

STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
PROPOSAL TABULATION

375-040-2B
 PROCUREMENT
 03/17

PROPOSAL NUMBER: RFP-DOT-17/18-4008JR
 PROPOSAL TITLE: District Wide General Landscape
Services

FAILURE TO FILE A PROTEST WITHIN THE TIME PRESCRIBED IN SECTION 120.57(3), FLORIDA STATUTES, OR FAILURE TO POST THE BOND OR OTHER SECURITY REQUIRED BY LAW WITHIN THE TIME ALLOWED FOR FILING A BOND SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF PROCEEDINGS UNDER CHAPTER 120, FLORIDA STATUTES.

OPENING DATE: 2/1/2018 TIME: 11:00am
 OPENED BY: Joe Ricardo
 WITNESSED BY: Emmarie Yavneh

POSTING TIME/DATE FROM: 3/5/2018 @ 4:00pm UNTIL: 3/8/2018 @4:00pm PAGE: 1 of 1 PAGES(S)

| OFFERORS | PRICE | ASSIGNMENT OF POINTS | | | | | | | | X Indicates Award |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---|---------------|---------------|--|---------------|--|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| | | Criteria 1 | Criteria 2 | Criteria 3 | Criteria 4 | Criteria 5 | Criteria 6 | Criteria 7 | TOTAL SCORE | |
| Arazoza Brothers Corporation (1) | \$1,825,901.25 | 12.33 | 8.67 | 22.33 | 14.00 | 21.67 | 9.33 | 67.00 | 155.33 | X |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| CRITERIA | MAX POINTS | DESCRIPTION | | | AWARD | | TECHNICAL COMMITTEE MEMBERS | | | |
| 1 | 15 | 1.a. Administration and Management | | | Award is made to the responsive and responsible offer or whose proposal is determined to be the most advantageous to the State taking into consideration price and other criteria. | | <u>Elisabeth Hassett</u> <u>Fernando Ascanio</u> <u>Donald Larson</u> _____ | | | |
| 2 | 10 | 1.b. Organization and Staffing Plan | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 25 | 1.c. Experience of Key Personnel | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 15 | 2.a. Technical Approach | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | 25 | 2.b. Prior Relevant Experience and Past Performance | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | 10 | 2.c. Schedule of Tasks | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | 67 | Price Proposal | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 167 | | | | | | | | | |

X Indicates apparent awarded vendor, but does not constitute an acceptance of any offer created by vendor's proposal. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as a Purchase Order or written acceptance by the department has been issued. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the vendor's proposal or if the vendor fails to submit required Bonds and Insurance, or otherwise fails to comply with the request for proposal requirements, the Department has the Right to Award to the vendor that is second low, reject all proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.

Receipt of No Competitive Sealed Proposals – JUSTIFICATION: (1) In the past, this contract RFP has not received many proposals because the industry is

PROPOSAL TABULATION

small in comparison to other FDOT project components. This proposal came from a company that DOT has done work with the State for many years and whose level of work is well known. This is a good opportunity to negotiate pricing with this Vendor and thereby in the best interest of the state to negotiate in accordance with 287.057(5) than to resolicit.