40:12,21
72:8 83:20	believes	100:18 108:4,	41:2,3 108:20
95:17,25	4:16 128:24	5 114:8,11,	127:15
118:12 120:16		13,16 118:17	boards
basic	Ben	128:16	15:2
74:7 75:20	21:2	billion-dollar	bond
	benchmark	83:23 84:7	21:1,4,16
basically	17:7	104:20	22:11
19:10 25:3	bending	billions	
102:9	107:24	122:8 128:18	bond-like
Basin	beneficial		18:2
62:18,19	122:17	Bird	bonds
77:23 105:3	beneficiaries	62:18 77:22	7:21 8:7,21
107:1 117:17		105:3 107:1	17:2,7,11
120:21 125:22	19:16	117:17 120:21	21:19,25
129:22	benefit	125:22 129:21	22:4,10,18,25
basis	15:24 16:4	birth	23:4 24:13
6:13 15:11	19:7 77:6	13:6,8,9,11,	25:13,16
17:6 18:17	84:1,3,10	24	books
26:18 72:1	88:4 108:15	Biscayne	25:5
81:12 91:25	123:13	126:2,4,10,	boondoggle
Bay	benefits	15,18,23	118:21
8:9 36:10,11	7:11 18:4	128:15 129:24	bordering
37:6 38:16	59:5 62:13	bit	49:1
124:13 126:3,	70:21 117:17	10:1 30:8	
4,10,11,15,	bidder	81:21 99:2	borrowing
18,23 128:15	21:19 22:4	111:2 127:7	23:18 26:7
129:24	bifurcated	black	bottom
BBSEER	10:7	24:14	10:20 36:10
124:4 126:1	-		bought
	big	blend	87:24 105:12
begin	14:10 19:20	17:16	boundaries
17:2 115:5	24:2 32:22	blinders	96:1
beginning	46:6 73:4,13	82:20	
6:15,24 30:11	79:23 104:19	blow-up	boundary
64:2	106:19 107:11	125:22	40:8 54:12
begrudgingly	bigger	board	76:4 77:1
	13:22	Doard	80:17 81:4

June 15, 2021

92:12 100:23	121:17 122:15	117:7,10	Carlos
box	123:9,23	118:20 119:17	119:20
33:22	building	124:9	carries
bragging	70:11 84:14	cafeteria	4:23 8:1,15
30:23,24	106:7	30:13	9:4,22 21:14
brand	buildings	call	23:12 24:9
34:10	16:15	27:14 34:6,7	25:10,23
break	built	63:5 68:3	31:16 32:12,
12:10 48:9	54:21 67:23	called	21 37:1 38:13
	82:5,18 83:4	9:11 120:5	42:1 47:18
briefly	85:5,11 86:22	calling	case
71:14 72:25	114:11,14	129:5	43:21 48:15
bring	125:4		50:6,10,16
35:1 57:8	bullying	calls 108:16	51:7 57:16
124:17 126:19	45:15		67:4,11 70:14
bringing	bureaucrat	calm	79:15 91:19
37:6	46:6	12:24 14:9	109:18 110:12
broader		calms	116:23 122:21
65:20	bureaucrats	13:3	124:7
brought	45:24	camp	cases
38:4 64:22	busily	12:11	51:23 94:14
124:18	33:5	campaigns	cash
Broward	business	73:16 118:19	15:25 18:3,4,
8:24	14:18,19	camps	23,24,25 19:4
	33:19,25	10:7 12:11	25:16
Buckles	34:19 36:12		caused
48:2 53:5,7	buy	canal 86:21,23	97:8
bucks	12:23,24 88:3	87:5,15 121:3	caution
128:23	buying		44:14
bud	62:17	capacity	CDMP
104:17 107:18		59:17 60:21	67:22 69:13,
budget		119:18	14
23:6 44:24,25		capital	
budgets	cabinet	4:17 16:7	cease 69:15
45:4,8,20	3:4 6:8 21:7	17:18,20	
buffer	30:7,9 31:2	18:11	Center
124:23 125:7	38:24 39:8,	capitol	22:4
126:6	11,16 40:6,18	45:24 46:7	centers
build	47:4 48:14	cares	120:19
88:3 114:12	81:24 112:9	29:5 122:21	CERP
00.3 114.17	116:3,20		

June 15, 2021

55:5 61:18	51:10	12:15	19:10
75:1 77:25	chance	choice	clean
78:2,6,15	57:18	64:17 89:18	126:3
86:15,19 87:8	change	choices	clear
88:8,18,22	9:8 10:2	66:23 67:2	50:2 71:19
89:15 103:13,	16:13 68:18	98:3 121:9	73:2 74:11
16 105:3,8	79:15 111:15		77:12 79:16
107:17 117:20		choose	88:17 92:5
122:7	changed	66:8	94:1 105:7
certify	121:1	chosen	109:14 110:8
32:3	changing	66:18	
cetera	11:20 81:7	Christopher	client
11:12,19	chapter	50:17 59:1	72:24
15:7,17	32:4 40:18	circuit	clients
109:11	41:8,11 45:4	15:2	112:8
	49:5 51:16,19		cliff
CFO	52:5 53:17	circulate	16:17
8:13 24:7	127:3	49:14 56:20	climate
25:21 33:14,	Chapters	circulation	68:18
17 34:16 35:9	44:23 47:6	52:23 69:3	
38:9 41:24		cite	close
43:6,19 44:2	character	94:22	6:10 18:22
45:11	74:10	cities	119:22
CFO's	characteristics	45:19	closer
23:19	18:2	citizens	121:5
chain	characterizatio	120:7 121:20	closest
14:25	n		46:3
chains	70:23	claim	closing
10:22 11:14	characterized	79:1	19:7 118:3
14:17	28:7 70:24,25	claims	Club
	·	61:4,15,20	
chairman	charge 23:17	73:10,16,18,	127:1,4
41:2		24 74:2	CNOOC
challenge	chief	clam	9:11
48:17 51:21	4:7 58:22	37:9	co-counsel
64:22	72:19 119:14	clams	72:21 81:22
challenged	children	37:9	112:5
34:3 91:20	13:10		co-petitioner
challenges	China	clarify	73:1
90:18	9:13 14:23	119:17	
		classes	co-petitioners
challenging	chips	15:23 16:5	111:25

	_	26 24 25 2	
Coalition	comments	36:24 37:2	commuters
124:16 127:24	25:25 33:18	38:11,14 39:6	84:2,4 108:4
Coast	36:16 58:6,13	44:14 45:12	121:8
124:23	81:12 86:18	47:3,16 58:23	commuters'
Coastal	87:11 117:2	60:7,8 72:20	83:12
36:15	119:12 124:8	111:1	commutes
coin	commission	commissioners	93:11
15:22	36:13 40:1,5,	43:10 108:21	commuting
colleague	15 41:12,21	commissions	83:9 94:4,9,
30:24 48:2	43:1,5 44:8,	45:7	16
53:5 59:1	21 45:10	commitment	comp
82:2	48:8,14 49:8,	116:25	53:15 69:19
-	13,14,16,19,	committed	71:17 84:16
colleagues	20,24 50:6,	128:18	85:7 89:12
30:19	22,25 53:20,		91:7 129:3
collect	21,23 54:1	communication	
28:24 124:25	56:1,2,8,11,	5:7,11 43:17, 21,23 44:9	companies 4:17 16:2
collections	17,22,24,25		
28:22	57:5,16,18,24	communications	company
Collectively	58:10,16 64:10 65:3	44:13	9:10 36:11
55:22	67:4,25 70:15	communities	comparison
colleges	72:11 80:11	66:9	11:4 12:8,9
24:15	85:19 86:17	community	comparisons
	97:16 98:3,9	40:9 59:6	10:14
combat	101:21 105:6	65:18 66:4	compatibility
28:6	108:12 109:2	70:13 87:20	41:18
combination	110:2,5	98:11 119:24	
11:22	112:12 113:6,	120:4,12	competent 54:3
combined	18	128:25	
28:15	commission's	commute	competitive
commending	48:1 49:17	59:12 67:18	22:1,12
97:1	56:4,23 57:20	76:23 79:2	complained
comment	58:1 64:16	83:17 93:20,	64:6
45:13 49:10	113:9 115:25	22 94:3 95:12	complete
87:12 119:9		96:4	67:16 69:22
124:9 129:11,	commissioner	commuted	completely
13	4:2,5,8,25	82:21	19:19 76:18
commenters	5:1,6,14	commuter's	81:11 91:21
119:11	21:12 23:10 25:8 31:14	128:21	completion
±±/-±±	25:8 31:14 32:10,19	120.21	122:5
	32.10,13		

	<u> </u>		1
compliance	118:7 122:6	conditions	82:12 93:17
41:7 44:15	123:4,20	14:12 25:25	connects
49:5 52:5	compromise	26:10 37:18	69:8
53:16,18	76:24 77:7	conducted	conservation
55:18 56:14,	117:14	40:21	36:13 121:16
16 57:4,9	CON-7J	conducting	conservative
62:10 64:11	88:20 89:11	51:17	7:7
65:6 72:8	concept	confidence	
80:9 93:9	77:2 78:1,25	28:14	consideration
113:16,18,20,	125:9,11,23		36:9 37:12
21 115:24		confines	40:8 41:2
123:21	concern	114:18	47:19 49:17
compliant	38:4 101:14	confirm	56:23 69:20
36:19	111:17	39:1	considerations
complicated	concerned	conflict	68:18
119:1	113:25	32:15 39:17	considered
comply	concerns	87:4	90:21 105:16,
54:5 106:16	117:4 123:24	conflicts	21 106:7
complying	conclude	39:13	consistency
121:9	76:3,11,14	confluence	41:17 53:12
	80:23	10:13	88:7,18,19
components 14:22 122:13	concluded		89:1,11,13,15
	54:16,19,24	<pre>congested 59:18 94:13</pre>	103:14
compound	55:2,8	95:11	consistent
17:20	concluding		49:15 56:21
comprehensive	41:4 129:10	congestion	59:8 67:22
41:9 48:18,21		48:25 55:10	68:16 69:5
51:15 53:12	conclusion	59:21 73:15,	76:12 78:10
55:3 57:11	53:11 55:16	17 76:21	86:22 87:14
61:13,17	71:16	93:15	88:11 115:9,
64:24 65:9,23	conclusions	Congress	10
66:2 74:6,13,	53:9,21,24,25	28:16 125:11	consolidated
18 75:1,18	54:6,9 62:9	Congressman	23:15 47:21
77:1,9 80:14,	65:5	119:14,19	51:24
24 86:14	conclusively	connect	constituents
92:17 98:5	64:7 67:13	52:17	70:17 98:10,
100:20,21	concurrency	connected	11
102:3 104:5,	61:8	16:6 34:8	
11 105:16	condition		constitutes
106:18 109:15	10:10	connection	71:16 91:9
110:14 112:25		8:4,18 63:23	

constitution	continues	Corporation	19:4
39:10	45:14 60:9	8:6,20	counties
constraints	continuing	Corps	45:20
11:9	52:16 61:2	36:18	countries
construct	contrary	correct	14:3
121:2	61:4 65:17	50:7 62:11	
	85:15	64:14 74:5	country
constructed		79:7 99:4,6,	14:16,22
63:24	contributions	17 102:1	46:16
construction	6:22	104:1 105:9	county
8:8,23 48:22	contributions/	106:22 108:12	3:5 8:9,24
50:2 117:13	taxpayers		36:11 40:11
consumers	19:16	correctly	41:10 43:10
11:23	control	60:12 89:19	45:7 47:24,25
consumes	28:5 46:17	corridor	48:17,18,19,
120:8	85:8 122:14	52:19,21	21 49:23
consuming	controversial	54:10 121:18	50:16 51:8,15
11:16 12:3	37:20	cost	52:12 55:24
	controversy	14:21,24	57:10,12
consumption	120:15	15:11 19:12	58:19,24,25
10:21 11:2		21:20 22:5,19	59:13 60:2,7
12:25	conversation	23:18 26:7	61:5 63:15
contained	5:2 10:12	100:18	64:16 67:4
53:9 58:16	conversations	128:16,19	68:1,5 69:8,
67:5 68:7	51:5	cost-	15 70:15,24
contamination	conveyance	prohibitive	71:5 72:12
55:1	86:20	120:24	74:12,14,17
contemplating	conveyed	cost/benefit	75:5,6,9,13,
121:7	117:4	98:4,8	18 76:8,20,
	convoluted		21,22 77:5,
contents 51:4	81:14,17	costs	10,20,23 78:7
	-	70:11	79:3,17 80:2,
contesting	coordinate	counsel	7,9,24 81:6
101:12	48:4	43:12 48:1,2	82:3,4 85:25
context	coordination	51:1 53:5	87:11,16
46:18	53:3	66:20 72:25	88:1,21 89:19
continue	cope	105:9 106:23	90:2,8 93:14,
30:25 38:4	16:25	107:7 110:23	16 95:8 97:16
63:15 112:7	Corp	113:16 116:19	98:3,8,25
127:25	9:14	counterintuitiv	101:21 102:7,
) · <u>· ·</u> ·	ely	17 106:1,11
			107:13,15
	<u> </u>	1	

June 15, 2021

108:1,12,21	covers	98:15,18,19	
100:1,12,21	40:11	99:6,9,10,16	
110:11,13		102:22,24	
113:6,9 114:9	CPI	103:3 107:8,9	Dade
115:7,25	27:20	109:10,16,25	75:18 76:1
117:5,12,22	crazy	114:20,23	80:13,24
118:6,15,24	34:22	115:1 117:21	118:6,24
120:4 122:25	creamed	119:4 126:8,	dadgum
123:7,23	17:1	10 127:9	12:15
	create	128:14	daily
County's	44:23 47:6		120:13
52:21 54:24		critically	
56:13,15 57:3	created	127:11	damage
59:9 61:13	25:2 60:21	cross	78:21 108:3
63:4 64:24	82:15 127:10	94:25	128:20
69:10 71:6,17	creating	cross-	darn
73:4,8 74:2,6	12:6	examination	103:6
76:1,3,11,13,	credibility	73:19 94:24	data
17 77:8 78:13	73:3	97:2 110:20	5:10 51:13
79:9 80:13 81:14 83:15	credible	Crossings	55:8 65:1,10,
	71:11 73:5,	8:22	13,15,19
84:11,15,19, 24 85:7 86:7	11,21	CRRSA	66:10,13,16,
88:20 89:12,	credit	24:20,24 29:5	24 67:1,5,9
22 91:7,8	23:17,21,24		70:20,22 71:4
95:4,18 96:9	24:3 26:10	crucial	74:9,14,19
102:21 104:2,	29:7,8 73:6	117:11	76:15 82:3
102:21 104:2,	94:25 101:22	cruise	83:14 86:21
107:16,20,25		11:19	89:2 104:21
109:20,21	credits	cry	110:5
123:14,20	62:23 79:20	120:17	database
128:22 129:3	Creek	current	31:19
	40:9	16:18 17:24	date
countywide	crime	52:10,14	6:11 27:14
68:16 121:19	46:17	61:13 87:25	32:6
couple	crisis	121:2	
10:5 12:22	28:6	cut	day
13:10 71:14	critical	14:25 19:8	16:12 30:25
coupled	54:22 62:3		33:9 97:10
61:12	71:21,23	Cutler	115:16 120:6
court	72:3,4 79:6,	124:13 126:11	days
4:22 31:22	11,12 89:7	cuts	35:8 120:8
112:20 113:2	11,12 09.1	46:16	

deal	decision	46:15,20	Department
14:10 24:2	41:11 65:8	degrade	3:6 7:20 30:1
34:12 106:19	70:15,19 78:5	99:5	31:24 36:14
dealing	100:19 105:2	delay	51:19 74:20
31:18,20	108:8 112:23	97:8	Department's
32:14 34:19	113:3,10		31:19
111:3	115:25 127:25	delegation	depend
Dear	decision-making	37:13	129:23
116:3,20	68:19	deliver	
	decisional	120:1	dependence
debatable	43:22	delivery	84:23
53:14,18		124:4 126:1	depending
66:22 80:10	decisions	demand	15:21 16:8
98:12	104:19,20	11:22 12:5	deployed
debate	decline	60:23 61:1,3	29:5
55:17	13:12,13	77:18	derth
debated	deemed		26:13
101:5	120:24	demographic	
Debbie	defeats	13:21	Desantis
34:7	66:4	demographics	3:2 4:4,19
		13:6	5:15 6:4,7
Deborah	defend	demonstrate	7:15,22,25
126:25 127:3	113:9	94:2	8:11,14,25
debt	defer	demonstrated	9:3,18,21,24
21:17,22	105:18	104:21	20:1 21:4,6,
22:3,7,23	deference		10,13 23:8,1
24:16,18	66:22 89:20	demonstrative	24:5,8 25:6,
25:1,4 26:8,	98:13	58:17	9,19,22 29:1
19,24 27:14	define	denial	30:4,6 31:12
decade	91:15	39:7	15 32:8,11,
10:17 18:18,		Dennis	17,20,23
24 119:23	defined	50:17 58:23	33:12,16
	19:7		36:4,7,22,25
decades	definition	deny 39:1 88:22	38:7,10,12
122:3	76:4 91:9,11,	39.1 88.22	39:2,9 40:4
decide	12 92:2	DEO	41:22,25 43:4
65:12 66:10	deflation	41:6	44:17 46:8
77:6,10,19	13:22	DEP	47:11,14,17
86:17 97:17		38:19 77:17	48:9 58:22
decided	defund	78:9,20	72:13,17,19
71:12 121:1	46:11	103:22 117:2	85:22 86:3
	defunding		88:6 89:21

90:19 92:11	determination	difference	discussed
96:23 97:21	8:4,18 53:18	77:15	11:13 51:4
98:14 99:13,	89:1,3,14	difficult	115:22
19,23 100:3,	103:14	34:13 45:17	discussing
16,25 101:4,	determinations	83:12	58:14
24 102:4,15	73:3	digit	discussion
103:10,12	determine	94:14	53:6 57:17
105:18,20	50:7 71:4		94:21
108:11,13,23	88:7	dinner	
111:20 112:15		11:24 12:23	displace
113:11 116:5,	determined	direct	102:12
11,13,18	36:19 37:20	49:13 56:20	displayed
119:6 121:22	52:4 53:15	directing	58:15
124:2 126:24	54:9 55:11	57:9	disposition
design	103:18	direction	45:2,6
60:17 63:20	determines	7:7,12	dispute
designated	40:16 54:3	directions	105:24
54:21 79:6,11	determining		
98:23 99:9,14	49:4 66:13	97:9	dissolved
107:9 109:9,	develop	director	123:1
16 111:16	68:13 69:1	6:2 21:2 30:2	district
115:14 127:10	84:16	116:22 127:3	40:10,12,13,
		129:17	21 41:19 55:6
designation	developed	directs	60:8 63:25
71:24	82:24 118:9	41:12	74:22 77:17,
designed	development	disagree	24 78:4,9,20
54:14 92:23,	40:9 47:22	102:7	82:7 86:19
25 95:17	48:16 50:10		87:7,12 89:14
designs	54:10,11	disclosed	103:21 105:1
64:7 87:3	60:22,24 61:8	44:15	117:3 120:25
destroy	63:4,7 65:25	disclosing	127:18
99:5 128:12	70:6,9 71:17	44:3	district's
	76:9 80:14	discouraged	87:1
destroying	90:10,21	80:16	disturbing
84:9	91:2,10,13,15	discourages	45:14
detail	92:3,6 101:1,	92:14,17	
65:3 75:24	18 106:1,7,14		diversification
81:21	118:16 127:16	discouraging	18:1
detailed	128:7	70:6	diversifier
55:3 64:5	dial	discuss	17:11 18:22
75:14 77:2	16:11	49:10 56:4	Division
87:3			21:1 47:20

DOAH	dramatically	125:5	electronics
31:22 48:5	11:5	easy	12:17
50:20,21	draw	29:8 59:3	element
51:10	6:23 110:2	eaten	52:22 71:19
document	drawn	60:22	92:6,9
81:1	24:2 95:25		element's
dollars	drive	echo 127:7	68:12
19:22 28:24	62:18 77:22		elements
97:25 100:18	94:7,17 105:3	economic	52:24 53:3
108:4 114:8,	107:1 117:17	11:3 13:20	
9,11,13,17	125:22 129:22	51:19 69:7	elements'
118:17 122:8	driver	economical	68:2
128:16,20	13:20	69:2	elicited
Dolphin		economies	94:24
52:10 128:11	driving	10:24	emphasis
door	10:14 12:7	economy	100:22
18:6	drop	9:25 28:21	employees
	96:8	ecosystem	19:17
<pre>dormitory 22:15 24:12,</pre>	drops	122:11	employer
17	83:6	effect	19:15
	due	23:16,20	enact
double	81:19 82:2	25:14 82:20	49:24
80:6 83:3 94:14	durable	99:1 112:24	encourage
	14:12	effective	70:8 127:21
double-digit	duration	94:25 113:1	
95:13	28:14		encouraging
Doubled	-	effectuated	127:5
28:13	Dustin	25:5	end
doubt	3:4	efficient	26:21 30:8
28:4 70:21	Dylan	69:2	39:17 64:3
108:16	40:2 43:2	efforts	69:8 76:19
downtown	119:9	63:2	83:11 103:24
82:6,12,22		Eighth	112:17
83:2,18 84:2,	E	52:15	118:21,22
5 93:18	earnings	elect	endanger
draft	19:13	108:12	120:18
49:14 56:20		elected	endangered
drainage	ease 69:3,21	108:15	79:12,14
63:16			107:2,10
	east	electronic 4:14 31:19	109:10 110:1
	13:25 124:23	4.14 31.13	
	I	I	1

ended 33:7 energy 9:11 112:9	19:19 63:19 125:4 129:8	essence	108:10 116:25
33:7 energy			
energy		111:14	117:15,18,20,
	environmental		25 118:25
9:11 112:9	36:14 50:1,5	essential	120:19 121:6,
	62:1,13 63:10	54:5	24 122:1,2,3,
enforced	64:5 68:17,22	establish	4,6,10,11,20,
108:20	69:5 74:20	66:5	24 123:7,12,
enforcement	88:9,12 117:9	estate	13,14,17
44:24 45:3,17	121:10	16:8,9 18:10,	124:20 126:9,
46:12 47:2	environmentally	20	22 127:23
engineering	128:6,10	esteemed	128:15,18
75:15 78:2,6	•	106:23	129:7
enhance	envisioned	estimated	everyday
63:1	115:15	6:12 48:5	120:14
	equate		evidence
enormous	81:15	estimates	54:4 58:10,13
59:2	equipment	28:23	73:12 82:24
ensuring	15:1 23:15,21	et al	83:13,20,24
107:14	equities	48:16	84:24 99:8,15
enter	16:2,4 18:10	Europe	107:7 110:6
43:8,13	·	15:7	114:22 115:1
123:18	equity	evening	
entered	16:6 17:12,14	95:11	eviscerate
49:3 52:3	18:8,11,15,		76:25
	19,20	events	exceeding
entering	equity-like	10:14	7:19 8:5,19
43:19	18:8	eventually	excellent
enthusiasm	erred	12:20 101:8	17:6
33:23 34:21	89:4,10	Everglades	exception
enthusiastic	erroneous	49:1 55:4	91:13,22
30:23	71:15	61:17 62:1	115:3
entire		63:2 74:25	
41:13 95:6	error	75:2,11 76:6,	exceptional
104:10 124:23	72:6 79:14	24 77:23	95:1
126:13	90:15 107:24	78:16,24	exceptions
	errors	79:24 80:19	55:19,21,23
entirety	64:18	84:8 86:5,10,	73:8 102:20
50:19	escalators	11,12,14	103:1 109:6,
envelope	16:23	87:21,22	21,24
76:5 80:18	Escambia	100:24 101:2,	excited
		8 105:8,10,11	37:3

exciting	expansion	extensive	123:15
33:21	115:14,17	112:2	fact-finding
exclusive	expect	extent	84:18
73:6	37:24	16:22 25:15	factors
excuse	expected	62:16 76:19	11:8 41:16
97:8 99:21	28:24	82:11 87:4	facts
executed	expensive	90:15	76:1 100:5,6
26:22	119:1	extraordinarily	102:13 109:1,
executing	expert	26:11 27:16	7
26:17	71:6 78:13	extraordinary	factual
	79:19 83:15	10:15 28:7,11	71:20 72:6
executive	84:19 106:25	29:3	100:12
6:2 30:2 39:2		extrapolate	
116:21 129:17	experts 40:22 83:15	97:11	fail 96:11
exemptions	128:22	extremely	
34:2		94:13	failed
Exhibit	explain		60:14
124:6	53:8	eye	failing
exhibits	exposure	26:4 27:18	99:7
41:1 50:21	19:23		fails
58:15	express	F	82:8 104:23
exist	69:12	face	fair
71:5 104:5,9	expressly	81:18 101:22	55:17
existed	60:25 69:14	facilitate	fairly
13:19	expressway	4:16 57:22	53:14,18
existence	48:23 52:10,	58:5	66:21 80:10
101:17	14,16 63:13	facilities	98:12
	67:21 71:16,	24:19 74:11	fall
existing 52:25 60:17	25 114:10,11	76:5 81:8	111:12
62:22 63:6	115:13 123:2		falls
69:11 82:25	128:11	facility 92:7,9 105:25	77:11
83:3,5 86:24	extend	106:16	
96:7	128:11		FAM 24:19
exists	extension	fact	
66:14	52:9,13,18	44:12 53:9	families
	67:21 69:18,	54:2,7,8 81:10,13,15	120:9
expand	21 82:5 83:4	82:15,24 89:8	Family
36:12	87:25 94:6,	99:15 107:7	47:23 50:11
expanding	11,18 117:14	108:25	111:22
37:11 40:13	121:4,5	111:12,13	

