<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>DCF Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Will the State consider extending this RFQ to vendors on the Department of Management Services State Term Contract, Management Consulting Services, #973-001-06-1? | The Department specifically selected the Information Technology Consulting State Term Contract because this is an IT Project. IT Consulting State Term Contract Project Area I Analysis and Design encompasses IT Planning Studies and Assessment to assist organizations in both information technology capital planning and assessment, including but not limited to:  
- Business case analysis  
- Performance measurements  
- Independent verification and validation of systems development  
- Estimating return on investment and/or earned value  
- Compliance with State interoperability standards as defined by the State Technology Office  
  
Can you confirm that the Medicaid Eligibility Project is considered Phase 3 of the ACCESS Florida System Replacement Project as described in ITN #03F12GC1 for Design, Development, Implementation and Maintenance of the ACCESS Florida System? If so, can you clarify if the State will be seeking IV&V services for Phases 4A and 4B under a separate RFQ issued at a later date? Is the vendor selected for this RFQ precluded from participating in any future IV&V services associated with the ACCESS Florida System Replacement Project? | The Medicaid Eligibility Project is Phase 3 of the ACCESS Florida System Replacement Project as described in ITN #03F12GC1 for Design, Development, Implementation and Maintenance of the ACCESS Florida System. At this point in time the Department is not proceeding with Phases 4A or 4B based upon legislative direction.  
  
Are Sample Deliverables excluded from the 200 page limit? Sample deliverables are included in the 200 page limit. |  
Could the Department clarify the time at which the proposals are due on February 15, 2013? | Responses should be stamped in by the Procurement Manager NLT 5:00 PM on February 15, 2013. The VBS announcement states, “Request for Information will be opened at the below address at 5:00 PM, February 15, 2013.”  
  
Could the Department specify the System Integrator’s “critical path deliverables” that need to be reviewed by the IV&V vendor? | We are in contract negotiations and critical path deliverables are not final at this time. The current list of minimum deliverables the SI is required to produce (by performance period) can be found in Section 11.1.5 of ITN No. 03F12GC1. This list will be updated in the final approved project schedule once contract negotiations are complete.  
  
Under Section 8 – Fixed Price/Not to Exceed Price: “The task order resulting from this RFQ will be fixed price and deliverable based, not to exceed $550,000.” Is the total contract value not to exceed $550,000? Or is the contract broken down into deliverables and the deliverables not to exceed $550,000? | The terms “contract” and “task order” are interchangeable in this document. The total contract value is not to exceed $550,000 for all deliverables.  
  
In order to build our project plan and approach – can the state provide any information from the Systems Integrator and/or state’s final negotiated requirements in order to meet item 2 under 2.2.1. “High level IV&V services schedule which aligns with the Medicaid Eligibility System Master Project Schedule.” As well as item 1 under section 3 “IV&V Project Management Plan that meets the requirements outlined in Section 2.2.1 and is aligned with Project Management and System Integrator plans.” | Currently we do not have final negotiated requirements, a Master Project Schedule, or Project Management Office and System Integrator plans. These are work products due to the Department from vendors with whom we are still negotiating contracts.  
  
If I understand correctly – the RFQ is due by 2/15/13. Is this correct? | Yes  
  
Is there going to be a bidders conference? | No  
  
Is there going to be Q&A posted for this RFQ? | Yes, by COB Friday 2/8.  
  
In determining and evaluating a firm’s capabilities, in regard to responsibility and responsiveness, will the State be interpreting the “firm” to be only the prime contractor or may a partner of the prime contractor under signed agreement also be included for purposes of meeting minimal RFQ requirements and/or demonstrating experience, excellence, and expertise in IV&V? Please advise... | If you are asking whether the submitting vendor (prime contractor) must individually meet the required KSAs for IV&V, then the answer is usually that the department will evaluate the entire team’s proposed KSAs, including those of the submitting vendors subs, in its best value analysis.  
  
|