FWC 17/18-133 EVALUATION SCORE SHEET FOR: MAINTENANCE FOR FIXED WING AND HELICOPTER AIRCRAFTS

RFP Section Reference	Evaluation Criteria	Total Possible Points	Points Awarded
-	1. Vendor Qualifications, Experience, and Customer Services Capability	ties (400)	
A. i. (see attachment D)	 How well does the Respondent demonstrate experience providing maintenance and repair services to any of the same model aircraft listed within this RFP? (Poor – 75; Adequate – 150; Good – 185; Exceptional – 215) 	215	
A. ii.	To what extent does the Respondent demonstrate prior and relevant experience on Law Enforcement Aircraft?	120	
(see attachment D)	(Poor – 30; Adequate – 75; Good – 100; Exceptional – 120)		
B. i. (See attachment E)	Question 1. Did the Respondent provide detailed procedures for discussion of required or recommended aircraft maintenance needs with FWC personnel? (No answer provided– 0; poor or minimum answer provided – 4; Good or sufficient answer provided – 7; Excellent or detailed answer provided – 10)	10	
B. ii. (See attachment E)	Question 2. Did the Respondent provide guidelines or policies for <u>allowing</u> FWC personnel onsite access to aircraft while in maintenance? (No answer provided– 0; poor or minimum answer provided – 4; Good or sufficient answer provided – 7; Excellent or detailed answer provided – 10)	10	
B. iii. (See attachment E)	Questions 3. Did the Respondent describe their willingness and capability for providing requested technical assistance?	10	
B. iv. (See attachment E)	Question 4. Did the Respondent provide sufficient information of staffing capabilities to provide technical assistance after hours? Did the Respondent provide their hours of operation?	10	

B. v. (See attachment E	Question 5. Respondent should provide sufficient information describing their aircraft fuel availability. (No answer provided– 0; poor or minimum answer provided – 4; Good or sufficient answer provided – 7; Excellent or detailed answer provided – 10)	10	
B. vi. (See attachment E	Question 6. Respondent should be able to provide their staffing capability and procedures for conducting aircraft maintenance run-ups. (No answer provided– 0; poor or minimum answer provided – 4; Good or sufficient answer provided – 7; Excellent or detailed answer provided – 10)	10	
B. vii. (See attachment E	Question 7. Did the respondent explain in sufficient detail their procedures for handling offsite maintenance requests? (No answer provided– 0; poor or minimum answer provided – 4; Good or sufficient answer provided – 7; Excellent or detailed answer provided – 10)	10	
Total Points for	Vendor Qualifications, Experience, and Customer Services Capabilities	400	
	2. Vendor Portfolio (500)		
А.	Does the Respondent provide evidence of aircraft specific training and/or technical expertise to show competency in abilities to preform requested work on Commission Specific Fixed Wing Aircraft proposed under this RFP? (No answer given – 0; Poor – 75; Adequate – 150; Good –200 ; Exceptional – 250)	250	
B. i.	Did the Respondent provide their FAA Repair Station certificate? (No- 0; Yes - 20)	20	
B. ii	Did the Respondent provide their FAA Repair Station capabilities list? (No- 0; Yes - 20)	20	
C. i.	To determine proximity, helicopter and fixed winged vendors will be evaluated by the operational home base of the closest helicopter or fixed wing aircraft. Using the relevant vendor (fixed wing or helicopter) airport identifier, the evaluator will use the closest aircraft fixed wing or helicopter home base identifier to determine points for proximity. Helicopter Bases –FXE Fixed Wing Bases –FXE Fixed Wing Bases –FXE, MTH (Evaluator must use <u>https://skyvector.com/</u> to calculate direct nautical (NM) mileage) Vendor IdentifierHome Base IdentifierDistance NM 150 NM> = 40 points 76-149 NM = 78 points 1-75 NM = 90 points 0 NM = 130 points	130	

TOTAL OVERALL SCORE		1000	
3.1	Pricing Score	100	
	3. Pricing (100 points)		
Total Points for Vendor Portfolio		500	
D.	Did the Proposer provide any addition documentation in regards to other maintenance services and/or capabilities available for other model/type aircraft not specifically listed in this RFP? (No- 0; Yes - 10)	10	
	additional aircraft storage? (No- 0; Yes - 20)		
C iv.	(No- 0; Yes – 25) Did the Proposer provide sufficient evidence in their ability to support	20	
C iii.	Did the Proposer provide sufficient information to determine that their facility provides ample space for aircraft maintenance to be conducted?	25	
	(No documentation provided – 0; Poor – 5; Adequate – 15; Good –20 ; Exceptional – 25)		
C ii.	Was the documentation provided by the Proposer sufficient to determine vendor facility provides ample security within their portfolio?	25	

Evaluator's Signature:	Date:
Evaluator's Printed	
Name:	