family-owned	105:13 106:10	final	finding
34:23	108:6 122:9	4:11 32:4,7	53:23 54:15
		47:8 49:11,	62:2 64:10
FAMU 24:13	federally 79:10 98:24	12,13,14,16	71:13,20
	107:9 109:16	51:25 56:2,5,	findings
FAMU's	129:22	9,20,22 57:1	53:8 54:2,7,8
24:16 25:4		58:3 63:19	59:7 62:8
faraway	feel	64:7,12 65:2	65:4 79:5
14:23 45:24	30:18 129:11	68:19 72:9	80:12 81:10,
46:7	Feiro	78:5,12 80:8	13,15 84:25
farming	119:13	85:20,24	89:8 93:6
103:4	felt	86:25 88:25	100:13 123:15
farmland	95:23,24	89:13 105:2	fine
76:8 80:20	Ferreira	109:2,12	96:3
100:24 101:2	72:25 116:3,	112:13 118:7,	
102:13,22	10,12,16,19,	14 123:18	fire
103:8	21	129:13	3:5 28:22
fast	Ferro	finally	fiscal
14:11	119:16,20	57:15 63:17	6:25 7:18
faster	field	94:16	8:4,18 26:21
82:12 93:17	54:25 61:23	Finance	28:16
	63:14 84:9	8:6,20 21:1,4	fiscally
fatal	85:3,8,11,12	financial	128:6,10
62:6	118:8,13,14	4:1 28:6,15	Fish
favor		29:6	36:11,12
26:15 88:13	fields		Fisher
107:25 112:23	85:2 118:4,5	financially 28:20	40:2,6 43:2
113:7 116:16	fight		44:20 47:4,19
favorable	112:8	financing	119:13 121:23
24:4 26:11	figure	23:16	124:3 126:25
FDOT	30:17 124:22	financings	128:2 129:10
63:25	file	26:22	Fishery
feasible	32:3 47:7	find	36:17
62:17	115:3	18:1 56:13,15	fishing
Fed	filed	57:3 61:24	34:24
26:4 27:18	41:1 44:4	62:5,9 65:5	
	51:10 55:21,	71:10 72:1,8	fittings
federal	23 56:1	73:25 79:25	12:17
10:8 12:4,11	filing	89:19 93:8	five-year
24:21 28:4	4:14	103:21 109:25	6:20
79:6 98:23		113:19 115:24	

fixed	9:7 122:14,19	formations	Fried
17:4,15	flow	13:15	4:8,25 5:14
flat	48:24 63:22	forward	21:12 23:10
72:6	122:16 128:14	37:7 38:18	25:8 31:14
flatly	129:25	113:2 123:5	32:10,19
60:19	flowing	124:17 129:4	36:24 37:2
flaws	26:12	found	38:11,14 39:6
62:6	FLWAC	59:19 60:10,	45:12 47:3,16
	40:7	14 61:4 68:8	58:23 72:20
flip 14:7,11	focus	70:7 73:5,10,	111:1,21
-	67:17	11,20 74:2,5,	Friends
floating		11,17 75:19	128:2,5,24
114:9	folks	83:20 84:13	front
flood	12:12 39:4	88:10,23	30:8,22 38:2
122:14	46:22	90:5,15 93:3,	117:10
flooding	follow	6 100:7	FSU's
120:24 125:3	95:4 123:25	101:10 115:9	22:3
floods	124:8	118:6,11	full
107:13	follow-on	123:6	7:8 12:14
Florida	25:24	Foundation	fully
4:13,17 6:11	food	121:24 122:1,	12:6 55:14
7:19 8:6,10,	14:4	2,20 123:17	
20 11:2,18	Foote	four-lane	function
15:19 19:12,	126:25 127:2,	48:23 52:16	10:18 73:7
20 21:18	3	fourth	fund
22:13,16 26:3	footprint	37:25	6:11,13 18:10
32:1,4 36:14	78:2	frame	36:1
37:4,5 40:1,		96:14	fundamental
4,16 41:12	force		65:8 74:7
46:10,23 49:5	108:3	Francisco	107:20
50:8 53:19	forecast	50:12	funding
55:5,18	33:2	frankly	7:8,10
56:14,16	forget	129:22	funds
57:4,9 74:21	104:10,11,12	free	25:2 28:8
91:18 103:20	forgiven	15:8 129:11	122:5
117:1,3	24:20,22,24	Freedom	furniture
120:25 123:21	form	34:10,20	12:17
128:3,6,24	57:14 63:11	fresh	
129:14,18		37:4 126:3	furthered
Florida's	<pre>formally 127:9</pre>	57-1 120-5	68:9 69:13,23

furthers	Gimenez	goods	44:17 45:13
59:8 63:5	119:14,21,22,	68:15 69:4	46:8 47:3,4,
69:1 70:2	25	govern	11,14,17
87:22	give	45:2,5	48:9,13 58:21
furthest	3:6 17:17,19,	governance	72:13,17,18
85:4	23 23:23	14:2,5	81:23 85:18,
future	26:20 39:15		22 86:3 88:6
50:2 52:21	75:5,8,9,12	government	89:21 90:19
57:13,17	79:3 94:24	45:24 46:2,3,	92:11 96:23
69:12,18	108:15 109:15	6,11,14 53:2	97:21 98:6,1
101:16,18	110:24 120:12	65:11 66:17,	99:13,18,19,
104:18 105:13	giving	23 70:14	23 100:3,16,
107:14 121:12	75:4,6 84:2	105:13 106:10	25 101:4,24
107.14 121.12	97:18 119:2	108:6 116:1	102:4,15
		government's	103:10,12
G	glad	53:17	105:18,20
Garcia	127:20	governments	108:11,13,23
47:25 50:14	global	41:15 45:16	111:11,19
72:22	11:14 13:5	66:8 127:11	112:12,15
	17:11 18:19	Governor	113:11,14
gather	glossed	3:2,3 4:4,6,	116:3,5,11,
5:9	118:5	19,25 5:15	13,18,20,24
gave	goal	6:4,6,7 7:15,	119:6,8,16
73:5 101:22	68:3,13 69:1	22,25 8:11,	121:22 124:2
general			9 126:24
4:7,21 7:24	goals	14,25 9:3,18, 21,24 20:1	Governor's
9:2,20 25:25	68:17 69:6	•	5:8
32:2 41:15	gobble	21:4,5,6,10,	
43:12,14	103:7	13 23:8,11	grand
47:13 58:22	good	24:5,8 25:6,	84:5
72:19 96:24	3:2 4:6 6:6,7	9,19,22 29:11	grant
97:6 108:24	15:18 21:5,6	30:4,6,7	109:21
109:8,13,23	30:5,6 33:3,	31:12,15	gratuitous
111:20 113:15	12 36:6,7	32:8,11,17,	108:2
General's	48:10,13	20,23 33:12,	gratuitously
5:8	58:21 72:18	15,16 36:4,7,	78:23
	73:17 77:19	22,25 37:2	
generally	85:25 86:2	38:7,10,12,	great
10:6 16:4	100:5 101:20	15,17 39:2,6,	20:1 29:9
generating	103:7 111:19	9 40:4,6,18	33:22 37:10
21:22 22:7,22	119:16 121:25	41:22,25	97:4 119:6
26:24	124:21 127:2	43:4,6,7,14	greater

7:1		harped	50:21 51:25
gridlock	Н	94:22	67:7 73:20,2
120:13	habitat	harps	83:13 110:18
gross	54:22 62:3	81:6	hearings
6:12 21:22	71:22,24	Hawkins	32:16 47:8,2
22:7,23 26:24	72:3,4 79:6,	3:5	60:2 70:16
Grosso	11,12 89:7	hay	heightened
50:15 72:18,	98:15,19,20	27:8	38:3
20 95:1	99:5,9,10	hazardous	held
100:4,21	109:17	61:22	51:25 60:2
101:15 102:2,	114:20,22		hemp
11,19 104:2	128:13	HBCU 24:22 25:2	5:3
105:23 108:13	half		herring
109:4,13	26:23 48:7	head	106:3
110:8 111:17	59:15	43:17,18,22	
118:3	hand	102:9	high
groundwater	23:19 92:7	headed	16:20 128:19
125:16,20	99:3 114:21	7:11	higher
growers	handed	headwinds	25:14 27:15
37:10	124:6	13:21	highest
growing	handle	health	10:16 12:1
16:3 122:19	61:22	7:3 10:25	highlights
grown		hear	30:10 65:7
13:16 37:5	happen 12:21 13:5	85:19 127:24	highway
	17:25 82:17	heard	75:3,11,22
growth 17:19 69:7,14		3:10 10:8	76:3 77:19
104:11 106:17	happened	17:14 67:4	78:8,19,23
	16:24	70:16 96:25	80:5,15 82:1
guaranteed	happening	97:3 98:10	83:11 84:14
19:2	46:21 103:24	100:7,9	85:4 108:22
guaranteeing	happy	110:18 117:5	118:11,18
28:8	7:13 113:13	128:15	121:3
guess	hard	hearing	highways
14:25 90:19,	12:16,18	4:22 9:3,21	78:22
22 103:14	33:13	24:8 25:23	Hilton
Gulf	harmful	32:11,20	16:11
109:18	122:16	36:25 40:20,	hinder
guys	Harmony	24 41:1,13,	90:2
101:11 103:15	127:16,21	14,25 44:7	historically
		47:10 49:2	1115 COL TCALLY

24:14	How's	imbalance	implementing
history	32:23	12:7 26:14	32:14 65:23
12:2 13:7	humbly	immediately	66:1
hit	121:20	62:19	implications
28:13 98:6	hurdles	impact	129:20
Hold	101:25	26:3 50:1	import
124:15	hurt	74:10,24 75:1	71:21
Holdings	103:16,17	76:23 96:2	importance
47:23 50:11	hydrology	127:19	117:11 119:5
	63:21	impacted	important
holidays 33:8,21		71:24 127:17	17:10 18:7
	hypocritical 45:19	impacts	19:24 30:21
holistically	43.19	61:25 62:1	31:3 64:19
15:12		64:5 79:22	74:4 76:25
home	I	83:16 95:23	77:3 107:1,8
11:16	idea	impair	10 112:10
Homestead	17:16 23:23	19:9 99:10	114:24 120:3
94:6,10,18	34:21 45:23	impaired	121:11
hope	78:22 104:16,	72:2	125:11,14
15:4 78:8	17 108:7		127:12
hospital	ideal	impairs	importantly
82:7,23	16:9	72:3	68:22 128:17
hospitality	identification	impeccable	imported
29:1	65:24	97:2	91:6
	identified	impediment	imposes
Hotels	51:20 54:8	27:10	63:10
16:9,11	86:13 98:18,	imperiling	
hour	19 107:2	84:8	improper
16:12 48:6		imperils	110:21
hours	identify	86:4	improve
97:11 120:8	41:8	impinge	48:24 59:20,
house	ignore	101:8	21 60:10
13:17,19	73:4		68:10 82:6
household	illiquid	impinges	94:3 96:15
12:1 13:15	18:10,12	103:25	126:4,15
	illustrates	impinging	improvement
housing	7:9	102:10	36:1 69:25
8:6,9,20,23	image	implement	70:10 79:2
13:14 22:13	125:12,21,24	33:6 34:2	83:22 84:3
24:19 25:4	123.12,21,24		93:23,24

94:15 97:9,	incorrect	77:18,20	22:5,6,19,21
13,14,16	66:20 105:19	87:13	24:4 25:14
improvements	108:25	infrastructure	26:1,9 27:15,
54:17 55:9	incorrectly	54:13,16	17,22 32:15
60:15,17 61:7	79 : 5	67:23 69:11,	79:1 129:20
71:1,8 83:21	incredibly	17 70:4 71:18	interesting
91:23 93:6	112:23	80:18 90:22,	31:8 46:9
95:6,13,21	India	23,24 91:3	109:24
inadmissible	1101a 14:24	92:7,10,20	interfere
71:11		100:23 104:7	111:8
include	Indian	105:21,22,24,	interference
15:24 38:2	3:5	25 106:15,20	45:19
100:7 121:11	individual	121:18 122:15	
included	4:9	125:4	intergovernment al
23:5,6	individuals	inputs	53:2
	58:9	14:18 95:20	
includes	induced	insane	interim
100:14	60:23,24,25	46:14	38:21
including	61:2	inserted	intermediate
50:19 52:23	industrialized	113:17	112:19
63:24 68:1	13:7	insisted	internal
inclusion	industries	75:14	36:1 88:19
65:22	11:11		89:12
income		instill	internally
17:4,15	<pre>industry 89:25 103:4</pre>	28:14	51:14 67:22
incompatible		institution	115:10
63:4	inflation	19:2	interpret
inconsistencies	9:25 10:4,10,	institutions	89:18 98:24
41:8	16 11:6 13:3	17:13	interpretation
	14:8 15:24	integrated	53:13 64:24
inconsistency 90:16	16:4,10,12,21 17:21,24	68:14,23	91:8,20
	18:23 19:3	integration	102:21,25
inconsistent	26:2 27:24,25	68:18	interpretations
51:14 54:22		intended	64:25 89:9
61:16,21 70:3	inflows	48:24 123:12	
84:15 85:13	19:8		interpreted
86:5,6 88:23 89:3 90:6	inform	<pre>intent 65:22</pre>	89:19
100:12	56:8		interpretive
	information	interest	80:25 81:2
incorporates	35:7 75:4,6	12:2 15:25	interrupted
52:20		21:20,21	

