

PROPOSAL TABULATION

PROPOSAL NUMBER: RFP-DOT-18/19-4005JR

PROPOSAL TITLE: District Wide Contamination
Assessment and Remediation (CAR) Services

FAILURE TO FILE A PROTEST WITHIN THE TIME PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 120.57(3), FLORIDA STATUTES, OR FAILURE TO POST THE BOND OR OTHER SECURITY REQUIRED BY LAW WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A BOND SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER CHAPTER 120, FLORIDA STATUTES.

OPENING DATE: 10/16/2018 TIME: 11:00am

OPENED BY: Joe Ricardo

WITNESSED BY: Maria Velarde

POSTING TIME/DATE FROM: 4:00pm November 26, 2018

UNTIL: 4:00pm November 29, 2018

PAGE 1 of 1 PAGE(S)

| OFFERORS | PRICE | ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS | | | | | | | | | | | | | TOTAL SCORE | X Indicates Award |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|-------------|-------------------|
| | | Criteria 1 | Criteria 2 | Criteria 3 | Criteria 4 | Criteria 5 | Criteria 6 | Criteria 7 | Criteria 8 | Criteria 9 | Criteria 10 | Criteria 11 | Criteria 12 | | | |
| AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. | \$16,157,744.42 | 9.33 | 11.67 | 13.33 | 12.33 | 8.00 | 2.67 | 3.00 | 4.33 | 8.33 | 7.67 | 9.33 | 40.14 | 130.13 | X | |
| APTIM ENVIRONMENTAL & INFRASTRUCTURE, INC. | \$16,131,729.55 | 8.33 | 10.33 | 11.33 | 11.67 | 7.33 | 2.33 | 3.00 | 3.67 | 7.33 | 7.33 | 6.33 | 40.21 | 119.19 | | |
| NORTHSTAR CONTRACTING GROUP, INC. | \$15,084,122.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | 11.00 | 10.33 | 7.67 | 2.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 6.67 | 6.00 | 6.67 | 43.00 | 119.35 | | |

| CRITERIA | MAX POINTS | DESCRIPTION | AWARD | TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS |
|--------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | 10 | 1. Executive Summary | Award is made to the responsive and responsible offeror whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State taking into consideration price and other criteria. | Maria Salgado |
| 2 | 13 | 2.a. Management and Organization | | |
| 3 | 14 | 2.b.1) Contract Experience | | |
| 4 | 13 | 2.b.2) Company Experience | | Fernando Ascanio |
| 5 | 10 | 3.a. Availability of Services, Facility Capability and Equipment | | Jorge Corrales |
| 6 | 3 | 3.b. Laboratory Support | | |
| 7 | 4 | 3.c. Mobilization | | |
| 8 | 5 | 3.d. Innovative Concepts | | |
| 9 | 10 | 4.a. Sample Level II Environmental Assessment: | | |
| 10 | 8 | 4.b. Sample Level III Remediation & Construction | | |
| 11 | 10 | 4.c. FDOT Bridge Rehabilitation Support | | |
| 12 | 43 | Price Proposal | | |
| TOTAL | 143 | | | |

X Indicates apparent awarded vendor, but does not constitute an acceptance of any offer created by vendor's proposal. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as a Purchase Order or written acceptance by the department has been issued. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the vendor's proposal or if the vendor fails to submit required Bonds and Insurance, or otherwise fails to comply with the request for proposal requirements, the Department has the Right to Award to the vendor that is second low, reject all proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.

Receipt of No Competitive Sealed Proposals - JUSTIFICATION: