### STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

#### 375-040-2C PROCUREMENT 12/06

# INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE (ITN) POSTING TABULATION

NEGOTIATION

NUMBER: ITN-DOT-17/18-4015JR

Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, or failure to post the bond or other security required by law within the time allowed for filing a bond shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120,

Florida Statutes.

1 age <u>1</u> or <u>2</u> page(3)	
OPENING DATE & TIME:	
6/12/2018 11:00AM	

Page 1 of 2 page(c)

OPENED BY: Joe Ricardo

WITNESSED BY: Emmarie Yavneh

ITN TITLE/DESCRIPTION: District Four Districtwide Appraisal and Appraisal Review Services					
TECHNICAL REVIEW TEAM: Victor Ramos, Stephen Fisher, Donald Webster					
<b>This Posting</b> : From <u>7/16/2018 4:00PM</u> Until <u>7/19/2018 4:00PM</u>					
TYPE OF POSTING:   Shortlist  Ranking  Intended Award					

NAME OF VENDOR (Listed in alphabetical order)	"X" INDICATES SHORTLISTED VENDOR *	RANKING OF NEGOTIATIONS **	"X" INDICATES INTENDED AWARD ***
Adrian Gonzalez & Associates, P.A.	X		
AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	.,		
Atlantic Coast Realty Enterprises, Inc.	X		
Autrey Appraisals, Inc.			
C. Bertelle & Associates, Inc.	X		
Callaway and Price, Inc.	X		
Charles E. Futral, MAI			
DL Valuation, LLC	X		
Eminent Valuations, PLLC			
Florida Valuation Group, Inc.	X		
J.T. Namie & Associates, LLC			
JLL Valuation & Advisory Services, LLC			
New River Appraisal, P.A.			
Pinel & Carpenter, Inc.			
Real Estate Analysis, LLC	X		
Robert M. Gallion, ASA			
The Clontz/Newkirk Real Estate Group, Inc.			

- \* Shortlist: "X" in the Shortlisted Vendor column indicates shortlist selection is made to the responsive and responsible offerors whose Qualifications Packages have been determined to be the most advantageous to the State. Shortlisted vendors are those being offered the opportunity to give a technical proposal and/or oral presentation of their firm's capabilities and approach to the scope of services / specification.
- \*\* Ranking: The Department intends to negotiate separately and will award a contract to the highest ranked vendor that reaches an acceptable agreement with the Department. The Department will commence negotiations with the number one ranked vendor until an acceptable contract is agreed upon or it is determined an acceptable agreement cannot be reached with such vendor. If negotiations fail with the number one ranked vendor, negotiations may begin with the second-ranked vendor, and so on down the order of ranking until the Department is able to negotiate an acceptable agreement.
- Intended Award: "X" in the Intended Award column indicates the vendor whom the Department intends to award the contract to, but does not constitute an acceptance of any offer created by the vendor's proposal or negotiations. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as a written agreement has been fully executed by the Department and the awarded vendor. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the vendor's proposal or in the negotiations or if the vendor fails to submit required Bonds and insurance, fails to execute the contract, or otherwise fails to comply with the ITN requirements, the Department has the right to undertake negotiations with the next highest vendor and continue negotiations in accordance with the ITN process, reject all proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.

### STATE OF FLORIDA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### 375-040-2C PROCUREMENT

# INVITATION TO NEGOTIATE (ITN) POSTING TABULATION

NEGOTIATION NUMBER: <u>ITN-DOT-17/18-4015JR</u>

**This Posting**: From 7/16/2018 4:00PM

Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, or failure to post the bond or other security required by law within the time allowed for filing a bond shall constitute a waiver of proceedings under Chapter 120, Florida Statutes.

Until 7/19/2018 4:00PM

ITN TITLE/DESCRIPTION: District Four Districtwide Appraisal and Appraisal Review Services

**TECHNICAL REVIEW TEAM**: Victor Ramos, Stephen Fisher, Donald Webster

rage z or z page(s)
OPENING DATE & TIME:
6/12/2018 11:00AM
OPENED BY: <u>Joe Ricardo</u>

WITNESSED BY: Emmarie Yavneh

Page 2 of 2 page(c)

TYPE OF POSTING: Shortlist Ranking Intended Award				
NAME OF VENDOR (Listed in alphabetical order)	"X" INDICATES SHORTLISTED VENDOR *	RANKING OF NEGOTIATIONS **	"X" INDICATES INTENDED AWARD ***	
The Spivey Group, Inc.	X			
The Urban Group, Inc.				
		I	I	

- \* Shortlist: "X" in the Shortlisted Vendor column indicates shortlist selection is made to the responsive and responsible offerors whose Qualifications Packages have been determined to be the most advantageous to the State. Shortlisted vendors are those being offered the opportunity to give a technical proposal and/or oral presentation of their firm's capabilities and approach to the scope of services / specification.
- \*\* Ranking: The Department intends to negotiate separately and will award a contract to the highest ranked vendor that reaches an acceptable agreement with the Department. The Department will commence negotiations with the number one ranked vendor until an acceptable contract is agreed upon or it is determined an acceptable agreement cannot be reached with such vendor. If negotiations fail with the number one ranked vendor, negotiations may begin with the second-ranked vendor, and so on down the order of ranking until the Department is able to negotiate an acceptable agreement.
- \*\*\* Intended Award: "X" in the Intended Award column indicates the vendor whom the Department intends to award the contract to, but does not constitute an acceptance of any offer created by the vendor's proposal or negotiations. No binding contract will be deemed to exist until such time as a written agreement has been fully executed by the Department and the awarded vendor. If irregularities are subsequently discovered in the vendor's proposal or in the negotiations or if the vendor fails to submit required Bonds and insurance, fails to execute the contract, or otherwise fails to comply with the ITN requirements, the Department has the right to undertake negotiations with the next highest vendor and continue negotiations in accordance with the ITN process, reject all proposals, or act in the best interest of the Department.