10:22 11:14	issue		91:1 98:17
interruption	19:5,6 22:15	J	100:6 101:10
11:13	39:16 46:21	JAPC	102:7,8
introduction	51:8 57:1	31:21	103:10 104:13
112:5	61:11 70:23		106:2 107:22,
	82:25 84:25	Jim	23 108:19
intrusion	88:8 90:20	30:2	110:19 118:6
125:18	92:15 105:25	Jimmy	123:6,19
invested	114:1,3	34:1	127:6 129:7
26:13 76:6	117:10 119:4	job	judge's
118:22	124:17,18	26:4,5,6	80:12 84:25
investment	129:19	45:17 88:6	100:12 126:21
7:11 10:2	issued		judgment
19:13 108:5	37:22 41:3	jobs 15:17 45:21	9:9 49:21
117:15	47:20		91:1 97:17
investments	issues	Joe	
9:7 18:21	26:7 58:14	60:8	Julie
	64:20,23	John	129:13,16
investor	68:22 73:5	50:12	July
19:1	81:21,25	Johns	51:25
invite	123:16	40:11 41:9	June
119:9			3:3 6:10
invocation	itching	Johnson	10:19 32:24
3:6,8	11:23	109:18	55:20,24
involve	item	join	-
64:20,23	4:8,11 7:16	4:13	justification
•	8:1,2,15,16	joined	82:13
involved	9:4,5 21:7,15	58:25	justifies
43:22	22:11 23:2,7,	Josh	70:10
involves	13 24:10	53:7 56:3	justify
122:12,22	31:17 32:13		78:19
involving	36:18 37:1,12	Joshua	
62:3	38:6,8 40:7	48:1	K
	41:23 43:7	journey	
Iran	44:19,21	124:12	keen
9:11,15	47:12,18,19	judge	26:3 27:18
irreplaceable	48:6,17 49:7	49:3 51:24	keeping
103:8		73:2,20,25	100:22,24
issuance	items	74:2,5,15	-
8:5,19 23:3	31:10 36:9,15	75:14,19 77:3	Kendall
64:12	44:20	79:4,15 81:10	48:22 50:3
		84:13 85:17	52:12,18
		04.12 03.11	54:17 55:9,13

59:6,10,13,20	61:10,14	47:1 49:3	leading
60:1,3,18,21	65:25 68:17	50:8 51:24	35:7
61:7 70:18	69:5 71:18	53:9,13,19,21	learn
73:14 82:17,	74:10 79:13	54:5,6,9	31:9
21 84:4 93:1,	81:5,7 85:8	55:16,18	
5 94:3,6,17	87:23 89:22,	56:15,16	learned
95:15 120:2,	23 92:5,9	57:5,9 62:14	14:15
5,6,11 121:8,	103:2 104:7,	63:9,18 64:21	lease
14,20	18 106:8,9,	73:2,20,25	16:18,23
Kendall's	10,11 111:4,	74:1,5,6,12,	36:10 37:18
67:14	8,15,16	15 75:13,17,	leases
	121:15 127:17	19 76:2,17	16:16 37:14,
Kerbel	lands	77:3,21 79:4,	22,24
50:17 58:21,	63:3 101:1	14 80:11	leasing
23 86:2,9	109:10 110:1	81:10,16	38:2
88:14 90:4	120:23 122:22	85:15,17 88:1	
91:4 92:16	120:23 122:22	89:5,18 90:11	leave
97:5,15 98:6	124:20,25	98:17 100:6,	5:1
99:2,17 100:8	124:20,25	12 103:1,10	leaves
112:22 113:13		104:4,6,9,12,	70:14
key	language	13,15,16,18	left
14:22 16:17	65:18	106:2,6,18	98:8
74:1	large-scale	107:22,23	legal
killed	122:15	108:8,9,14,	50:7 51:2
103:3	largely	15,19 109:14	53:11 62:9,
kind	28:24	110:19 118:5	11,21 64:14,
78:25		123:3,6,19,	18 67:24
	larger 78:3	21,25 129:2,9	81:14 89:8
kinds		laws	90:7,15 93:21
73:24 105:15	largest	50:4	96:19 112:24
knew	122:11		113:5,9
13:19	lastly	lawyer	
Krome	22:11 25:11	97:1 100:5	legally
121:3	late	lead	64:13 92:1
	51:25	3:6 101:7	105:19
	Laura	leader	legislation
	124:3 127:7	122:2	32:14 33:5
Lake		leaders	legislatively
122:17	law	129:6	123:1
land	27:10 35:3		legislature
40:1,4,12,14	44:15,24	leadership	7:5,8 33:20
52:21 53:1	45:3,17 46:11	116:25 117:8	34:11 39:11,
32-21 33-1			34.11 33.11,

letter 87:13 level 12:1 46:24 59:20 60:10 68:4,6,10 75:24 83:6 96:8,9,11,15, 20 104:25 levels 17:25 93:7 Lieutenant 3:4 life 97:12 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	9:16 46:21 0:17 red 24:13 res 5:25 83:12 21:20 : 7:22,23 0:10,11 in 2:20 24:14,	62:24 75:21 104:8,22 123:10 locations 69:18 92:19 long 14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	22:4 27:16 lower 26:8 112:6 lowered 102:23 lowest 13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19 lumber
letter 87:13 level 12:1 46:24 59:20 60:10 68:4,6,10 75:24 83:6 96:8,9,11,15, 20 104:25 levels 17:25 93:7 livels 17:25 93:7 lifetime 17:22 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	3:11 :igated 12:17 :igation 16:8 9:16 46:21 0:17 red 24:13 res 5:25 83:12 21:20 2 7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	locations 69:18 92:19 long 14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	26:8 112:6 lowered 102:23 lowest 13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19
## 13	igated 12:17 igation 16:8 7e 9:16 46:21 0:17 7ed 24:13 7es 5:25 83:12 21:20 7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	locations 69:18 92:19 long 14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	lowered 102:23 lowest 13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19
level 12:1 46:24 59:20 60:10 68:4,6,10 75:24 83:6 96:8,9,11,15, 20 104:25 levels 17:25 93:7 Lieutenant 3:4 life 97:12 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	12:17 cigation 16:8 re 9:16 46:21 0:17 red 24:13 res 5:25 83:12 21:20 c 7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	69:18 92:19 long 14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	102:23 lowest 13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19
level 12:1 46:24 59:20 60:10 68:4,6,10 75:24 83:6 96:8,9,11,15, 20 104:25 levels 17:25 93:7 Lieutenant 3:4 life 97:12 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	12:17 cigation 16:8 re 9:16 46:21 0:17 red 24:13 res 5:25 83:12 21:20 c 7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	long 14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	102:23 lowest 13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19
12:1 46:24 59:20 60:10 68:4,6,10 75:24 83:6 96:8,9,11,15, 20 104:25 levels 17:25 93:7 Lieutenant 3:4 life 97:12 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	16:8 7e 9:16 46:21 0:17 7ed 24:13 7es 5:25 83:12 21:20 2 7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	14:17 18:14 19:25 66:24 124:12 long-term 17:20 19:1,24 69:16 127:23 longer 13:21 33:11 63:3 95:24 96:1 looked 41:6 83:16 LOS	13:6 14:20 23:18 26:6 LU-1R 90:16 LU-1W 63:10,18 LU-3T 62:15 Lukacs 50:12 111:19
97:12 lifetime 17:22 light 44:2,12 85:5 91:18 limit 57:21 58:7,12 70:4 119:11 limited 50:5 68:25 114:2 Limonar 47:22 48:16	7:22,23 0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	41:6 83:16 LOS	
lifetime 5 17:22 load 1ight 2 44:2,12 85:5 2 91:18 load limit 2 57:21 58:7,12 100 70:4 119:11 3 limited 4 50:5 68:25 4 114:2 5 Limonar 47:22 48:16	0:10,11 an 2:20 24:14,	41:6 83:16 LOS	lumber
30.10 /3.1	4:22 25:15	96:8 lose 19:2 loss 115:7 lot 11:1,10 12:12 14:3,5 15:5, 13,18 90:24 118:17,19,25 119:1 128:23 lots 106:23	M made 26:1 30:25 33:18 50:7,2 59:6 64:19 70:15 71:15 73:2 75:7 81:10 86:4 90:7,14 103: 105:2 108:6 magnitude 23:24 26:20
1154	ally	love	main 96:19
34:7	01:6	34:21 94:22	70.19
list 9:10,15,16 4 119:10 4	0 ± ÷ 0		maintain

102:22	117:3 120:25	24 103:3	109:15
major	managing	massive	measures
11:6 59:22	19:21 45:20	12:4 46:15	28:7 29:3
60:11 68:10	Manatee	masters	meet
93:7 106:15	36:11	32:15	50:25 61:8
121:18	manner	material	118:23
majority	68:16 86:22	109:7	meeting
55:23 56:13	manufacturing	materials	3:3 10:12
57:2	11:12	4:10	21:9 27:19
make			38:20 40:25
14:9 27:8,20	map	matter	49:18 50:18,
28:1 34:5	52:21,22 85:2	51:2 62:14	24 56:24
35:3 38:22	111:6	63:9,18 89:5,	57:17 101:21
43:8 46:25	March	11,17	meetings
48:7 58:3	19:6 21:9	matters	30:10
60:17 63:2	49:2 52:2	92:3	meets
66:23 67:11,	Marine	maturity	40:16
17 71:12	36:17	24:12 25:13	
79:16 80:8	mark	maximum	member
83:11 88:25	6:19 48:2	62:16	44:7 124:4
98:3 104:18,	53:5 56:6	MDX	125:25 127:23
19 108:7	58:4	82:14,15	members
111:25 114:7	market	106:8 108:3	6:8 19:8 21:7
makes	6:19 16:18	123:2	50:22 58:5
12:8,16 53:23	17:18 19:23	MDX's	81:24 116:4,
106:19 118:25	25:25 27:16	83:15	20 117:8,13
making	28:8		119:17 127:5
8:4,18 41:11	marketplace	meager 55:10 70:24	memos
43:16 108:19	28:5	83:21 100:14	5:4
111:11	markets		men
managed	28:15	meaning	47:1
28:21		59:17,21	mention
management	married	meaningful	127:14
55:6 63:25	13:17	113:3	mentioned
74:21 77:17,	Martinez	means	69:6
24 78:4,9,20	60:8	13:9,13	merits
86:19 87:1,7,	mass	15:16,17	43:18 49:22
12 89:14	52:24 55:13	66:22 81:2,3	
103:21 104:11	60:16 84:22	90:24 96:4,21	message
105:1 106:17	97:19 102:22,	meant	44:3

methods	111:22	mode	97:6 108:24
100:13	mind	55:12 84:21	109:8,13,23
Miami	28:4	model	111:20 113:1
48:25 82:6,	minimum	95:18,19,21	Moody's
12,22 93:18	102:17	modern	111:4
Miami-dade	minutes	13:7	morning
43:10 47:24,	21:8 31:11	modes	3:2 4:7 6:6,
25 48:16,18,	57:22 58:2,7	84:12,17	21:5,6 30:5,6
21 49:23	84:6,10 94:7,		36:6,7 48:13
50:16 51:14	11,19,22,23	modification	58:21 72:18
58:18,25	95:7 97:10,23	53:22	86:2 89:7
69:15 98:22	98:1 99:24	modifications	94:7 95:11
107:13,14	100:17	31:20	111:19 119:3
117:12,22	112:14,16	modified	16 121:25
120:4 122:25	113:12 119:12	109:2	127:2
123:1,14	128:22	modify	mortgage
Miami-dade's	misinterpretati	53:20 54:2	8:7,21
54:19,20	on	65:4	motion
128:12	90:8	moment	4:23 8:1,15
Michelle		45:13 95:1	9:4,22 21:14
47:25 50:14	missed	113:15	23:6,12 24:9
72:22	94:20		25:10,23
	missing	money	31:16 32:12,
Middle	75:19	11:25 13:18 15:10 19:3	21 37:1 38:1
13:25	misstatement	22:15,18	42:1 47:5,18
Miguel	107:6	24:17 26:7,	49:13
36:11	mistake	12,23 28:8,18	
miles	102:25	29:4 46:5	move 4:19 7:22
80:17 83:10	mitigate	70:11 118:18,	8:11,25 9:18
86:11 128:11	79:21 80:1	19,22	15:4,5 21:10
million			23:8 24:5
7:19 8:20	mitigation	month	25:6,19 28:2
18:5 21:17,	55:1 62:16,	18:5 33:2	31:12 32:8,1
23,24 22:2,8,	22,24 79:18,	97:11	36:22 38:7
9,12,14,16,	20 80:3,4 87:23	months	41:23 47:11
23,24 23:3		33:1	56:12 57:1
24:1,12,18,	mobility	Moody	68:15 75:10
24,25 26:23,	68:25 69:4	4:7,21 7:24	112:13
25 27:13	81:25 118:24	9:2,20 43:14	
Mills	121:9	47:13 58:22	moved 15:9
47:23 50:11		72:19 96:24	13.3

movement	negative	North	
78:15	18:23 88:13,	4:15 62:18	0
moves	14	Northwest	
64:11 68:24	negatively	52:11 96:3	objection 4:22 7:25
moving	127:17	notably	8:14 9:3
7:5	neighboring	71:9	21:13 23:11
multi-day	120:23	note	24:8 25:9
51:25	net	9:8	31:15 32:11,
multi-state	17:24 115:7	noted	20 37:1 41:25
4:14	network	49:20 57:11	47:16,17
	68:5 69:22	101:15	objections
multifamily			9:21 25:22
8:7,21	news 105:1	notice 31:25 40:24	47:15 60:14
multimodal		44:22 93:19	objective
68:14,23	night		68:2
multiple	16:11 33:10	notices	
54:8	night's	47:6	obligated 89:13
municipal	6:10	notion	
26:10 44:24	nip	105:20	obtain
45:3 46:11	104:17	November	89:13
	nipped	51:17	occupancy
N	107:18	nowadays	84:22
	nominal	90:25	occur
narrow	18:4	number	54:10
82:16 83:16	nominee	4:11 10:23	occurred
national	38:19,25	18:15,16	82:15
9:14 15:12	non-bond	21:8,15 24:10	occurs
36:17 86:12		32:13 37:24	83:8
105:11 123:12	18:22	40:7 44:21	October
129:14	nonissue	56:11,19,25	51:9
nations	106:2,14	57:12,15 67:2	offer
13:7	normal	121:11	121:8
nature	11:8	numbers	
31:23 44:10	normalcy	4:9 6:19	office
necessarily	12:19	10:15,16	4:1 5:8,9,12
98:22	normalize	16:13 79:19	16:15 23:20
necessitate	12:25 13:1	100:7,9	34:18 36:15
51:21	normalizes	numerous	officers
	12:14	59:25 60:1	45:17
needed			

	opportunity	18 129:1,7	overdevelopment
56:21	37:5 43:25	ordering	121:13
official	50:25 51:20	113:2	overdid
64:15 119:18	57:24 87:3	orders	11:1
officially	103:19 121:17	52:1 56:2	overriding
109:9	128:4	ordinance	13:4
officials	opposed	48:19 51:22	overseas
108:15	54:12 55:1	113:7	15:9
Offshore	opposing	ordinary	overturn
9:14	105:9 106:23	28:12	80:11 81:13
OFR	107:6 113:15	organic	overview
4:8,11,16,18	124:15	13:24	48:3 51:7
38:22	opted		
OFR's	64:15	organically 13:11	owed 66:22 89:20
4:5	option		
	56:11,15,19,	organization	Owens
Oil 9:14	25 57:3,7,12,	95:19	128:2,4,5
	15 97:18	organizing	owned
Okeechobee	120:13	124:16	106:11
122:17	options	originally	owner
once-in-a-	56:4,9 68:25	125:23	33:19
lifetime	oral	outcome	owns
121:17	43:21	79:15 88:15	106:8,9,10
one-way	order	106:4 122:21	oyster
79:2	23:23 26:20	outcomes	37:10
one-year	27:15 43:8,19	51:4 66:7	
12:8	47:20 48:5,15	outdated	P
open	49:4,9,15,17	120:20	
6:8 53:2	50:20 52:3,7	outreach	packet
72:10	53:10 54:7	34:4	124:22
operate	55:15,20,22	outstanding	pages
68:3,5 69:1	56:9,12,21,22	24:16,18	67:1,9
operating	57:1,2 59:24	25:13,16	paid
59:15,16	65:2 70:1	26:8,19 27:5,	19:13 25:1
opinion	72:9 80:11 85:16 89:10	15	26:18 114:13,
67:7 71:12	90:20 98:16	overarching	15
	109:1,3,11,21	100:22	Palo
opponents 120:17	114:2 117:24	overdependence	8:8
T70.T/	123:18 125:5,	14:16	pandemic

10:20 11:5	parte	4:7 8:13 24:7	95:14 111:15
14:15 28:13,	43:16,23	25:21 33:14,	119:10
21	participate	17 34:16 35:9	percent
Paola	35:4	38:9 41:24	6:12 17:5,14,
72:24 116:21	participated	43:6 45:11	15,23 18:17,
papers	112:6	58:22 72:19	19,20,21,22
61:3		111:20	19:11 21:20,
	participating	pattern	22,24 22:5,6,
paragraph	90:9	45:15	7,9,19,20,22,
59:23	participation	Paul	25 27:4,21,
parallel	44:11 90:13	50:15 72:21	23,25 83:22
121:3	particularity	81:22 128:2,5	84:1 94:14
paralyzed	53:22	·	performance
120:7	parties	pay 15:15 18:3	6:9 17:6
parameters	44:6 49:9	15:15 18:3 19:10	period
66:5	51:5 55:20,23		10:3 16:21
parcel	56:1,7 57:18	payment	17:8 82:1
37:16	58:1,8,15	24:11 25:12	
	91:19	pays	periods
Park	parties'	18:3	6:20
8:8 86:12	48:4 50:21	PD&E	permissible
105:11 123:12	58:4	106:24	110:4
parking		peak	permit
21:18	partnership	12:5	36:20 54:20
parkway	108:6		64:13 104:25
48:23 50:1,3	parts	Pennsuco	105:18
54:17 59:20	13:23 14:1	77:22 79:3,5,	permits
60:3,22 61:7	46:16 97:25	17 80:2	63:22,24
62:20 94:3,6	100:15	106:25 114:23	65:17
120:2,11	party	117:17 129:21	
121:8,14	43:16,25 46:2	pent-up	permitting
part	122:20	11:22	50:5 62:7
31:1 41:6	pass	people	77:14,15
44:16 52:7	49:21	10:20 11:10,	87:2,19 88:16
55:6 58:11		15,23 12:3,22	104:14 107:19
61:5 65:22	<pre>passed 33:6 34:11</pre>	15:10 18:25	permitting-
66:1 72:6	124:14	28:25 46:4,	level
73:13 86:13,		10,19 59:11	75:15,23
15 87:1 93:16	past	60:4 68:15,24	person
107:11 111:10	96:2	69:4,8 73:14	58:7 80:22
	Patronis	83:8 90:24	

55:13 84:13,	Pines	88:7,24	plants
23	50:13 112:5	89:12,20,22	11:12
personally	Piney	90:11 91:7,8	play
124:13	38:17	92:4,5,8,13,	104:24 108:2
perspective	place	17 97:22	pleased
29:8 34:17	14:23 15:9	98:5,22,25	127:15
	26:6 29:9	99:3,4	
123:8		100:20,21	Pledge
petition	43:24 54:18	102:3,6	3:7,9
40:8,16,20	76:10 77:19	103:5,13	podium
41:4,7,17	125:19	104:6 105:16	56:4
petitioner	places	106:19 107:16	point
110:24	11:1 121:16	109:15 110:14	38:17 43:8
petitioner's	plan	112:25	45:14 64:19
40:22	6:21,25 7:3	115:16,24	74:4,19 78:1
	19:7 41:9	118:7 120:20	79:4 80:8
petitioners	45:25 48:18,	121:2 123:4,	89:20,21
50:9,10,13	20,21 49:4,22	20 129:3	96:6,18,19
51:9 55:17	51:8,10,12,		
57:21 58:20	15,20 52:4,6,	planned	97:24 98:7,
60:23 61:2	8,20,24	52:13 125:25	13,21 103:9,
64:5 65:14	53:12,15	planner	11 106:13
66:12 67:11	54:19,20,23,	67:7 95:3	108:23 109:4
72:22 86:4	24 55:2,6,11,	planner's	110:21 111:9
87:6 90:1	18 56:14,15	71:7	14,25 114:7
98:16 99:20		mlannama	115:4 126:5
111:21 113:8	57:3,8,11,13	planners 95:9	pointed
116:23	60:2,6 61:6,		66:21 97:23
petitioners'	14,17 62:10,	planning	pointing
60:13,20,25	12 63:6 64:2,	65:9,18,21	96:12 115:12
61:15 66:3	25 65:5,11,24	66:5 67:20	
	66:2 67:12,15	69:16 70:13,	points
petitions	68:9 69:19,24	25 77:13,16	6:13 17:6
51:10	70:1,3,8	87:8,20 95:19	18:17 58:3
phenomenon	71:17 74:7,8,	98:11 104:6,	71:15 74:1
12:13	13,15,18	12 106:18	118:3
pick	75:2,18 76:2,	107:18 118:18	police
14:23	13,17 77:1,9	128:25 129:8	46:15,20
	79:9,10	planning-level	policies
piece	80:14,24	75:20,22	53:1 59:9
24:17,23	81:16 84:12,		61:19,21 63:
78:12 91:21	16,20 85:7,14	plans	66:8 67:22
106:22	86:7,14 87:17	63:16 120:18	00.0 01.22

70:2,4,5 74:18 76:12, 25 90:6 92:23 108:19 115:11 118:6 policy 28:16 49:22 62:15 63:1,9, 18 64:16 65:12,20 66:14,17,23 69:12 70:12 74:16 79:8,9, 18 86:6 87:21 78:6 87:21 79:18 88:4 power 56:7,22 57:19 28:2,20 90:8, 16 93:13 100:19 101:15,16,19, 20:22,23 102:6,18,22 103:2,6 103:2,6 political 98:2 103:2,6 political 98:2 103:2,6 political 98:2 103:2,6 portfolio 17:14 27:4 Portia 36:2 38:16 poster 110:3 120:2 74:16 10:12 positive prepay prespay prepay prespay prepay prespay prepay prespay pres	68:1,21 69:23	90:22 109:8	preferences	128:5
74:18 76:12, 25 90:6 92:23 108:19 115:11 118:6 7:2 32:24 25:17 28:16 49:22 62:15 63:1,9, 18 64:16 65:12,20 66:14,17,23 69:12 70:12 74:16 79:8,9, 18 86:6 87:21 88:2,20 90:8, 16 93:13 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 18:14 20.22,23 108:20 109:21 115:3,8 political 98:2 population 13:13 59:11 69:12 122:19 portions 124:6 portions 85:9,10 position 9 positions 51:2 positive prepay 25:14 94:21 prepaying prepaying 25:14 10:12 precyogative 119:4 9recyogative 119:4 9recyogative 119:4 9revent 123:16 9recyogative 119:4 9revent 100:25 prevent ing 92:18 107:13 preventing 92:18 107:13 previously 37:16,21 108:24 111:3 115:22 presentation 93:12 presentation 93:12 presentations 115:22 price 10:14 9rice 10:14 9rices 11:25 11:25 presents 81:3 primary pricing 12:15 21:9 presents 81:3 primary pricing 12:15 22:9,25 primary pricing 11:56,11 preservet 11:25 primary prioritize 11:25 prioritize 117:25 proirity	·			
25 90:6 92:23 51:2 50:24 94:21 94:21 118:6 7:2 32:24 25:17 123:16 97:25 97:25 123:16 97:25 97:2				_
108:19 115:11 118:6 positive prepay 25:17 123:16 policy 88:4 prepaying 25:14 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 123:16 pretry 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 123:16 pretry 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 123:16 pretry 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 123:16 pretry 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 123:16 pretry 34:8 110:21 119:4 prepaying 25:14 34:8 110:21 119:4 prevent 100:25 preven		_		
Policy		51:2	50:24	94:21
policy 7:2 32:24 25:17 123:16 28:16 49:22 62:15 63:1,9, 18 64:16 posture 25:14 34:8 110:21 65:12,20 potential 39:3 prevent 66:14,17,23 49:18 56:23 present 100:25 67:2 68:8 potentially 4:5 21:23 preventing 69:12 70:12 39:17 49:11 22:8,24 49:16 92:18 107:13 74:16 79:8,9, power 56:7,22 57:19 preventing 18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 presentation 108:24 111:3 100:19 7:9 16:3 presentation 108:24 111:3 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations 108:24 111:3 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presented price 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 15:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presents		_		
28:16 49:22 62:15 63:1,9, 18 64:16 65:12,20 66:14,17,23 67:2 68:8 69:12 70:12 74:16 79:8,9, 18 86:6 87:21 88:2,20 90:8, 16 93:13 100:19 101:15,16,19, 20,22,23 102:6,18,22 103:2,6 108:20 109:21 115:3,8 108:20 109:21 115:3,8 108:20 109:21 115:3,8 109:20 109:21 115:3,8 117:16 117			25:17	123:16
25:14 34:8 110:21 119:4 110:12 prerogative 39:3 119:4 prevent 100:25 119:4 prevent 100:25 100:		88:4	prepaying	pretty
18 64:16 65:12,20 potential 39:3 present 100:25		posture	25:14	34:8 110:21
65:12,20 potential 39:3 prevent 66:14,17,23 49:18 56:23 present 100:25 67:2 68:8 potentially 4:5 21:23 preventing 69:12 70:12 39:17 49:11 22:8,24 49:16 92:18 107:13 74:16 79:8,9,18 86:6 87:21 power 56:7,22 57:19 previously 18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 58:6,8,19,20 37:16,21 88:2,20 90:8,10:18 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 10 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 101:15,16,19,20,22,23 presentations price 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 prices 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 98:2 73:16 118:19 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenters 16:3 12:12 21:19:8 presents 88:9 primarily 90:14 20:10 precisely presents 81:3 12:12 27:19		110:12	prerogative	119:4
66:14,17,23 49:18 56:23 present 100:25 67:2 68:8 potentially 4:5 21:23 preventing 69:12 70:12 39:17 49:11 22:8,24 49:16 92:18 107:13 74:16 79:8,9, power 56:7,22 57:19 previously 38:2,20 90:8, 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 presentations price 102:2,23 pr 18:14 presented prices 103:2,6 pr 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 15:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population pre-pandemic presents 81:3 17:14 27:4 precisely preserve primary Portia preciude 115:6,11 21:25 22:9,25 portions 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8		potential	39:3	prevent
67:2 68:8 potentially 4:5 21:23 preventing 69:12 70:12 39:17 49:11 22:8,24 49:16 92:18 107:13 74:16 79:8,9, power 56:7,22 57:19 previously 18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 58:6,8,19,20 37:16,21 88:2,20 90:8, 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 48:4 price 101:15,16,19, 20,22,23 presentations 10:14 102:6,18,22 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt presenters 16:3 15:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population pre-pandemic presents 81:3 16:12 90:6,14 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio precisely preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 12:25 <	·	49:18 56:23	present	100:25
69:12 70:12 39:17 49:11 22:8,24 49:16 92:18 107:13 74:16 79:8,9, power 56:7,22 57:19 previously 18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 58:6,8,19,20 37:16,21 88:2,20 90:8, 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 108:24 111:3 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 price 20,22,23 PR presented prices 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 15:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 112:12 119:8 58:9 primarily 98:2 pre-pandemic 58:9 primary 169:12 122:19 precisely presents 81:3 17:14 27:4 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 124:6		potentially		preventing
74:16 79:8,9, power 56:7,22 57:19 previously 18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations 115:22 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 price 20,22,23 PR presented prices 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 112:12 119:8 58:9 primarily 98:2 112:12 119:8 58:9 primary portfolio precisely presents 81:3 portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 prior 15:6,11 prior 24:11 25:12 46:23 88:16 predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities pose			22:8,24 49:16	
18 86:6 87:21 7:9 16:3 58:6,8,19,20 37:16,21 88:2,20 90:8, 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 10:14 20,22,23 PR presented prices 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 13:13 59:11 58:9 primary 69:12 122:19 pre-pandemic 58:9 primary 17:14 27:4 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portial 15:6,11 prior 24:11 25:12 portions 12:25 63:21 80:19, 24:11 25:12 priorities 77:7,8 77:7,8 pose predetermining 66:7 88:15		power		previously
88:2,20 90:8, 91:18 presentation 108:24 111:3 16 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 10:14 20,22,23 PR presented prices 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population pre-pandemic 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic presents 65:8 principal 69:12 122:19 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 precisely preserved 24:11 25:12 Portia predecessors 63:21 80:19, 24:11 25:12 portions 124:6 predetermining 66:7 88:15 preserved 77:7,8 pose prefer preserved 107:25 priority <td>18 86:6 87:21</td> <td>_</td> <td>58:6,8,19,20</td> <td></td>	18 86:6 87:21	_	58:6,8,19,20	
16 93:13 powerful 93:12 115:22 100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 10:14 20,22,23 PR presented prices 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population pre-pandemic 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 11:25 65:8 principal portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior Portia 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 pose predetermining 66:7 88:15 preserved 17:25 position prefer 80:3 priority	88:2,20 90:8,		presentation	·
100:19 7:2 13:20,24 presentations price 101:15,16,19, 18:14 48:4 10:14 20,22,23 102:6,18,22 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population pre-pandemic 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 11:25 65:8 primary 69:12 122:19 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 20:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior portions 12:4:6 predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities 124:6 predetermining 89:23 prioritize pose 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position prefer 80:3 priority	16 93:13		_	
101:15,16,19, 20,22,23	100:19	_	nresentations	price
20,22,23 presented prices 102:6,18,22 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 12:12 119:8 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic presents 81:3 69:12 122:19 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 9ortions 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 124:6 predetermining 66:7 88:15 preserved 17:25 position prefer 80:3 prioritize position 25:10 20 president priority	101:15,16,19,	•		_
102:0,18,22 73:16 118:19 3:11 58:17 12:7 103:2,6 73:16 118:19 82:4 pricing 108:20 109:21 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 112:12 119:8 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic 81:3 primary 69:12 122:19 precisely presents 81:3 portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior Portia 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority	20,22,23			
103:2,6 108:20 109:21 Pratt 82:4 pricing 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 112:12 119:8 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic presents 81:3 69:12 122:19 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 prior 36:2 38:16 predecessors 63:21 80:19 24:11 25:12 portions 124:6 predecessors 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position prefer 80:3 priority	102:6,18,22		_	_
108:20 109:21 115:3,8 48:1,13 56:3, presenters 16:3 primarily 31:18 98:2 12:12 119:8 58:9 primary 31:18 primary 13:13 59:11 11:25 65:8 principal 11:25 65:8 principal 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 15:6,11 24:11 25:12 44:6 78:21 preserve 63:21 80:19, priorities 77:7,8 pose 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority precident 17:25 preserved 17:25 preserved 17:25 preserved 17:25 preserved 17:25 priority 17:25 preserved 17:25 priority 17:25 preserved 17:25 preserved 17:25 priority priority priority priority 17:25 priority 17:25 priority priorit	•			
political 6 72:10,16 58:12 primarily 98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 112:12 119:8 58:9 primary 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic presents 81:3 69:12 122:19 11:25 65:8 principal portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 36:2 38:16 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority				
98:2 85:18,25 presenting 31:18 population 13:13 59:11 pre-pandemic presents 81:3 69:12 122:19 11:25 65:8 principal portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 prior Portia preclude 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority	115:3,8			16:3
population pre-pandemic presents strangement 13:13 59:11 11:25 65:8 primary 69:12 122:19 11:25 65:8 principal portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 Portia predecessors 63:21 80:19 priorities 36:2 38:16 predecessors 63:21 80:19 priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 25:10 20 president priority	political		58:12	primarily
population pre-pandemic presents principal 69:12 122:19 precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 portfolio precisely principal 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 Portia 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority	98:2	·		31:18
13.13 59.11 11:25 65:8 principal portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 preclude 115:6,11 prior Portia 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority	population		58:9	primary
portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior Portia preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 36:2 38:16 predecessors 63:21 80:19, priorities portions 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 prefer 80:3 priority	13:13 59:11		presents	81:3
portfolio precisely preservation 21:25 22:9,25 17:14 27:4 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior Portia 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 24:6 78:21 portions predecessors 63:21 80:19, 20 priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 25:10 30 20 president	69:12 122:19	11:25	65:8	principal
17:14 27:4 70:12 98:7 61:19 90:6,14 prior Portia 117:16 preserve 24:11 25:12 36:2 38:16 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 Portions 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 priorities 124:6 predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position prefer 80:3 priority	portfolio		preservation	
Portia preclude 115:6,11 24:11 25:12 36:2 38:16 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 63:21 80:19, priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 25:10 20 80:3 priority	_	70:12 98:7	61:19 90:6,14	prior
36:2 38:16 117:16 preserve 44:6 78:21 portions 53:21 80:19 priorities 124:6 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 20:30 priority	Portia	preclude	115:6,11	_
portions predecessors 63:21 80:19, 20 priorities 124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 85:10,20 priority		117:16	preserve	
124:6 31:8 20 81:9 86:23 77:7,8 pose 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position 89:3 priority		predecessors		
pose predetermining 89:23 prioritize 85:9,10 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 position prefer 80:3 priority	_	31:8	20 81:9 86:23	_
85:9,10 position 66:7 88:15 preserved 117:25 prefer 80:3 priority		predetermining	89:23	
position prefer 80:3 priority			preserved	_
position priority priority	•			
28:20 29:6 33:13,20 President 108:9	_	_		
	28:20 29:6	00.19,20	bresident	108:9

pristine	produces	82:13 84:7	74:22 75:7
118:9	37:9	86:20,24	87:5,15,25
private	producing	90:13 95:22,	90:20 93:4
16:6 18:11,20	15:13	23 96:15	95:20 98:16
privately	production	105:4,8 106:6	121:4
106:11	12:14 14:19	107:3 117:4	protect
	15:6,9 35:7	118:23	17:18 46:13
privilege		120:12,14,18	79:10 81:9
30:15	products	121:6,11	92:24 103:2
problem	12:14 37:5	122:6,12,24	118:13 121:12
59:15 73:13,	professional	123:4,13	124:19 125:17
22 93:2 104:3	70:25 95:9	124:3,15	126:22
107:20 108:18	profound	126:1,8,9,13,	protected
118:5	129:19	14 127:19,21	46:25 78:17
problems	program	128:20 129:4	
14:4	23:5,16 24:14	projected	protecting
procedure	25:3,10 21:11	69:15	9:7 122:18
45:1,5 110:12	76:9 90:10	projects	protection
·		23:4 105:14	15:1 17:17
proceed	programmatic	120:3 122:16	68:17 74:21
43:7 48:8	36:20	120:3 122:10	109:16
proceeding	programming		Protection's
47:22 48:3	34:13	promote	36:14
67:17 97:4	programs	128:6	protections
proceedings	24:22	promoting	61:5,10,13
44:11,25 54:4	prohibit	118:20	63:6,11
58:11 110:21	57:12 92:19	proper	
112:7 113:1		110:11,15	protects
proceeds	prohibited	properly	63:18
116:20	54:18	41:1 67:24	proud
	prohibiting		119:23
process	61:22	proposal	prove
5:10 43:23	prohibits	128:8	60:14 87:17
44:25 51:18	43:16 92:13	proposals	proven
55:7 68:19	project	23:14,18,25	55:17 70:21
88:8 104:14	8:22 59:5	proposed	87:18 100:11
110:18 113:22	60:5,9 61:16,	31:25 32:5	
114:4 115:19	21 62:5 64:11	44:23 50:1	provide
processes	70:18 75:2,7,	52:1,18 54:17	51:6 60:18
62:7 66:6	8 77:23 78:3,	55:19 56:1	69:25 82:11
producer	6,7,16 80:3	60:15 62:20	93:4,17,22,23
14:25	-, -, = 3	65:2,4 72:9	

provided	purpose	9:6	raising
37:15 40:22	41:15 49:7	question	55:22
44:5,6,16	73:23 82:9	5:6 33:14	rally
117:2	93:14	48:20 67:20	120:17
providing	purposes	85:21,23	ramifications
110:15	37:17 66:4	87:9,10 90:11	7:3 15:21,23
provision	91:16	111:2 112:11	ran
51:20	pursue	113:15 116:6	79:19 93:11
proximity	121:1	122:24	
85:12	pursuing	questioning	Ranch 127:16,21
prudent	65:13 113:8	95:2	
7:7,11 69:16	114:21 118:23	questions	rate
	pushing	7:13 29:10	11:2 13:9,11
<pre>public 30:11 31:2</pre>	18:21 115:8	41:21,22	22:6 24:4 25:14 33:9
30:11 31:2		45:10 57:20,	25.14 33.9
47:8,10 49:10	<pre>put 16:22 19:22</pre>	25 58:1 65:9	rates
58:5,6 60:1	26:6 67:3	67:19,24	12:2 13:6,8,
70:16 76:5	82:20 95:20	70:12 72:11	24 16:1,18
82:14 106:15	104:13 106:19	113:14 115:23	21:21 22:21
119:8,23	107:19 108:21	quick	26:1,9 27:15,
121:16 124:9	118:22	48:11 118:3	17,22
129:10,13	puts	quickly	re-litigate
publicly	83:4	37:3	87:7
9:13		quit	re-weigh
	<pre>putting 38:18 114:17</pre>	33:1	66:15
<pre>publish 31:25 44:22</pre>		quote	reach
47:5	puzzle	54:21 84:20	33:19 34:14
_	78:12	34.21 04.20	87:9
pulled			reached
126:12	Q	R	34:1 87:10
pumped	QE	radical	reaching
31:6	28:8,10,13	6:21	32:6
purchased	quality	raining	react
122:23	85:9	28:19	74:8
purchases		raise	read
23:21	quantify	4:17	31:23 93:13
purchasing	49:25	raised	
62:23,25	quarter	19:5 55:24	reading
purely	19:21	19:5 55:24	80:13,23 ready

48:8 112:13	87:11	40:13	reenacting
119:10	receives	record	57:13
real	43:23	6:14 41:13	reevaluate
15:4,5 16:8	recently	43:9,13,20,24	66:16
17:23 18:10,	67:4	44:5,16 50:19	refer
19,24 59:5	recess	56:18 57:6	60:23
62:13 73:22	100:2	58:11,13,16	reference
realistic		59:3,14,25	4:10
120:11	recharge	66:25 67:5	
reality	120:21	70:22 71:4,23	referred
120:14,16	125:16,19	75:25 79:13	52:18 120:20
	recognition	89:1 92:23	refers
realize	30:11 31:2	94:2 96:17	90:9
15:10	recognitions	99:8 101:12	refinance
reason	3:10	110:9 112:1,2	27:3
6:23 13:4	recognize	114:22 119:19	refinanced
15:8 79:23	4:4 30:19	records	24:15
95:8 103:7	126:17	129:6	refinancing
124:21 125:2	recognized	recovered	26:8
reasonable	30:20 62:12	12:6	
7:10 53:25	63:9,17 64:1,	red	refinancings
70:21 80:13,	23 96:13	85:5 106:3	26:17,24 27:
22,23 81:17			reflects
108:9	recognizes 69:14	redemption	59:14
reasons		24:11 25:12	refunded
10:23,25	recognizing	redesigned	21:25 22:10
53:22 56:17	31:3	126:20	23:1
57:5 85:14	recommendation	redo	refunding
113:24 115:21	23:15 50:8	110:13	21:17 22:2,
120:15 129:3	123:19 127:6	redraw	17,20,21
rebut	recommended	111:6	refundings
43:25	31:21 43:19	reduce	27:11,12
rebuttal	47:20 48:5,15	21:21 22:6,21	refused
58:2 85:23	49:3,8 50:20	84:23 128:14	104:23
	52:1,3 53:10		
rebuttals 58:4 85:19	54:7 55:15,	reduced 73:18	refuses
112:13	20,21 56:12		77:20
	57:2 59:23	reducing	regard
received	85:16 117:24	26:17	84:11,25 85:
43:9,18 44:4	recommends	reduction	region's
47:9 86:18	36:20 38:5	13:14	128:13

Register	18	replaced	require
32:1	relief	123:9	61:9 65:22,24
REGULATION	60:18 73:15	replacement	69:24 89:24
4:1	93:4 97:19	13:8,9	98:12,22
		·	required
regulations	relieve	replacing	9:6 43:24
50:4 65:23,25	48:24 93:15	13:10	63:23,24
121:10	rely	replete	69:25 88:25
regulatory	6:19 126:18	73:8 79:13	89:4
63:11 64:4	remain	112:1	
66:6 88:16	18:8 32:6	report	requirement
reject	remaining	9:6,17 41:3	62:21 74:7,
45:23 53:20	24:23,25	70:25 71:6	12,13 84:11, 16 88:1,2
54:2 56:12	remedial	95:5	·
107:24 127:22	57:7 110:2,10	reports	requirements
rejected		21:15	40:17,19 41:5
60:13,19,20,	remember	represent	53:16 54:5,23
25 61:15,18,	46:19 101:5	119:23	62:22 63:12
20 67:15	102:9		64:21 77:21
90:4,18	remind	representative	87:2 90:12
107:23 127:20	45:22 58:9	124:16	121:10
rejection	105:6 119:11	represented	requires
53:23	reminds	50:12,14,16	44:10 54:25
relates	38:16	representing	59:3 62:15
91:22	renew	58:24 98:9	63:13,14 64:3
	37:13	119:14,18	65:19 70:19
relating	renewal	126:10	75:17,18
9:11 32:2	37:25	request	87:20,23
48:4 54:15		7:21 9:5,16	104:6 113:17
relative	renewals 37:23 38:2	23:2 32:7,16	requiring
25:24 26:5	37.23 30.2	37:13 38:1	61:19 63:19
27:25 28:23	rent	44:22 47:8	reroute
43:17	16:12 19:10	55:25 85:15	122:16
relegated	rents	122:25	rescind
112:10	16:10	requested	57:10
relevant	repay	5:9 36:10	reserve
51:13 52:6	26:19	37:19	10:8 12:11
reliable	repeal	requests	25:2 28:4
93:17	104:16	4:11,18 31:24	
		32:3	reservoir
relied	repeat 60:24		126:17
87:11 91:12,	00.24		
	'	'	'

reset	response	7:6 12:19	rights
16:10	47:10 86:16	17:4,23,24	76:9 90:10
residents	109:5	18:24 56:3	risk
60:1,19 92:25	responsible	returned	16:5 18:8
93:5 97:19	7:10 81:25	29:1	19:7 54:25
117:11,22	rest	returns	55:1 85:11
120:7,9	46:23 81:1	18:14	River
123:24	90:17	revenue	3:5
resolution	restoration	7:20 8:7,21	road
7:17 8:3,17	55:4 61:17	23:3 24:12	35:8 52:9
23:13 24:10	63:2 75:2	28:22 30:1,4	54:20 63:23
25:11 124:14	76:24 77:23	32:23	64:2 68:20
resolutions	84:8 86:5,14		70:12 76:16
3:10 41:14	87:22 88:5	review	86:21 90:20
	90:17 105:13	40:17 41:6	92:8 93:15
resolved	107:12,17	51:18 53:6,8	95:9 101:7,17
67:25	107:12,17	55:5 63:16	105:20,22,24
resorts	15 118:25	73:19 88:16	106:6,7
11:18	120:19 122:3,	103:13 104:12	113:23
resources	4,6,10,12,24	reviewed	114:14,19
61:25 62:2	123:13 124:20	36:15,18	117:13 122:22
70:11 129:24	128:19	40:15 43:12	123:4,9,23
respect		102:8	
81:19 82:2	restore	reviewing	roads
115:25	76:7 78:24	59:2 88:17,	59:17 101:3
	107:4 117:20	18,19,21	roadway
respectfully	122:9	reviews	59:15 60:21
31:24 123:17	restores	64:4 67:10	68:5 83:23
respective	121:14	88:10,12	91:23 92:23
66:9 112:8	restoring		95:20 96:2,7,
respond	62:17 129:6	rewrite 109:14	10 114:12
44:13 86:7	restrict		roadways
90:3 108:14	61:6	rewriting	59:22 60:11,
113:13		109:20	17 68:3,11
respondent	restroom 99:22	Reynolds	93:7,8 95:12
50:15 57:20		124:3,5 127:8	robust
58:18 72:12	retain	Richard	61:12 93:17
responders	39:15 63:20	50:15 72:20	Rogers
30:16	Retirement	100:4	67:3
	6:11 19:12	right-of-way	
responding 73:15,16	return	91:14	rogue
/3.13,10			46:14 123:1
	l	1	I

role	runs	23,24 22:3,8,	securing
50:5	115:13	23,24 26:25	122:5
roll	Russell	SBA	securities
16:19,22	4:2	6:5 9:6	4:12,15
room		scarce	security
16:12	S	76:8 79:23	15:12 117:21
route	sadly	101:2 103:8	seed
110:2 128:11	120:15	school	37:9,10
routine	safe	34:20	segment
34:9	18:25 69:2	Schwiep	82:25 83:3,5
RT	118:10	50:15 72:21,	94:8 96:7
33:9	safeguards	24 81:22,23	segments
rule	121:12	93:13 100:14	59:15 94:12
4:9,10 32:13	safest	science	95:10
44:18,19,23	19:4	6:18	sell
45:1 46:9		scope	111:15
47:6,7 113:4,	safety 10:25	78:6	Senate
7		screw	39:11
ruled	sale	34:5	send
129:1	22:1,12	scrutinized	125:3,7
rulemaking	sales	9:10,15	sense
47:6	21:16 22:11,	scrutiny	26:20 27:20
rules	14 31:20 34:20	73:25 110:20	28:1 39:14
4:12,13		seafood	sensitive
31:18,25	saltwater	37:4	63:14
32:1,5 45:1,	125:17	second-guess	separate
5,10 123:3	Sapp	64:16 66:17	21:16 22:14
ruling	36:2,6,8 37:8	seconds	88:8,9
31:22 126:22	Saratoga	110:25	separately
128:9	8:22	secretary	51:1
rulings	satisfies	38:19,21	September
80:12	41:4	55:25	51:7
run	satisfy	section	series
48:11 71:14	70:1	43:15 85:21	7:21 52:22
75:3 78:8,23	save		
80:15 86:12	15:10 94:7,	secure 15:16	SERPM 95:18,19,21
running	11,18		
78:19 118:11	savings	secured	servant
	12:1 21:18,	24:19	119:24

serve	sheet	78:10 92:22	single
54:14 60:16	63:22	shows	84:21
92:20,25	Shell	59:25 65:3	single-point
served	36:10	71:23 85:3	6:19
119:24	sheriff	95:5 125:23	sir
service	44:25 45:7	shut	29:12
14:18 21:17,	shift	10:25	sit
23 22:3,7,23	55:12 84:12,	sic	28:3 30:14,18
25:1 26:24	21	85:6	38:16 64:15
36:18 59:21	shifts	side	sitting
60:10 68:4,6,	84:17	14:7,11 15:22	30:15
7,10 81:7		33:3,9 51:1	
83:6 92:7,9	shines	57:25 58:2	situation
93:7 96:8,9,	27:8	97:2 106:13	67:8 106:8
10,11,16,20,	ships	112:14	six-
21	11:19		48:23
services	shop	Sierra 126:25 127:4	six-lane
9:12 127:18	11:16 34:24		52:14 82:19
session	short	signals	six-minute
30:12 33:6,7	31:7 79:20	13:12	76:23
set	122:7	significance	size
19:18 51:18	short-term	71:12 121:19	27:12 30:18
52:6 55:14	14:10 15:25	significant	
57:23 122:23	25:15	9:9 59:14	sky
	shorten	60:13 61:25	28:19
sets	128:21	71:2,8,21	skyrocket
45:1,5 50:9 51:9		97:13	46:17
	shortly	significantly	slice
settle	62:4	18:13	78:18
12:19	show	silicon	slight
seventies	59:7 63:20	12:15	99:3 114:21
16:24	89:2,11 99:8		Slough
severe	110:16 125:21	similar	126:9 129:25
93:1	showed	127:22	slow
shape	55:8 82:25	simple	59:16
57:14 78:5	83:24 86:21	26:4 56:13	
	94:13	57:2 71:3	small
share 18:13	showing	simply	33:19 46:2
	69:24	50:6 64:1,11	105:3
sharp	shown	67:3 71:11	smaller
99:25	BIIOMII	111:25 112:4	78:2,7

smooth	Sounds	specifically	77:13 87:17
6:20	85:25	60:12 70:7	88:25 105:17
sneak	sources	120:5	107:19
30:17	19:14,15	speculate	stakeholders
so-called	sourcing	91:5	5:13
46:2	14:17	speeds	stand
society	south	59:16	47:1 129:7
46:22 47:24	18:20 27:8	spend	standard
50:14 72:23	55:5 74:21	13:18 108:4	53:8,14 62:1
116:22	103:20 117:3	spending	64:14 66:21
117:12,23	120:25 122:14	120:9	91:24 93:21,
129:15	125:3,7	spent	22 98:12
sock	southern	114:10	standards
19:22	117:18	118:18,19	11:2 53:6
sold	Southwest	122:9 127:15	68:7
22:4,18,20	48:25 52:15,	128:18	standpoint
82:14	17 94:5,9,10	split	15:13
solely	southwestern	12:10	stands
58:17	93:16	sponsor	6:13
solicitation	space	6:22	stark
23:14	26:11,12	sponsored	11:6 12:8,9
solicited	speak	60:7	start
23:25	72:23,25 73:1	sprawl	7:14 48:12
soliciting	126:20	101:1,7	86:9 114:5
23:17	speaking	111:13	starts
solution	38:15	St	13:14
67:16	special	40:11 41:9	stasis
solutions	32:15 48:2	stable	13:2
93:4	53:5	14:5	state
	species		6:1 7:17,19
solve 67:13	72:5 79:12,14	staff 36:20 38:5	8:3,17 19:20
	99:6,11,12,16	40:15 49:14	21:18 23:4,2
solving	107:3,11	50:23 56:20	24:3 26:3
14:4	109:11	59:2 64:22	28:18 33:24
someone's	114:24,25	70:24 71:6	46:23 52:9
97:12	115:2	95:8 112:10	61:25 63:15
sort	specific	119:15	64:21 71:22
13:1 63:3	37:17 95:10	stage	74:6,12 76:2
98:7 125:12		30:13 64:12	6,17 77:21

81:16 85:15 step Street 65:17 66:6 86:6 88:1 112:19 125:6 52:11,15,17 subsidiary 103:17 104:12 94:5,17 stimulate 9:13 105:12 106:6, 33:23 streets substance 9 108:5,9 71:1,8 stimulates 32:5 117:13 122:8 69:6 stretch substantial 128:7 129:2, 83:2 38:3 54:4 stimulus 20 12:4 strict 129:20 state's 77:1 stipulated substantially 77:7 117:9 108:25 struggling 37:19 state-expedited 78:21 stock substantive 51:18 17:17 Student 44:10 64:21 stated 22:3 substitute stop 56:17 57:5 74:16 122:10 studied 91:25 113:25 97:20 stopped substituted statement 53:24 61:1 62:8 studies 43:15 96:7 94:2 106:24 stops suburban states 52:12 59:10 126:12 study 15:6 29:2 59:22 82:16, successful 53:21 storks 18 83:17,19 129:23 14:4 statewide 84:1 95:6,8, successfully 119:4 story 14,16,17,25 68:24 91:20 24:13 29:2,7 statute stuff 33:3 39:12 44:2 sufficiency 103:23 straight 7:18 41:17 66:20 70:19 Sub-saharan 113:17,21 81:18 sufficient 13:25 61:11 71:9 straight-up statutes subject 45:18 32:5 40:17 suggest 50:3 53:13 41:12 49:6 straightforward 13:21 58:6 73:24 91:16 26:5 suggests 110:19 statutory 15:19 strategic submission 40:17,19 41:5 18:21 suitable 45:16 91:9,12 92:2 76:16 104:8, strategically submit stay 79:24 123:11 2.2 89:17 5:11 97:14 strategy sun submitted 113:2 10:2 66:1 27:8 52:2 65:2 **STENOGRAPHER** 80:15 81:3,4, super-majority 99:21 subsequent 5 120:21,23 61:9 62:7 63:22

super-planning	83:10	23:18 54:18	technical
64:15	surprisingly	114:16	67:10 96:18
supplies	84:14	talk	technologically
11:13 34:20	surround	9:25	34:3
supply	92:24	talked	telling
10:22 11:9,	surrounded	11:9 127:8,13	46:3
14,20 12:5,	118:16	talking	tells
13,25 14:17,	survey	10:10 19:6	76:15 80:25
25 26:13	71:3	46:19 121:6	ten
34:18 107:14	survival	talks	17:9 37:22,23
122:18 123:15	72:5 115:2	79:11	ten-day
125:17 126:6	sustainability	Tallahassee	85:6
128:14	125:15	45:15	ten-mile
supply-demand		Tampa	83:2
26:14	sustainable	37:6 38:15	
support	128:7,10		ten-year 17:4,8 18:15,
45:16 60:3,5,	sustained	target 6:13 18:18	16 27:22,24
9 65:11,19	10:3		37:14
66:14 67:2	Suzanne	task	
69:11 70:18	51:24	59:4 71:3	tenant 16:19
71:5 107:7,16 110:10 121:21	synopsis	tax	
122:4 123:15	52:5	27:10 31:20	tenants
	system	32:2 34:20	16:22
supported 65:1 66:24	4:14 19:12	tax-free	tend
73:11 74:8	21:19 22:13	33:8,20	16:7,16
81:11 95:9	68:14,23 69:3	taxpayer	term
101:11	122:14	122:8	10:8 13:21
-		taxpayers	18:14 38:1
<pre>supporters 127:5</pre>	T	129:8	terminus
	table	Taylor	52:10,14
supporting	78:4 95:3	126:9 129:24	terms
31:4 67:5		TC-1	9:24 28:8
supposed	tagging 34:12	68:2	34:12 37:14,
64:13 107:18		TC-1M	17,24 82:20
suppressed	tail 83:5	82:11 93:14	97:22 109:7
10:21		team	118:17
surface	takes	124:4 126:1	testified
63:21 71:1,8	18:4	Tech	78:13 79:19
surprising	taking	9:11	100:10
		7.11	

testimony	thousands	tipping	124:13 126:11
40:23 71:7,10	67:1,9	126:5	trade-offs
73:4,9 94:23	threat	today	70:13
105:7 106:24	13:22 85:9	4:9 11:4	traded
114:25 118:12	threaten	30:15,20	9:13
text	128:13,17	31:10 36:8	
80:25 81:2		38:20 41:11	traditionally
88:20 91:7	threatened	46:5 48:14	17:12
92:4	107:2,10	49:21 50:18	traffic
theme	129:23	56:18 57:6	48:24 52:23
15:20	three-minute	64:11 75:13	55:9 59:14
	79:2 80:21	88:6,11,17	67:14 69:3,25
theory 60:20 61:1	97:8	94:18 100:9	70:10 76:20
60:20 61:1	three-year	113:4 117:10	77:6 81:21
	23:24	120:1 121:7	82:16,18
thing	threshold	125:14,25	83:2,17,19
12:15,21	111:9,13	126:21 128:8	84:1 93:2,15
14:21 15:16,	throughput	today's	23 94:1,15
18 16:9 17:1	95:13	49:7	95:5 97:13,1
18:7,25 19:4		told	100:8,13
things	ticket	80:1 100:14	120:7 123:23
10:5,18 11:24	104:19		Trail
13:18 14:6,13	time	toll	62:18
15:14 18:12	5:3 6:22	108:3	trailing
33:21 34:12	11:15 19:3	tolls	17:4,8
46:14 47:5	31:5 41:20	114:15	transactions
51:12 53:4	45:9 53:4	top	9:15
64:8 77:2,4	56:3 58:5,7	10:25 12:5	
87:18 91:6	59:2 72:7,10	91:10 102:9	transcribed
104:17 105:15	83:22,23 84:4	107:12,13	44:4
106:16 109:24	85:6,18 93:11	total	transcript
thinking	96:14 99:25	15:11 18:16	40:25 41:14
127:15	110:14,22	84:5,6	43:11 50:20
thought	112:9 115:19		transfer
10:6 34:17,	118:2 119:3	totally	76:9
19,25 72:13	123:17 124:8	100:11	transferable
111:7	127:15 128:21	touched	90:9
	times	10:11	
thoughts	12:23 67:18	tough	transform
111:5	82:6 83:17	17:2,3	121:19
thousand	94:3	town	transience
102:16			10:9

transient	117:12,23		United
10:9 12:13	trouble		15:6
transit	17:18 35:2		units
52:24 55:13	17.10 35.2	UDB	8:9,23
	true	54:12,13,14,	
60:16 84:13, 22 97:19	21:20 22:5,18	18 61:14	universities
	103:23 129:5	69:21 70:5	24:15
transportation	trust	84:15 92:18	University
7:20 52:22	6:11 36:1	128:12	22:13,16
53:1 59:9	80:9 121:16	UK	University's
68:2,12,14,23	trustees	15:7	21:18
69:22 71:7	7:4 36:1,5	ultimate	unlimited
93:24 95:2,18	Tuesday	49:25 55:16	28:13
104:20 121:18	101:21	89:15	
travel			unmistakable
55:12 82:6	turn	ultimately	77:16
83:22,23	19:11 53:4	41:3 65:16	unnecessary
84:4,12,17,21	72:24 81:20	67:6,13 112:20 115:8	108:2
85:6 91:21	turned	122:18 128:25	unreasonable
traveling	60:4		76:18 105:22
11:17 93:5	turning	unassailable	unstable
traverse	73:14	81:11 84:19	14:1
85:5	turnpike	85:1	
	7:20 23:3	unchanged	unsupported 62:2
Treasury	Turnpike's	32:6	
27:22,24	23:5	underfunded	unusual
trial	∠3·5	7:1	10:13
78:14 81:25	two-way	undermine	unwanted
82:1,4 83:20	76:23	108:4 128:17	63:6 70:6,9
100:10,11	two-week		unwound
trick	82:1	underscore	28:9
17:22	type	129:18	update
trickier	16:8 110:10	undisputed	5:2,5 38:18
16:15	types	83:13	122:13
trillion	70:12	undo	
19:21 28:17		78:21	upgrade
	typically	unilaterally	69:11
trinity	30:13	76:22 77:5	upheld
7:10	typo	108:7	109:12
Tropical	109:5	Uniqueness	uphold
47:24 50:13		34:11	117:24 126:21
72:22 116:22		24.11	127:25 129:7

Upton	venture	volatile	87:1,7,12
121:23,25	16:6 18:11	18:9,11,12	89:14 103:20
urban	version	volatility	105:1 107:14
54:11 61:9	44:4	17:12	117:3,21
63:7 70:6,9			120:25
76:4 77:1	versus	volume 94:15	122:15,16,18
80:14,16,17	47:23,25 109:6	94.15	123:14,16
81:4,5,7		vote	125:3,6,17,19
100:23,25	vetted	46:5 49:11,	126:2,4,6,19
115:14,17	110:17	13,15 56:13,	128:13
127:18	viable	21 57:2	Watkins
urbanized	89:25 101:13	voters	21:2
92:21	vicinity	108:11	WATSON
	107:3	votes	
urbanizing	view	61:9	21:5,7,15 23:13 24:10
92:18	12:12 19:24	voting	
urge	66:3 87:3	49:12	25:11,24
72:8 115:23	105:22	49.12	29:12
urges			Wayfair
117:23	violate	W	33:8
	81:16 98:4	Wahl	ways
usual	100:20 102:21	50:17 59:1	16:25 33:23
6:9	violated		48:22 97:24
utilities	61:19 103:4	wait	website
91:14	108:18	27:14 103:3	35:6
	violates	waived	
v	129:2	115:4	week
		wanted	27:19,20
VAB	violating	5:1 7:4,12	34:10,20
32:15	96:20	73:15 96:17	97:10
vacations	violation	102:1 124:11	weekday
12:22	51:15 74:11	125:21 127:14	59:12
valuable	violations	129:18	Weigel
112:24	64:20 74:5		4:2,5,6,24
	visual	waste 61:22	5:5
Van 51:24 128:9	124:7		weigh
		water	49:24,25
129:1,7	voice	40:1,5 55:5	
variables	60:3	63:21,25	Wellness
10:9	voicemail	74:21 77:17,	22:3
vehicles	43:9,11,20	24 78:4,9,15,	west
84:22		20 85:9 86:19	52:12 55:9,12

59:6,10,13,25	9:5,23 10:5	world	17:9 24:16
60:18 67:14	20:3 26:1	10:24 13:23	27:3 30:9
70:17 75:11	wipe	14:2 122:12	37:23 38:1
82:16,21 84:4	25:3	worse	78:1 101:6
85:3,4,8,11	withdraw	75:7 93:2	119:25 120:22
93:1,5 95:15	44:11	worth	124:14,19,24
121:3,4,20		27:5 65:12	yield
126:7,19	<pre>witnesses 73:6 81:12</pre>	96:12 97:17	16:1 18:3,4
wetland	85:10	108:5 115:12	
62:15 77:22	03.10	Wraithmell	Z
78:13 79:19	woes	129:14,16,17	
106:25	67:14		zillion
wetlands	women	written	13:18
62:18,22,25	47:1	77:8	Zingale
63:1,21	wonderful	wrong	30:2,5,7
74:24,25	11:18,24 31:1	91:1,5,6,11	31:17 32:13,
78:14,16,19,	wondering	92:1 103:11	22,25 34:6
24 79:3,5,17,	38:24	112:21	35:6,10
22,24 80:2,6		wrongly	
84:9 86:13	Wonderly	91:6	
87:23 88:4	47:22 50:11	wrote	
105:8,10,12	111:22	101:16	
106:25 107:5	wood		
114:23	129:23	Wyk	
117:18,19	word	51:24 128:9	
127:11 128:12	93:19,20	Wyk's	
129:21,25	words	129:1,7	
whammy	45:23 88:10		
80:6	work	Y	
whatsoever	11:10 23:5	y'all	
75:16 79:16	26:2 33:13	y·aii 34:4	
81:13 82:3	91:13,22		
106:4	104:10	year	
	worked	6:11,15,25	
whopping	26:14 119:22	10:15 14:8	
17:5	124:16	26:21,22	
wildlife		27:2,21	
36:13 128:13	working	37:23,25	
Williams	124:19,24	55:25 69:16	
6:2,5,6,8	works	97:11 125:10	
7:16 8:2,16	104:4	years	